$Kershnar\ v.\ Kolison$ Motion for Preliminary Injunction

Decl. Adam Steinbaugh

EXHIBIT 7

D	-1
Page	- 1
rage	_

IN RE: PODCAST TRANSCRIPT

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

LENGTH OF AUDIO FILE: 2:13:39

UNREGISTERED PODCAST

EPISODE 143: STEPHEN KERSHNAR

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES

320 West 37th Street, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10018

(866) 624-6221

Reported by: Marissa Mignano

Job Number: 974466



Page 2 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 THADDEUS RUSSELL: I am very proud 3 to announce that the new sponsor for Unregistered is Headspace, a company that has changed my life over the last six years. Now, life can be very stressful under normal circumstances, but 2020 has probably been the most stressful year in 10 the lives of most of us. Right now, 11 though, you can get a free one month trial membership by going to 12 13 Headspace.com/Renegade. 14 Hey everybody, I have a couple of 15 announcements to make that I think a lot 16 of you will want to hear. First of all, 17 you have to check out what's going on at 18 Renegade University right now. We have 19 some webinars coming up that I think 2.0 most of our listeners will really be 21 interested in. 2.2 First of all, with James Lindsay, 23 I am co-teaching a course in January 24 called Postmodernism, Critical Theory 25 and American Politics. We already have



Page 3 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 had many people sign up for this counsel 3 and we might have to cap it pretty soon. So go to Renegadeuniversity.com and sign 5 up if you're interested in that course. It'll be a three-week webinar, the first three Tuesdays in January at 5:30 p.m. Pacific, 8:30 Eastern. So again, it's filling up fast and 10 we will have to put a limit on it 11 eventually. So please go get those tickets if you're interested. 12 13 The other one that I think also 14 will probably sell out, we just put up 15 I'm going to be a student in on sale. it because I'm so excited by it. 16 I wish 17 we had had courses like this when I was 18 in college, and this is actually one of 19 the reasons I started Renegade 20 University, is to have courses like 21 Taught by Kamasi Hill, who just this. 22 recently co-taught with me, Talkin' The History of African American 23 Shit: 24 Culture, which was an amazing success, 25 just a tremendous time had by all.



Page 4 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 was so successful, so popular, that we 3 decided to do whole spin off series. there will be Talkin' Shit subseries taught by Kamasi and/or me. The first one is on the history of hip hop. Kamasi will be teaching this one. be meeting over three weeks, beginning in late January, January 26, February 2, 10 February 9; same times, 8:30 p.m. Eastern, 5:30 Pacific. 11 12 For those of you who haven't taken 13 Talkin' Shit yet or haven't seen Kamasi 14 on Are You Live or on Unregistered, he 15 is just, bar none, the greatest teacher 16 of the history of American pop culture 17 I've ever come across. And that's why I 18 hired him to do this job. He's 19 phenomenal. 2.0 So go to Renegadeuniversity.com, 21 look for those two webinars coming up. 22 I'm sure, like -- as I said, many of you 23 will be interested in those and sign up 24 as soon as you can because we will be 25 putting limits on them. We don't want



Page 5 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 to have too many people because we want 3 to enable there to be a lot of conversation. So go do that. The second announcement, very important. If you're in the Seattle area on December 5, I will be giving the keynote speech at the Libertarian Party of King County Annual Holiday Party. 10 It's a 6:00 on that Saturday. Go to 11 lpkingcounty.com to get more information 12 and to get your tickets, and I hope to 13 see you there. 14 All right. Let's start the show. 15 This is the Unregistered Podcast. And I'm Thaddeus Russell. This is a 16 17 show about ideas, people, and behaviors 18 that are considered inappropriate, out of bounds, or beyond the pale. 19 2.0 things you're not supposed to talk about 21 if you're a school teacher, a college 2.2 professor, a businessman, a politician, 23 a parent, a neighbor, or even a podcast 24 These are the things you're not host. 25 supposed to say or even think if you're



Page 6 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 a good liberal, a good conservative, or 3 a good citizen. Each week I'll interview a person 5 who has something bad to say. might be a journalist or a professor. They might be a porn star or a drug They might just be an ordinary person with an ordinary job who doesn't 10 care about the rules of polite society. I'm not interested in breaking the 11 12 rules just to be a troublemaker. 13 interested in people who break the rules 14 of conventional thought and to expand 15 the scope of what is possible to say in our society. I'm interested in people 16 17 who make me think. 18 My guest this week is a professor of philosophy at the State University of 19 2.0 New York at Fredonia. He's the author 21 of nine books, all of which would make 22 your grandmother freak right out. 23 has argued that we shouldn't be grateful 24 for our veterans. That the pro-life 25 movement, if it were logically



		Page 7
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	consistent, would be killing lots of	
3	people every day. He has argued against	
4	morality and responsibility and in	
5	defense of adult child sexual	
6	relationships. He's a man after my own	
7	heart. And this is my interview with	
8	Stephen Kirschner.	
9	I am joined from Fredonia, New	
10	York, by, I think, possibly the most	
11	Renegade philosophy professor in the	
12	country, maybe in the world, a guy I	
13	discovered a while ago. I didn't tell	
14	you this, Steve, but someone, I think a	
15	philosophy professor told me that I	
16	should have you on my show because he is	
17	so unregistered and so Renegade,	
18	perfect. And he says all these wild	
19	things. And I said, like, what? Well,	
20	here's the title of some of his books.	
21	And you've written four books nine	
22	books. I'm sorry, nine books. Just	
23	four of them are titled The Case Against	
24	Morality and Responsibility, Abortion	
25	Hell and Shooting Abortion Doctors, Does	



Page 8 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 The Pro Life Worldview Make Sense -- and 3 we'll get into that argument, too. Then this one, you got into quite 5 a bit of heat over, of course, Adult Child Sex: A Philosophical Defense, And then -- and then, just because you wanted to make Americans, like, hate you completely, you wrote a 10 book called Gratitude Toward Veterans: A Philosophical Explanation of Why 11 12 Americans Should Not be Very Grateful To 13 Veterans. 14 So you are a philosopher after my 15 own heart in many ways. Although I know 16 that you make some arguments that I 17 don't like politically, or I should say are not consistent with my politics, but 18 some that very much are, and even there 19 2.0 they're complicated. 21 You make arguments. The titles 22 tell people right away these are super 23 provocative, super controversial, but 24 you make the arguments in very academic, 25 scholarly, philosophical terms.



		Page 9
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	make very rigorous arguments. And some	
3	of them will be hard to understand for	
4	the lay audience, and including me, by	
5	the way. I've taught philosophy, but I	
6	don't really have a rigorous formal	
7	training in it. And we talked before we	
8	started this that you're going to need	
9	to present these in layman's terms. But	
10	this is all completely fascinating and	
11	relevant. It in a lot of ways, and I	
12	think the audience is going to love it.	
13	So why don't we just go through.	
14	Where do you want to start? Which book	
15	do you want to defend first?	
16	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Let's start	
17	with the abortion book, actually. I	
18	think that's	
19	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. Cool.	
20	Yeah. So what is the argument in your	
21	book on abortion?	
22	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So my argument	
23	on the book of abortion is that the kind	
24	of standard pro-life view is not	
25	internally consistent.	



Page 10 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 And I'll just give you a few 3 There's kind of like four or examples. five ways you can kind of see it. One 5 is that in general, the pro-life forces do not believe that women who procure an abortion should be convicted of first degree murder, for example. But yet they seem to think that the fetus has 10 the status of a person. It's a little 11 hard to see how you fit those together. 12 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. 13 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: They also often 14 argue that it's not okay to assassinate 15 abortion doctors on the way to the 16 abortion clinic. And yet, given their 17 view of the fetus as morally equivalent 18 to a child, it's a little hard to see 19 why that would not be the case. I mean, 2.0 for example, if you had a Nazi death 21 camp, Auschwitz, and you had one of the 22 executioners, the person who sort of 23 drops in the Zyklon B, the only person 24 can activate it and drop it in on the way to work. It's not clear why it 25



Page 11 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 would be wrong to execute them. If you 3 can't execute them in the camp, execute them on the way to camp. And yet they seem to think, well, it's not okay to assassinate abortion doctors. It's a little hard to see what the inconsistency is. When it comes to -- when you link 10 the pro-life view with the religious 11 views, you get odd results in the 12 following way. We often think, for 13 example, that, well, at least Catholic 14 Church is open to it. And it makes 15 sense that if you thought the fetus was 16 a person and you killed the fetus, the 17 fetus would go to heaven, or at the very 18 least, it would not go to hell. And if 19 you allow your fetus to develop into an 2.0 individual, there's a chance, perhaps a 21 significant chance, that the fetus will 22 develop into a person, which will 23 eventually go to hell. It's too big a 24 risk to take. I mean, why would anyone 25 take that risk? You'd never take the



Page 12 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 risk of your child being brutalized by a 3 gang or dying in a fiery car accident if a bridge was out. And yet the threat here, if you believe these rigid tenants, is far greater. I mean, you're risking hell, which is an infinite amount of suffering. It might be as much suffering as direct victimization. 10 It certainly lasts a lot longer. Why would you ever take that risk? 11 12 doesn't seem to be an adequate answer to 13 any of these cases. 14 So it's unclear whether this view 15 hangs together. I claim that it doesn't. I claim it's inconsistent. 16 17 And again, you can just see this with, 18 like, the first degree murder. 19 I'll give you one more example, 2.0 which is that people get very upset when 21 they see someone drinking or smoking --22 when a pregnant woman drinking or 23 smoking, saying, look, you're going to 24 damage that fetus, yet they don't get 25 upset, the same people don't get



		Page 13
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	anywhere as near upset when they find	
3	that someone has aborted their fetus.	
4	Well, it's an odd view, right,	
5	that it's not okay. It's upsetting if	
6	you damage your fetus, but if you on the	
7	pro-life account, slaughter your fetus,	
8	that's okay. Well, standardly	
9	slaughtering the individual is worse	
10	than maiming the individual. So it's a	
11	little hard to see what would explain	
12	those attitudes. So I claim in all four	
13	cases, these attitudes are just	
14	inconsistent and they do not have a way	
15	of escaping.	
16	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Whoa. Okay.	
17	Dig it. Yes, I like it.	
18	Yes. I recently reckoned with the	
19	fact that I had heretofore not	
20	acknowledged, I think, because I grew up	
21	in ultra liberal, ultra feminist	
22	environments, which is that pro-life	
23	people well, maybe you just made an	
24	argument against this, but the pro-life	
25	people really believe that this thing is	



		Page 14
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	murder. The way that liberals and	
3	feminists talk about it, pro-choice	
4	people talk about it. The pro-life	
5	argument is simply a front for misogyny.	
6	It's like the Trojan horse for some	
7	anti-woman agenda, right. That the	
8	reason people oppose abortion is because	
9	they really hate women and they want to	
10	restrict the freedom of women. But it	
11	occurred to me, and I sort of was	
12	listening more closely to pro-life	
13	people, and it just dawned on me, like,	
14	oh my gosh, of course they think it's	
15	murder. But then you're right. I	
16	noticed that they weren't calling for	
17	capital punishment or even putting women	
18	in prison, right, for getting an	
19	abortion, or doctors, for that matter,	
20	except for I actually will say this:	
21	Kevin Williamson, who's a writer for the	
22	National Review, has been on the show.	
23	I'm pretty sure he made the argument	
24	that we should at least consider capital	
25	punishment for people who get abortion.	



Page 15 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 So that would be consistent, right? 3 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yes. I would be minus the problem, the heaven and hell problem. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yes. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I should also mention one other problem I have in addition to the ones I've mentioned. 10 They have a metaphysical problem as well, in that when they say, well, why 11 is it right after conception, you have 12 13 the person's present, usually their view 14 is some sort of biological account, an 15 animalist account in particular. 16 think that it's an organism at that 17 point in time. After conception, you 18 have an organism, and then once the 19 organism exists, the person exists. 2.0 Even though the organism doesn't yet 21 have a brain, there's no consciousness. 22 The organism exists. So they think, 23 okay, all right. So you're able to 24 follow that you're like a person is an 25 organism, and then you say, but you



Page 16 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 believe in heaven and hell, and in 3 heaven and hell, the organism is long In fact, we can see the dead and rotting organism in the grave. So how is it that you used an organism view to explain why early abortions are wrong and then you reject it when you tell us about your religious 10 views. So yeah, I think you're right. 11 So even if they can get around the notion that we shouldn't charge women 12 13 with murder and that there really is 14 nothing wrong with executing abortion 15 doctors, there's still these other 16 problems. 17 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah, so are 18 you -- I know this is not the question 19 you're addressing, but it has to be 2.0 raised in your mind, in other people's 21 minds. Does this suggest to you that 22 they don't fully, deeply believe what 23 they're saying? 24 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So, 25 psychologically, I don't think that's



Page 17 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 I just don't think that their 2 the case. 3 views hang together and they don't want to face the fact that they don't -- they contradict one another. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh, I see. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So I think they actually do believe it, and I think they have -- but for these contradictions, 10 the rest of the systems hold together. 11 It's just that these are fairly troubling contradictions. 12 13 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Well, yeah. So 14 if you take it to its logical 15 conclusion, I agree with you and completely pro-life people should be 16 17 shooting abortion doctors. 18 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. And at the very least, they should say there's 19 2.0 nothing wrong with it if others want to 21 do it. 2.2 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Absolutely. 23 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Look, we would 24 have no problem if, in World War II, the 25 Jewish Resistance were assassinating



		Page 18
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	executioners at Dachau or Treblinka.	
3	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah, of	
4	course.	
5	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But the numbers	
6	here are staggering.	
7	THADDEUS RUSSELL: These are mass	
8	murderers, we're talking about.	
9	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. I mean,	
10	we're talking 40 million or more	
11	abortions since Roe I mean, Roe v.	
12	Wade. Yeah. If it really had the same	
13	degree of wrongness as killing an	
14	infant, it's hard to see why you	
15	wouldn't tolerate violence in others,	
16	think it permissible and think the	
17	people who solicited the lethal	
18	slaughter slaughter why they	
19	shouldn't be severely punished.	
20	THADDEUS RUSSELL: If you believe	
21	it's murder. And by the way, I'm	
22	agnostic on that because I don't think	
23	you can prove or disprove it. Right.	
24	You can't ask a fetus no one's ever	
25	asked a fetus what they think about	



Page 19 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 this, or no one's ever determined one 3 way or the other that it's murder or not. But if you do believe it's murder. I don't think there's a single person in prison anywhere in the world who's killed as many people as your average abortion doctor. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I think that's 10 right. And even when you look at some the leading American serial killers like 11 Gary Ridgeway, I mean, he hasn't come 12 13 close to this. 14 I actually disagree with you. 15 do think there's a right answer to whether or not abortion is permissible, 16 17 leaving aside the problems, because I think it's the kind of sort of Judith 18 19 Jarvis Thompson argument that it's the 2.0 woman's body and therefore that even if 21 the fetus were a person, it's a case of 22 a trespasser. But leaving that aside, 23 whether or not the pro-choice argument 24 holds up point is the pro-life position 25 has blatant inconsistencies built into



Page 20 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 it, especially when you combine them 3 with the religious framework that often accompany them. THADDEUS RUSSELL: We should have millions of women in prison or executed by the state already and all the doctors should absolutely be put to death. These are mass murderers. And that's 10 what if you believe it's murder, that's 11 powerful. 12 I mean, that's something that I 13 would think pro-choice people should be 14 using against pro-life people often. 15 But they don't use that argument. 16 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: No, they don't. 17 And I think one of the reasons why is they don't really want to grant the 18 19 pro-life position that the fetus is a 2.0 person instead of saying let's grant you 21 your position and then go with it. 2.2 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. What 23 were you saying about the pro-life -- I 24 mean sorry, the pro-choice position, 25 what are the problems with that?



		Page 21
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So I think that	
3	the pro-choice position is true and I	
4	think there's two assumptions which	
5	underlie it. The first assumption is	
6	that the fetus simply does not have a	
7	right to be inside the woman. In the	
8	case of an unwanted pregnancy, she never	
9	granted permission for the fetus to be	
10	inside of her. For example, in case of	
11	failed contraception, in the case of	
12	rape, even if she did grant the fetus	
13	permission to be inside of her, let's	
14	say she intentionally got pregnant, you	
15	can withdraw consent just like you can	
16	withdraw consent to sex. You can	
17	withdraw consent to someone being in	
18	your house. You can withdraw consent to	
19	someone being in your body. So in that	
20	sense, I think the fetus does not have a	
21	right to be inside the woman, at least	
22	when the fetus is unwanted. Then the	
23	issue arises and this is where I	
24	really think the action is. I don't	
25	think that there's too strong an	



Page 22 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 argument the fetus has a right to be 3 inside a woman. I think the real action is whether or not abortion involves too 5 much force. That is, we normally don't think to get someone out of your apartment that you can use lethal force. Now, my view is because it's a bodily invasion and we can use lethal 10 force to prevent a new or an ongoing 11 bodily invasion, it's not too much force. So I think we can show that it's 12 13 not too much force. But at least we 14 know where the action is, right, is it 15 too much force? 16 I'll just give an example of why 17 we would think it's not too much force. 18 Let's say, again -- I'm going to use the 19 Nazi example. Let's say that you had a 2.0 young woman in one of these Nazi death 21 camps, and the Nazi authority said, 2.2 look, we're going to give you one of two 23 options. You can either have sex with 24 one of the officers running the camp, or 25 you can carry one of the Nazi couple's



		Page 23
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	children, for whatever reason, they're	
3	unable to carry the fetus to term. It	
4	seems reasonable, at least some of these	
5	women would say, well, look, I'd rather	
6	have sex than carry the fetus. Yet the	
7	sex is the moral equivalent of rape, and	
8	you can use lethal force to prevent	
9	rape. If you can prevent use lethal	
10	force, prevent rape, and this other	
11	thing, an unwanted bodily invasion by	
12	fetus, is worse than rape, then it	
13	follows that you can use lethal force to	
14	prevent that. So that's why I don't	
15	think it's too much force, but at least	
16	that's where I think the action is.	
17	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. Unwanted	
18	bodily invasion. My goodness. So	
19	you're comparing a fetus to a burglar	
20	who breaks into your house?	
21	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yes. Or	
22	someone who imagine a man having sex	
23	with a woman, and she says, you know	
24	what, I agreed to have sex initially,	
25	but I've changed my mind, you need to	



		Page 24
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	stop. If he goes on for an hour or	
3	more, I think she can use lethal force	
4	to make him stop.	
5	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay.	
6	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Even though she	
7	had initially granted permission, she	
8	can withdraw permission, right.	
9	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
10	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Why? Because	
11	it's such a severe trespass upon her	
12	rights. And again, it's hard to see why	
13	a nine month unwanted fetus in the	
14	woman's body isn't as least a severe	
15	trespass as someone who's continued	
16	on a male who continues on with sex	
17	even after permission has been	
18	withdrawn.	
19	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. What if	
20	it's a wanted pregnancy?	
21	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So if the	
22	wanted pregnancy, then the fetus has a	
23	right to be there. If the woman changes	
24	her mind, then it's similar to the case	
25	in which a woman agrees to have sex with	



		Page 25
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	a man and then says, I actually have	
3	changed my mind, withdraw my consent.	
4	THADDEUS RUSSELL: So it would be	
5	murder then, to you, if it's a wanted	
6	pregnancy that's terminated?	
7	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So I actually	
8	don't think the individual begins to	
9	exist until the individual has a brain	
10	and perhaps a functioning brain.	
11	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay.	
12	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But leaving	
13	that aside, given that the pro-life	
14	deposition that the individual starts a	
15	conception, I think there it's still	
16	it would not be murder unless the woman	
17	wants it and someone else were to kill	
18	it.	
19	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. And how	
20	has this been received?	
21	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Well, I gave	
22	the talk at the Society for Christian	
23	Philosophers in Niagara, at Niagara	
24	University, and then again at	
25	Minneapolis, and the general consensus	



Page 26 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 was something like the following: 3 there's something wrong about it. We're not quite sure what it is, but there's 5 something wrong about it. So that's --THADDEUS RUSSELL: They need to start locking up people and killing That's what's wrong. people. It's that simple, right? I mean --10 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Well, actually, 11 on that issue so I have a number of 12 friends who are pro-life with excellent 13 philosophers, and I asked them, so I 14 asked them individually for my former 15 colleague Dale Tugfield. So I asked them, so what's wrong with shooting and 16 17 killing abortion doctors? Now, some of 18 them said, well, there's really nothing 19 wrong with it, but it's a bad strategy. We want to win the hearts and minds of 2.0 21 the American people, and we're not going 2.2 to win the hearts and minds. So they're 23 like, yeah, it's just not a great 24 strategy. And others said, well, you 25 know what? Don't quote me on this, but



Page 27 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 I really can't see what's wrong with it. 3 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Of course. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So there was only a couple, most notably David Hershenov at the University of Buffalo and Phil Reed at Canisius, who said, well, among other things, it's not as great a wrong as murdering an infant 10 because there is a bodily invasion 11 element. So the bodily invasion element 12 adds a partial, but not entire 13 justification to it, or it's a partial 14 excuse for it. 15 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. 16 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Now, I don't 17 think this works, but at least they had 18 an argument, right? They tried to say, look, it's less of a wrong than 19 2.0 murdering an infant. And so they tried 21 to sort of get around it, and that was 22 the best response I got. But a lot of 23 my pro-life friends, like I said, were 24 excellent philosophers and great people 25 as well.



		Page 28
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	THADDEUS RUSSELL: They call it	
3	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: They said,	
4	well, it's a bad strategy.	
5	THADDEUS RUSSELL: That's weak.	
6	That's a cop out.	
7	But, yeah. So you have the people	
8	who make the argument are saying that	
9	it's sort of like a misdemeanor	
10	homicide. Is that what they're saying?	
11	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah, I mean,	
12	what they're saying is it should be like	
13	a serious misdemeanor or a lesser felony	
14	than murder because there is a partial	
15	excuse or partial justification.	
16	It's a little hard to see why that	
17	is. I mean, it's a little hard to see	
18	if there is a justificational element,	
19	it's going to be something like there's	
20	a bodily invasion and it's a severe	
21	invasion. It's a little hard to prevent	
22	that from becoming a full fledged	
23	justification of abortion.	
24	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
25	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: In the excuse,	



		Page	29
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	it's hard to see what the excuse feature		
3	is present, right. There's no impaired		
4	thinking. It's not like the woman or		
5	the physician has, like, a provocation		
6	or a duress or something like that, or		
7	at least in some cases, those aren't		
8	present. So it's hard to see why there		
9	would be a broad based excuse.		
10	THADDEUS RUSSELL: So		
11	intellectually, we should admire the		
12	people, the pro-life people who did		
13	shoot and kill abortion doctors, because		
14	at least they know who they are. Right.		
15	They're consistent.		
16	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Exactly.		
17	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Intellectually,		
18	right?		
19	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah. No,		
20	these are guys who put their lives in		
21	line to do what as best they could		
22	determine was correct.		
23	THADDEUS RUSSELL: If it's mass		
24	murder, hey, you know, you got to do		
25	anything to stop that, right?		



Page 30 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That's right. 3 There's very little you can't do. And at the very least, not only 5 are you killing people who are on their way to committing slaughter, but they're fully responsible for doing so. extent that anyone's responsible for what they do, these individuals are 10 doing it right. They're competent, they 11 know what they're doing. It's 12 voluntary. They meet all the standard 13 conditions for blame worthiness. 14 THADDEUS RUSSELL: I have to say, 15 I mean, thinking about it now, and it's such a devastating argument that you 16 17 make, I have to believe it does show 18 that their commitment to this may not be 19 as deep as many of them say it is now. 2.0 Because, by the way, there have been 21 many pro-life people who have shot and 2.2 killed abortion doctors, right, that 23 happens. 24 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: It does happen. 25 I agree with your general point.



		Page 3	31
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	mean, I'm not just a lot, but there's		
3	been a lot of acts of violence.		
4	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah.		
5	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But surprising		
6	little killing.		
7	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah, true.		
8	Yeah. Yeah. So, well, so I I		
9	suspect maybe they're not so committed		
10	to this as they think.		
11	Six years ago, I was suffering		
12	from severe anxiety and panic disorder.		
13	I had gone through many years of		
14	psychotherapy, but it had never made me		
15	feel any better. So a friend of mine		
16	recommended that I put this app on my		
17	phone called Headspace and give it a		
18	try. They gave me a free one-month		
19	trial, which is what we're offering to		
20	you now, for a serious discount. And I		
21	did. And after one session, just one, I		
22	felt my body change as if my chemistry		
23	had changed. I felt my relationship to		
24	the world and to myself transform almost		
25	immediately. And I noticed and have		



Page 32 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 noticed for the last six years that 3 every day I use Headspace, I feel better and it's a better day. It's one of the most remarkable transformations I've ever gone through psychologically. has helped me more than anything I've ever done, more than ten years of psychoanalytic psychotherapy. 10 I have been telling my friends 11 ever since then to try Headspace, and 12 many have, and many now consider it to 13 be essential to happiness and a good 14 life. Headspace trains you to be here 15 and now in the moment, present in your 16 own body and in the immediate space 17 around you. It trains you to keep out 18 all these thoughts of fear and anxiety 19 and sadness that haunt you for much of 2.0 the day. It gives you, in a sense, a 21 space of freedom, and I would say also 22 pleasure, because I'm now able to enjoy 23 life, enjoy the world around me. 24 Whereas before, there was often this 25 screen of anxiety and fear between me



Page 33 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 and the rest of the world. Headspace 3 has changed my life over the last six years. It is also scientifically proven. According to many, many studies by scientists, people who use Headspace and meditate using mindfulness have less anxiety, less stress, less tension, less 10 depression. 11 So right now, you have a chance to 12 change your life in a similar way. And 13 from the bottom of my heart, I recommend 14 this product like no other. In fact, I 15 told my producers when we first started 16 this show three years ago that it would 17 be my dream to one day have a sponsor in 18 Headspace. I was beyond thrilled when 19 they asked. 2.0 So go to Headspace.com/Renegade to 21 get a free one month trial. Free. 22 it a try, and I'd like to hear how you like it. Email me at 23 24 unregisteredpodcast@gmail.com. 25 Again, that's



Page 34 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 Headspace.com/Renegade. One more time: 3 Headspace.com/Renegade for your free one-month trial that I can virtually quarantee you will change your life for the better. You deserve to feel better, to be happier, to be more serene, to be more in the moment, to be more inside of your 10 body. Please do it. 11 Headspace.com/Renegade, and let me know 12 how it goes for you. Thank you so much. 13 You've heard me tell you the story 14 about Paloma Verde CBD, the company out 15 of San Antonio, Texas, owned and 16 operated by Carlos and Vanessa Abuilar. 17 You've heard me tell you about how they 18 went to the banks to get loans and accounts and were denied both because 19 2.0 they were a, quote, marijuana-related 21 I've told you that they business. 2.2 persevered because both of their fathers 23 suffered from a lifetime of chronic pain 24 and both were helped by CBD. I've told 25 you that Carlos and Vanessa pursued



Page 35 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 their project despite the government 3 shutting down the businesses in San Antonio last spring, which meant shutting down their brand new gorgeous brick and mortar store that they had poured their heart and soul and a lot of money into. I've told you all that. But that's not why you're going to buy 10 their CBD, because you are very smart. You're going to buy their CBD because 11 they're giving you 25% off. Seriously, 12 13 this is the best deal you're going to 14 get on CBD, and a lot of you are going 15 to buy CBD. So just get it from Carlos and Vanessa at Paloma Verde. 16 17 So not only the 25% off all their 18 products for an Unregistered listener, 19 you go to Palomaverderstore.com and use 2.0 the discount code Renegade, okay, 25% off everything. You get an additional 21 22 10% off if you just join their mailing list. So if this is the first time for 23 24 you, you're probably going to get 35% 25 off your first order. Then on top of



		Page	36
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	that, they put together my favorite		
3	my three favorite Paloma Verde products		
4	into what's called the Unregistered		
5	Combo Pack, otherwise known as the Thad		
6	Pack. And this is their, proud to say,		
7	best selling item. What you get in the		
8	Thad Pack are their gummies, which are		
9	as good as any candy. Oh, that's a good		
10	shot right there. And you get ten		
11	milligrams of CBD in each one. I eat		
12	these like crazy. I love them. Come in		
13	many fruit flavors. You get their soft		
14	gels, 25 milligrams per soft gel. And		
15	as I've said many times, I use between		
16	two and six of these per day, depending		
17	on how much Twitter is aggravating me.		
18	But my favorite, as I've said many		
19	times, is their high potency tincture.		
20	Just before I started recording this, I		
21	put several drops under my tongue, and		
22	I'm feeling good. So go to		
23	Palomaverdestore.com, use the discount		
24	code Renegade change your body, feel		
25	better, change your life and I thank		



Page 37 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 you. 3 All right, there's that book. Nice. Love it. And this is probably 5 maybe the least controversial one. Which one do you want to do next? STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Let's do the Adult Child Sex. That's always a big seller. 10 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh, yeah. 11 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Well, I had good friends who said, Are you crazy? 12 13 Do not write that book. 14 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Man, listen, 15 you're talking to a guy who for 25 years 16 has been making arguments, more or less 17 in defense of adult child sex in classrooms. I don't know if it's the 18 19 same argument as yours, but I even 2.0 authored a piece in The Daily Beast in 21 which I called into question the age of 22 consent laws, which is -- and I brought 23 to bear the arguments I was making in 24 class. And I'm going to see whether 25 they jive or not.



		Page	38
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	So adult child sex, Steve, so		
3	that's just the dumbest thing you could		
4	possibly argue. If you're interested in		
5	a career, if you're interested in		
6	respectability, if you're interested in		
7	being invited to dinner parties. So		
8	let's start there. Why on God's green		
9	earth are you as crazy as I am in taking		
10	on this argument?		
11	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So it's funny.		
12	So I actually got interested in a kind		
13	of a roundabout way. What I was		
14	interested in is whether or not an act		
15	is wrong because it's harmful. So I		
16	actually got interested in it for		
17	theoretical reasons, because this seemed		
18	to be like a paradigm case. There's		
19	actually some meta studies which seem to		
20	suggest that in some cases, at least		
21	with regard to adult males and underage		
22	males, that it's not harmful. Or if it		
23	is harmful, we can't decide whether the		
24	harm is due to the sex itself or the		
25	fact that society goes berserk over it.		



Page 39 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 And so one of the articles I was reading 3 said, look, this is wrong. We don't need to know whether it's harmful. empirical question of whether or not there's any long term harm we could track on this is really beside the point. And I was kind of struck with a I thought, well, it's not question. 10 obvious to me why that is. I mean, this standard, kind of other argument is that 11 12 it's a right infringement. And I wasn't 13 sort of convinced by that argument. 14 also when it comes to hebephilia rather 15 than sort of adult child sex. So sex with, like, young teens, it's not clear 16 17 There's at least some reason to 18 believe that individuals are designed by evolution to begin reproduction at that 19 2.0 period. So if they're designed by 21 evolution to begin reproduction, it's 2.2 not clear why it would be physically 23 either harmful or emotionally harmful. And so there was kind of like three 24 25 different explanations, none of which



		Page	40
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	convinced me. One was that it was		
3	harmful, and I thought, well, there's at		
4	least some empirical there's at least		
5	a controversy whether that's empirically		
6	true. There's the right infringement		
7	case that they can't give their valid		
8	consent, and therefore it's wrong in		
9	virtue of being a right infringement.		
10	And there's a view that it's		
11	exploitative, that even if it's not		
12	harmful, even if it's not a right		
13	infringement, it's somehow an		
14	exploitation.		
15	THADDEUS RUSSELL: I've heard all		
16	these yes, okay.		
17	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. So		
18	those are the three dominant arguments,		
19	and none of these convince me.		
20	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Me neither.		
21	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So the harm		
22	argument, well, there's actual empirical		
23	controversy over this.		
24	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Sure. Yeah.		
25	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: On the rights		



		Page 41
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	based argument, I think we make children	
3	do all sorts of things that they don't	
4	want do.	
5	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Thank you.	
6	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: We make them go	
7	to church, we make them go to the	
8	temple, we tell them to go to school,	
9	they got to go to the dentist, they got	
10	to go to this sister's ballet recital,	
11	and we don't care what they say, and	
12	they want to do things, we say no.	
13	Right.	
14	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Exactly.	
15	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: They don't want	
16	to stay up and watch Creature Feature on	
17	WPIX until two in the morning.	
18	THADDEUS RUSSELL: That is all a	
19	child's life is; coercion.	
20	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That's right.	
21	THADDEUS RUSSELL: It's coercion	
22	by adults and often to make the child	
23	do something for the adult's pleasure	
24	only.	
25	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That's exactly	



		Page 42
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	right. Yeah. You're going to go to	
3	your great uncle's funeral even though	
4	you want to go and he's not in your	
5	interest.	
6	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
7	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So the rights	
8	based argument is a little bit hard to	
9	follow. In addition, at least in some	
10	cases, certainly with hebephilia and	
11	sort of underage sex.	
12	THADDEUS RUSSELL: What's the	
13	word? What's the word about hebephilia?	
14	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Hebephilia	
15	would be like kind of young, younger	
16	teens.	
17	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay.	
18	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Just statutory	
19	read cases right, where the person's	
20	under the age of age of consent,	
21	which actually, as you know, varies	
22	quite a bit between states.	
23	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Indeed.	
24	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: In those cases,	
25	the individuals seem to be willing,	



		Page	e 4	3
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar			
2	right. So it's not like you even have			
3	you sort of dragging some kicking and			
4	screaming into doing something they			
5	don't want to do.			
6	The third thing with exploitation			
7	is really bizarre because exploitation			
8	occurs when there's kind of a			
9	transaction and both sides benefit from			
10	it, but one side, usually the side with			
11	a stronger bargaining position takes an			
12	unfair share of the transaction surplus.			
13	That is, they take more than just share			
14	of the benefit of the transaction.			
15	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.			
16	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And you think,			
17	okay, well, even if that's true, one,			
18	it's never clear to me why exploitation			
19	is wrong. But even if that were not the			
20	case right, because it's a mutually			
21	beneficial trade.			
22	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Exactly.			
23	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But even if			
24	that were not the case, it wasn't clear			
25	to me, well, how do we know that the			



Page 44 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 underage -- you know, the young teenager 3 or the late prepubescent child isn't gaining as much from this, especially if they're a willing participant, and even if they didn't gain as much, how do we know there aren't enough of other benefits, right? If someone -- you had a tutor, 10 who's tutoring them in literature or the violin, why wouldn't the package of 11 benefits be such that they're gaining 12 13 more than their fair share of the 14 transaction surplus? 15 So I thought, well, look, there are three different explanations. 16 17 first one is in empirical controversy, and it's an odd view that whether or not 18 it's permissible depends on the outcome 19 2.0 of these studies. 21 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. 2.2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: The second view 23 just seems to be a nonstarter, right, we 24 don't think that children have to give 25 valid consent for pretty much anything



Page 45 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 we do to them, especially if they're 3 willing participants. We don't say, well, child can't play kickball because 5 they can't consent to it even though they really want to play kickball. And then last exploitation, again, I don't think exploitation is wrongful. I'm not exactly sure even what makes 10 something exploited. 11 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Exactly. 12 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: If there were, 13 I'm not sure what makes it wrongful. 14 And even if it were wrongful, it's not 15 clear that it occurs in most cases of adult child sex, or even let alone all 16 17 of the cases. 18 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yes. 19 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So that's why I 2.0 thought I looked into it. The other 21 thing I felt free to write it is because 22 I'm not a pedophile, and I don't engage 23 in adult child sex. So I thought, in 24 some sense, I don't care if people 25 attack me personally on it, because it's



Page 46 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 not something that involves me directly, 3 whereas someone who is actually interested in this stuff, obviously 5 they'd want to keep a low profile, they wouldn't want to argue for it. some sense, I'm free to make the argument in the sense that whatever. You can call me all the names you want, 10 it doesn't mean that it doesn't diffuse 11 my argument. 12 THADDEUS RUSSELL: The only time 13 I've ever gotten a death threat, really, 14 from something I've written was the 15 piece in the Day -- I wrote it in the Daily Beast about twelve years ago, 16 17 about Roman Polanski. 18 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I really need to read that. That sounds interesting. 19 2.0 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah, it's a 21 real short piece, but you and I think 2.2 very much alike on this. I kind of 23 attack all those arguments that are 24 made. Yeah, I mean, I say, come on, 25 children are coerced all day, every day



Page 47 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 to do all sorts of stuff, including 3 stuff that is purely for the adults gratification, which is also dangerous, like playing tackle football. How many boys are coerced by their dads into playing tackle football when they really don't want to, which we now know causes serious brain damage, right. 10 Need I go on? I mean, there's just time 11 after -- instance after instance of 12 adults coercing children to do things 13 that they don't want to do. It's just 14 nonstop coercion. It's really a 15 I mean, it's the family, is plantation. 16 it not? There's no freedom in a family, 17 right? 18 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That's right. And there's also, like, oddities. 19 2.0 take statutory rape law. Now, of 21 course, the age of consent varies from 22 some states, but take a state where the 23 age of consent is 17 or 18 and let's say 24 there's a four-year window. So you have 25 a 23 year old, has sex with a 16 year



Page 48 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 old and you think, okay, well, that's a 3 felony. The person is going to do real prison time for that. And you think, yeah, but if the 16 year old had sex with another 16 year old that you think, okay, at least in some cases where they have Romeo and Juliet clauses which require you to be like a three or 10 four-year window, you say, well, that's 11 okay. Well, why is it harmful or right 12 infringing or has some other wrong 13 making feature for a 16 year old woman 14 to have sex with a 23 year old? 15 it's not if she has sex with a 17 year 16 old, like what happens in the six years 17 that turns nearly identical sex into --18 from perfectly outside the criminal law 19 to something of a serious felony. 2.0 Now, one of the arguments you get 21 is, well, look, overall the consequences 22 are better if we have this law in place. 23 Okay. I mean, perhaps. I'm not 24 entirely sure this is the case. 25 one, the burden should be on the people



Page 49 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 trying to criminalize it to show this is 2 3 the case. Given how infrequently the stuff is prosecuted, it's not obvious to me that they could carry the burden. But in addition, we got to be a little careful about these sort of good consequences argument because there's lots of things that at least I think we 10 should protect liberty even if it doesn't have the best results. 11 I'm -- I'm kind of a liberty freak and I 12 13 tend to think, look, there's a lot of 14 things that are probably overall pretty 15 harmful things, for example, like eating 16 at fast food or drug use or dropping out 17 of high school or things like that. 18 it's not clear to me that we should 19 criminalize these things even if they 2.0 have overall worse results. 21 So consequences are not going to 22 justify criminalization in these other 23 cases. It's not clear that merely 24 because it produces bad consequences to 25 allow the sex to occur, does that mean



Page 50 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 we should criminalize it? 3 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So that's my 5 concern. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah, no, that's great. And to me I always say so what is the magical thing that happens on the moment you turn 18? There's this 10 magical gigantic wall between 18 and 11 after 18 where this is a radical change. 12 You can have legal sex one minute -- you 13 can't have legal sex one minute and the 14 next you can. It's utterly bizarre. 15 But then to me also, the really 16 devastating, just empirical case against 17 it is Americans are so sure we're right 18 about this. But look around the world. 19 Look at age of consent laws around the 2.0 world. I mean, you have European 21 countries and advanced industrial 2.2 democracies that have age of consent laws that are 13, 14 and 15. 23 In fact, 24 that's the norm in Europe, those ages. 25 We are much higher than almost all other



Page 51 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 countries. They range all over the map, 3 all over the world from I think some countries have it at 12 even; 12 to 24. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And they range considerably in the US, too, between those have like 16 and those of 18. THADDEUS RUSSELL: That's right. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: States that 10 have Romeo and Juliet laws and those 11 that don't. Also, you look at human 12 history, right. I mean, the motion that 13 we have these statutory rape laws is a 14 relatively new thing in human history. 15 As you know much better than me it is 16 fairly recent development. 17 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh yeah. 18 pederasty and incest were -- they may have been illegal in places, but the law 19 2.0 wasn't really enforced, certainly 21 through the Middle Ages. I mean that's 2.2 pretty much I think the consensus is 23 that there was incest that was pretty 24 rampant and no one just talked about it, 25 there was no discourse about it.



Page 52 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 a nonissue until the modern era. 2 3 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. And on some accounts, some of these laws were really aimed at preventing rape. also you have these other exceptions, in addition to the Romeo and Juliet laws, you have the kind of marriage exceptions. Somehow when you have 10 marriage, the sex that would otherwise 11 be a felony, becomes something that you 12 can't prosecute depending on the state. 13 Which tells us that what we're really 14 trying to do is we're trying to protect 15 against emotional harm or against sort 16 of unwanted pregnancy. It's not clear 17 that the sex per se is the problem, it's 18 the consequences. And again, I'm not 19 completely unsympathetic the notion that 2.0 certain things have such horrendous 21 consequences in aggregate that we want 2.2 to criminalize them. But I think, one, 23 it's clear who should bear the burden of proof on that. And two, there's a 24 25 certain price to be paid. I mean, every



Page 53 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 time you put someone in jail for years, 3 there's a huge cost to the individual, let alone to society. And you have to show that the cost benefit analysis supports this. THADDEUS RUSSELL: There's a very slick, sophisticated postmodern argument, I guess, that says that the 10 culture is what causes the harm in 11 people. The cultural assumptions is 12 what causes people to feel as if they've 13 been harmed, which ends up feeling like 14 actual harm, right. 15 And we know psychosomatic 16 illnesses, it's real. It's real. Okay? 17 I've had them. I don't deny it at all. 18 They're realness in that way. But there 19 is no physical basis for it. And there 2.0 have been studies that have shown, I 21 think there have been many studies that 22 I think a majority of so called victims 23 of child sex abuse basically felt bad 24 mostly about the fact that they enjoyed 25 it.



		Page 54
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That's	
3	interesting.	
4	THADDEUS RUSSELL: That there's a	
5	huge amount of guilt about having	
6	enjoyed it or having wanted it in some	
7	way right, among many. Which again so	
8	that tells me that society tells us 24/7	
9	that this is the worst thing you can do.	
10	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
11	THADDEUS RUSSELL: This is the	
12	worst thing. I mean, being a Nazi is	
13	better than this. Right. What do mass	
14	murderers do in prisons? They kill the	
15	pedophiles, right.	
16	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. That's	
17	right. The pedophiles are like, morally	
18	below them.	
19	THADDEUS RUSSELL: This is the	
20	bottom of the bottom of the bottom,	
21	right?	
22	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. Right.	
23	Right.	
24	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah.	
25	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: At the very	



		Page 55
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	least, we'd want to disentangle those	
3	effects. Right? I mean	
4	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah.	
5	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: you might	
6	think that so for a while, there were	
7	laws which prevented in Virginia	
8	until 19 I think it was 1967 laws	
9	which prevented interracial marriage and	
10	in some cases, interracial sex. And you	
11	might think, look, imagine we discovered	
12	that these things were harmful to the	
13	participants, I don't know exactly how	
14	we showed up. But imagine some long	
15	term psychological study. We'd want to	
16	know, well, is the harm due to the fact	
17	that society disapproves of it, or is	
18	there something kind of the way in which	
19	human beings are structured	
20	psychologically?	
21	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
22	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And until we	
23	can disentangle those effects, we don't	
24	know which is producing it.	
25	THADDEUS RUSSELL: That's right.	



Page 56 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So I think at 3 the very least, we could say, look, we need to know what's causing the harm, if there is any harm. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And also, in looking at harm, we want to separate out those individuals who were willing 10 participants and those who are unwilling 11 participants, because it's entirely 12 unsurprising that unwilling participants 13 were harmed. It's true for the adults, 14 it's entirely plausible that it would be 15 very much true for underage individuals. 16 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. 17 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But the 18 interesting cases with regard to willing 19 participants, are those individuals 2.0 harmed? And there I think, look, I 21 mean, again, there's a controversy, and 2.2 at the very least, we'd want to know the 23 answer to that. And then with regard to 24 individuals that are harmed, but we're 25 willing participants, we want to



		Page 57
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	separate out well, what's causing that?	
3	Is it the disapproval.	
4	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. My	
5	other empirical argument is I just ask	
6	the audience, do you know of anyone who	
7	had an illegal relationship in this way?	
8	Do you know of anyone? Right. It's	
9	legion. I mean, I've known dozens and	
10	dozens of people who had illegal	
11	relationships when they were 15, 16 and	
12	the other person was in their 20s. And	
13	especially, by the way, gay men, gay	
14	men, it's super common for the first	
15	sexual experience to be with a man. And	
16	I have talked to many, many gay men who	
17	have described those experiences as	
18	fantastic and wonderful. Right.	
19	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That's gay	
20	men tell me that as well. And they all	
21	seem to say that, look, it allowed them	
22	to sort of discover their sexuality,	
23	become more comfortable with it.	
24	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
25	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But yeah, I	



Page 58 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 mean, same thing with just heterosexual 3 It even shows up in Law and Order SVU when Olivia Benson, she said, 5 look, I had one of these relationships. I thought it was fantastic at the time. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh, yeah. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And given that she's SVU, you'd expect a much harder 10 edged attitude. So I mean, even the 11 anecdotes are mixed. I'm a little 12 skeptical when you take away people's 13 liberty about resting on anecdote. 14 to the extent that you do rest anecdote, 15 you should at least have a unified 16 account of anecdotes. 17 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Do you have --18 and this is not my favorite question, so 19 feel free to just kick this away, but do 2.0 you have ideas about what the law should 21 be? 2.2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So in general, my first view is going to be the 23 24 minimalist account, which is that it 25 should be an empirically driven law and



		Page 59
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	that the burden is on those people who	
3	want to criminalize it. So one account	
4	is to say, look, at least into the	
5	middling teenage years, let's say 14	
6	onward or so, that the burden is going	
7	to be on the person who wants to	
8	criminalize it to show that there's	
9	either real harm or there's a right	
10	infringement that's going on here. So	
11	that the idea is the default position,	
12	not criminalization; the default	
13	position is legalization.	
14	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay.	
15	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: After that, I	
16	kind of go back and forth because	
17	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Sorry, are you	
18	talking about prepubescent and	
19	postpubescent?	
20	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So I'm talking	
21	pubescent first, right?	
22	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah. Okay.	
23	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And then I'll	
24	get the prepubescent next.	
25	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Got you.	



Page 60 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So on the 3 prepubescent case there because, again, a lot of prepubescent sex with adults with prepubescent children doesn't involve intercourse, involves various forms of sexual contact, but not intercourse. There, I've kind of mixed views. I mean, I have the strong 10 suspicion that this stuff is going to be 11 harmful in the aggregate, that it's sort 12 of a dangerous road to go down. On the 13 other hand, I have these other views, 14 which is you couldn't criminalize 15 something unless you can show that it 16 really is harmful in the aggregate. 17 also the view there's no direct wrong 18 maker other than aggregate harm. then the additional view that I'm not 19 2.0 sure that harm is a great test for what 21 we want to criminalize because lots of 2.2 our liberties might involve activities that are indeed harmful and we shouldn't 23 24 criminalize them. We still shouldn't 25 criminalize them because of the value we



		Page 61
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	place on liberty.	
3	So I'm kind of undecided as to	
4	what to do with the sex between adults	
5	and prepubescent and children. What's	
6	your take on this?	
7	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Gosh, I don't	
8	see a reason for the laws. I see that	
9	they've done massive amounts of harm in	
10	this country. So you have about a	
11	million people on the sex offender	
12	registry forever.	
13	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
14	THADDEUS RUSSELL: And that ranges	
15	from people who have tied children down	
16	and raped them to people who were 19 and	
17	had sex with their 17 year old	
18	girlfriend.	
19	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
20	THADDEUS RUSSELL: And everything	
21	in between. And I have many, many	
22	friends who began relationships when	
23	they were teenagers and they dated,	
24	like, their professors or their 30 year	
25	old math teachers in high school and	



		Page	62
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	then had a relationship for another		
3	three, four, five, six, ten years. My		
4	ex-wife is now married to her		
5	ex-professor. After me, she went		
6	back and he's 20 years older. And		
7	it's also like the cultural taboo, the		
8	stigma is so intense, as I said. It's		
9	the worst thing. You don't think we do		
10	enough policing of this on our own as a		
11	society. The cultural reflex is to, as		
12	I said, kill these people. And that		
13	kind of does happen. And there's a		
14	tremendous amount of policing going on.		
15	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Once you're on		
16	the registry, what happens to people is		
17	horrendous.		
18	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh, yeah.		
19	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Stories are		
20	you raised a really good point. And the		
21	other thing is, at all times, rape is		
22	going to be severely punished. So it's		
23	not like we don't have a way to stop		
24	unwilling sex, or in the case of		
25	teenagers, unconsented to sex. So we		



Page 63 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 have a fairly severe mechanism by which 3 to deal with that. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So I think you raise a good point. So with the prepubescent children and the adults as an overall policy, I kind of go back and forth. I'm very sympathetic to your 10 approach in terms of lowering the 11 statutory rape law, I think there's an excellent case for that. 12 13 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh, yeah. 14 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So I think very 15 strong case for that. But to be honest, 16 when I discuss these things -- and I 17 normally don't discuss them because 18 people just do not want to hear they 19 don't want to discuss it. They find it 2.0 completely beyond the pale. Like you, 21 I've gotten all sorts of kind of not 2.2 threats, but various threatening 23 messages. At one point in time, my 24 department secretary contacted the 25 police because of sort of messages that



Page 64 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 people are leaving on the phone for me. 3 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh, boy. bet. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah. There's just no interest in discussing this and pursuing this whatsoever. And I think it's exactly what you're saying, that the background ideas. Look, anyone 10 doing this is a scumbag, and so who 11 cares whether it's just or unjust. 12 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. 13 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I think this is 14 atrocious. I mean, you're just throwing 15 away people's lives, like you said, doing enormous damage to people without 16 17 an adequate case for doing something. And I 18 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah. think it's a very important issue. 19 is not just a couple of academic 2.0 21 provocateurs right. Sitting on our 22 ivory tower. I mean, we are that, but I think it's actually very important. 23 24 think it speaks to for America why we 25 have such high age of consent laws



		Page	65
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	relative to other countries, speaks to		
3	our puritanism. It speaks to the		
4	puritanism of our culture and it's		
5	foundational, right? Number one. And		
6	number two, it speaks to I mean,		
7	Sigmund Freud had the big explanation		
8	for this, which I find quite persuasive,		
9	which is that civilization requires the		
10	taboo on incest, because civilization		
11	requires a hierarchy. And in the		
12	family, if everybody's having sex with		
13	everyone else, the hierarchy breaks		
14	down, and therefore there's no more		
15	model for a civilization within the		
16	family. And therefore you then have		
17	wild savages being produced out of those		
18	kinds of families, which, if you look at		
19	the Middle Ages, when, as I said, incest		
20	was normal, you can make a case for		
21	that. There was a lot of wild, savage		
22	activity uncontrolled. So civilization		
23	in the modern era, Freud says and		
24	Foucault says this to some extent as		
25	well, civilization cracked down on that		



		Page	66
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	hard and first that's the first		
3	taboo, Freud said, the first thing that		
4	they had to crack down on. Because		
5	again, the father needs to be the head		
6	of the family and if he's having sex		
7	with his daughter, then who's who and		
8	where's where and what's what. Right.		
9	And it's a speculative theory, but I		
10	think it's pretty I'm persuaded by it.		
11	But I'm certainly		
12	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Well, in		
13	support of the puritanism, I mean, we do		
14	criminalize plural marriage, for		
15	example.		
16	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah. Right.		
17	Mormons.		
18	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Recently we		
19	allow for gay marriage. There's a		
20	number of policies. It's a little hard		
21	to see how they fit easily with a		
22	society that places primary emphasis on		
23	liberty.		
24	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah.		
25	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. And		



		Page	67
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	also places some sort of emphasis on		
3	evidence. I mean, what would be the		
4	evidence that plural marriage or gay		
5	marriage in some ways makes us worse		
6	off? It's a little hard to see what the		
7	evidence is for it.		
8	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. Yeah.		
9	And it would punish just countless		
10	has already punished countless people		
11	who did things that by anybody's lights,		
12	were completely consensual, even if they		
13	were 16 or 17. Because when that		
14	woman usually it's a woman turns 18,		
15	19, 20, becomes a full fledged adult,		
16	she still says, oh, there was nothing		
17	nonconsensual about that.		
18	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. That's		
19	right. So one of the things yeah. When		
20	you see that if this were to exist,		
21	you'd have retrospective consent.		
22	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah.		
23	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: In addition,		
24	for a long time, they didn't prosecute		
25	it. So even it was in the books, I		



		Page	68
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	think through the 70s, it was rare that		
3	the statutory rape, for example, was		
4	prosecuted, which tells you that people		
5	might have wanted some symbolic		
6	statement against it, but they really		
7	didn't think that it was worth pursuing,		
8	which suggests that they don't think		
9	it's a wrong making feature. Maybe it's		
10	one of the cases where you want to get		
11	in the books that you're expressing		
12	disapproval and then just not act on it		
13	whatsoever.		
14	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah. I know		
15	you've written about pornography. Have		
16	you written about sex work? Because		
17	this is relevant, right, because		
18	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I've not		
19	written about sex work. I've written		
20	about pornography.		
21	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.		
22	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And that was		
23	another area which I thought was		
24	fascinating. And, I don't know, do you		
25	want me to talk about that?		



Page 69 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Let me just say 3 yeah, I do. But my point there was that in sex work this is really relevant 5 because of course, anybody under the age of 18 who sells sex, it is considered not just prostitution, it's considered sex slavery and trafficking. just by definition. You are going to 10 prison for a long time if you ever are a 11 pimp for a 17 year old. 12 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Sure. 13 THADDEUS RUSSELL: And the thing 14 is, of course, that many, if not most 16 15 and 17 year old sex workers say that 16 they're doing this because they want to 17 do it or it's preferable to their other 18 options. And so they don't want to be punished and they shouldn't be punished. 19 2.0 So yeah. What's your take on 21 pornography? Let's do this. Have you 2.2 written a book or just an article on 23 this? 24 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I have a couple 25 of articles and it shows up in some of



Page 70 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 the books. So again, oddly enough, I 3 got, in a roundabout way, like, getting into these kind of unpopular, gritty 5 issues, and sometimes I just enter for theoretical purposes. So violation photograph, which involves pornography, in which there's some sort of either illegal or unjust 10 sex so that the -- the actors appear to 11 be reenacting a rape or one of the 12 participants is underage, even though 13 oftentimes a woman is not actually 14 underage, but pick someone who's young enough and looks underage. 15 And the 16 question is, this stuff is enormously 17 popular. And it's not just enormously 18 popular in pornography, it's enormously popular in people's fantasies. One way 19 2.0 you can see this is by just asking 21 people, do you have rape fantasies? 22 Surprisingly number of women have rape 23 fantasies. 24 THADDEUS RUSSELL: A huge number, 25 yes.



Page 71 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And also just 3 look at romance novels, right? surprisingly common that romance novels 5 involve either involuntary sex or sort of quasi voluntary sex. THADDEUS RUSSELL: They involve women being taken. Being taken is the term. Yeah. 10 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. Some of 11 these experiments seem to have suggested 12 when they actually did -- they actually 13 did the polling results, things like 14 that, they seem to suggest that women 15 actually have rape fantasies. 16 certainly the case that men have rape 17 fantasies. In fact, you can show that 18 men are fairly turned on by graphic 19 depictions of involuntary sex with 2.0 women. 21 So what I was curious is whether 22 or not it's bad or wrong to watch this, 23 because it looks like something 24 inappropriate about it. I mean, you're 25 enjoying something which would normally



		Page 72
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	be horrendously wrong. Doesn't look	
3	like it's something that virtuous people	
4	would do.	
5	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
6	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And so it seems	
7	to be vicious, rather virtuous, and in	
8	that sense it would probably be bad.	
9	There's also an issue of whether it's	
10	wrong. They're a wrong making feature.	
11	We tend to think if your teenage son	
12	were watching this, you'd say, hey, stop	
13	watching this stuff. It's the wrong	
14	thing to do.	
15	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
16	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And so you're	
17	never at a party where someone says,	
18	hey, my wife have really good sex	
19	relations, but when she's out of town on	
20	a business trip, I watch violation	
21	pornography. It never happens. There's	
22	something really objectionable about	
23	this.	
24	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
25	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So I was	



		Page 73
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	curious as to whether this is the case.	
3	So we have like a real divergence here	
4	in that incredibly popular people's	
5	fantasies and what people pay for and	
6	what they read and what they watch. And	
7	yet there seems to be no defense to this	
8	whatsoever. So I first got in through	
9	virtue. Is this a case where someone is	
10	doing something vicious? They're not	
11	well designed. And so I needed what I	
12	thought was the best theory of virtue,	
13	which comes from a University of Toronto	
14	philosopher, Thomas Hurka. And he says,	
15	look, virtue is when you are enjoying	
16	those things which are good and hating	
17	those things which are bad, and vice	
18	involves enjoying those things which are	
19	bad or evil and hating the good. And	
20	it's not clear this is an enjoyment of	
21	an evil or enjoyment of a bad. Why?	
22	Because I think people's content is	
23	something like the following, thinking	
24	to themselves were this action to occur	
25	it would be sexy. Not that it's right	



		Page 74
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	or good, but that it's sexually	
3	arousing. Well, that's not love of	
4	the love of evil, love of something	
5	that's neutral. And then there's an	
6	issue, well, why don't you love	
7	something that's neutral, is that,	
8	itself, vicious? Probably not. We love	
9	lots of things that are neutral. We	
10	watch adventure films all the time and	
11	there's violence all over the place.	
12	THADDEUS RUSSELL: I was going to	
13	say, yeah, murder is just fine. We get	
14	off, we do, we get off on watching	
15	Murder and that's not a problem.	
16	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah, I mean,	
17	just look at Sylvester Stallone or	
18	Arnold Schwarzenegger movies. I mean,	
19	they'll just load it up with violence.	
20	And we don't think that's especially	
21	vicious to enjoy that. In fact, with my	
22	nephew, I've been watching some of these	
23	films, some of these classic Westerns	
24	and war movies, so we watch things like	
25	Platoon and Full Metal Jack and an	



Page 75 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 Apocalypse Now. There's an amount of 3 violence in these shows. We don't think that enjoying the violence, whether it's embedded in the plot or not, is especially vicious. In fact, we think it has good aesthetic awareness to enjoy these things. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. 10 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So I don't 11 think it's vicious because it doesn't involve love of evil. For us, it's not 12 13 clear it's evil at all because it's not 14 a real event, right, it's really an act 15 out event. But even if you thought, 16 well, it's wrong to love something that 17 would be evil were to obtain, it's not 18 clear our love, that seems to be more 19 fine grained. We seem to be enjoying it 2.0 because it's arousing and sexy, not 21 because it's an injustice. 2.2 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yes. 23 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: The other issue 24 is, is there a wrong making feature? 25 even if it leave aside whether it's



		Page	76
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	vicious or virtuous, it's an issue with		
3	something wrong making. And here it's		
4	really hard to see what's wrong making.		
5	Right? There's no right infringement.		
6	It's not obviously harmful either in the		
7	individual case. It's pleasurable in		
8	the individual case, oftentimes it's		
9	certainly not harmful, if anything it is		
10	beneficial. In the aggregate, is it		
11	harmful? Again, here it's mixed.		
12	There's at least some data which		
13	suggests that it's not harmful. In		
14	fact, it reduces sexual violence. Other		
15	laboratory studies seem to suggest the		
16	opposite. So again, here the data is		
17	mixed. I kind of believe the former		
18	data more, but again, it is mixed. But		
19	even there it's not clear something's		
20	wrong if in the aggregate it's harmful.		
21	And I'll give you an example of		
22	this when you watch The Deer Hunter a		
23	major feature of it is Russian roulette.		
24	Now, it's been reported I can't find an		
25	academic site for this, I don't know if		



Page 77 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 it's true, but it's been at least 3 reported that when people when every time they show this on a national network that there are instances of Russian Roulette. So really unnecessary deaths. So overall, showing this movie makes the world a worse place because the amount of pleasure people get from 10 watching it does not outweigh the death of a few people unnecessarily because 11 people decide they're going to try 12 13 Russian Roulette. 14 Again, I can't find academic site 15 when people report this. And I tend to think, well, look, this is not enough to 16 17 ban the movie or even tell the networks 18 not to show it because we tend to think 19 that liberty has its value and that one 2.0 of the values is that we enjoy excellent 21 art of which I think The Deer Hunter is 2.2 an instance of it. I'm not even sure it's harmful in 23 24 the aggregate, and I'm not sure that 25 even if it is harmful, that's enough to



		Page 78
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	make that's wrongful. Again, if you're	
3	not producing harm, if Jones is not	
4	producing harm when he watches it, it's	
5	unclear why the fact that when Smith and	
6	Anderson are producing harm by watching,	
7	it's not clear that Jones shouldn't	
8	watch it. And then the third account	
9	is, well, some people think, well, it's	
10	wrong because it's vicious. Well, it	
11	just kicks us back to why is it vicious?	
12	How is this any different than watching	
13	violent movies?	
14	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah, right.	
15	It's an expression of desire that we now	
16	know is held by many, many people,	
17	probably a majority of people, but it is	
18	simply an expression of desire. And do	
19	we want to make expressions of desire	
20	illicit?	
21	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I think it's an	
22	excellent point. And this doesn't go	
23	into the moral argument, but I also	
24	think it's worth noting that this desire	
25	is probably, at least in part, genetic.	



Page 79 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 And here's why we should think this. 3 The kind of prevalence of rape sex is true across all human cultures. It's 5 true across our other great apes. Human beings are apes, cousins, bonobos, chimpanzees, things like that. So given sort of how widespread it is, it's likely that it has a genetic 10 connection to it. Again, this doesn't 11 make it doesn't affect its moral status. 12 It doesn't make it more likely to be 13 good or right just because it has a 14 genetic linkage. I mean, it might be 15 that stealing people's food has a 16 genetic linkage. That doesn't make it 17 okay. 18 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. 19 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But what it 2.0 tells us is it's probably not this 21 result of societal misogyny or something 22 like that. But again, even if it was 23 that lots of sort of culturally based 24 tastes might be right. And I'm not sure 25 that people would have a genetic taste



			_
1		Page 8	U
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	for beer or for hard spirits. But		
3	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah. Well,		
4	Freud said that repression is required		
5	by civilization, right? Repression of		
6	what he called the id, which is where		
7	all the desire for sex and violence is.		
8	And with that, there is no civilization,		
9	according to him without repression, and		
10	I think he's completely correct about		
11	that. He ends up taking the side of		
12	civilization against the id, and I'd end		
13	up taking the side of the id against		
14	civilization. But I do think		
15	civilization just by definition, must		
16	repress stuff like sexual desires,		
17	especially chaotic desires like that.		
18	So it's just an ongoing war. It's an		
19	ongoing war inside of us, right, between		
20	our desires and what the superego is		
21	telling us to do, what civilization is		
22	telling us to do. But the attempt to		
23	make the desire illicit or illegal is		
24	just a very sort of heavy handed attempt		
25	at repression. And what really needs to		
	<u>-</u>		



		Page	81
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	happen is if you're bothered by the fact		
3	that so many women and men harbor rape		
4	fantasies, then we need to have a		
5	discussion about that. I'm not even		
6	sure we need to have a discussion about		
7	that. But if that's what bothers you,		
8	that's where you look to you got to look		
9	inside of people's minds and their		
10	psyches in very deep, dark places, by		
11	the way. And also, I'm not sure we want		
12	to do that. Why don't we just let them		
13	watch some porn that represents their		
14	desires? And it turns out in places		
15	where porn is totally legal and wild and		
16	off the hook, they have much lower rates		
17	of rape, like in Europe, right?		
18	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.		
19	Although I'm always a little worried		
20	the studies I was relying on were cases		
21	where they looked either the time of		
22	violence and the prevalence of this sort		
23	of pornography. So that's where I was		
24	looking at or kind of changed in		
25	locations, like you said, which are a		



Page 82 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 good way to look to see whether once you 3 control for other factors. But, yeah, I think you have a good 5 point. I guess I'm curious about your defense of the id over the superego in the sense that, look, if people just acted on the strongest desires, there would be widespread violence, if nothing 10 else. We don't want that. 11 THADDEUS RUSSELL: No. No. No. 12 So I say that in a highly developed, 13 highly civilized culture in which there 14 is lots of repression and many, many 15 moral guardians who are very powerful 16 and control all the major institutions, 17 like the modern United States and like 18 modern Europe and like Japan and other places, right? 19 2.0 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah. 21 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Generally 22 speaking, I'm going to be on the side of 23 what I call renegades people who sort of 24 go against the dominant norms even when 25 they're not such nice people. Like the



Page 83 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 Mafia in the 1920s, they sold liquor 2 3 when it was illegal. But aren't we glad that they did? Right. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Prostitutes in the 19th century, they made much higher wages than all other women in the United States, and from that they developed a 10 tremendous amount of economic and political power. Are we glad that 11 12 they -- yes. Need I to go on? Those 13 were all considered to be utterly 14 chaotic, id driven people at the time. 15 Right. What they did was it's true they 16 lived against the dominant norms simply 17 by being themselves and seeking out 18 their own pleasures, right? But when 19 they did that, the rest of us followed 2.0 suit and said, oh, yeah, drinking booze 21 is fun and women having power and the 22 kind of sex that they're talking about 23 is good and all the rest of it. So in a 24 culture, in a society like here or 25 Northern Europe, where it's very



		Page 84
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	organized and there are plenty of cops	
3	of all kinds right, absolutely, I'm	
4	going to be on the side of people like	
5	Kim Kardashian and Paris Hilton and da,	
6	da, da.	
7	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right, right.	
8	So I see what you're saying. Yes.	
9	THADDEUS RUSSELL: And drug and	
10	drug dealers, you know, like, I'm I'm	
11	very much on the side of drug dealers	
12	because I think drugs should be legal,	
13	right.	
14	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
15	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Even though	
16	they're not good guys usually or often.	
17	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right, sure.	
18	THADDEUS RUSSELL: But on the	
19	other side, imagine if we have no one	
20	like that, if we have no renegades in	
21	our society. People all follow the	
22	rules, that's totalitarianism. I mean,	
23	that's a place none of us I hope none	
24	of us want to live in.	
25	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	



		Page 85
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. Sorry.	
3	Just to finish, though, if I live in a	
4	place where there is a very weak central	
5	authority, central state, all of that,	
6	and a weak sort of unifying culture and	
7	the garbage isn't getting picked up and	
8	crime is rampant, oh, I'm going to be	
9	much more interested in cops. Right.	
10	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
11	THADDEUS RUSSELL: So that's that.	
12	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah, it makes	
13	sense to me. It's interesting you and I	
14	come at this to the same conclusion, but	
15	from different places.	
16	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Totally.	
17	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I guess I just	
18	think, look, I'm not sure this defense	
19	of ids so much as defensive of liberty,	
20	right, our freedom. I mean, when you	
21	have kind of less force, people	
22	naturally gravitate towards satisfying	
23	others desires just because of the way	
24	in which that can benefit them.	
25	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Sure, yeah. I	



Page 86 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 just don't like puritanism. So there's 3 nothing good about it, I think. And so I tend to always take the side of their enemies. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I have a certain sympathy with you. I tend to think we can perhaps sidestep whether we like puritanism or not, because one 10 thing is just to have communities which 11 form their own norms and live however 12 they seem fit. I mean, if people want 13 to have very puritan communities in 14 rural Montana, knock yourself out. 15 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Sure. 16 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: People want to 17 have a commune and free love and open marriage in a different part of Montana, 18 19 maybe even like two towns over, great. 2.0 I mean, why -- in some sense, we can 21 sort of sidestep saying, well, which do 22 we prefer? Well, I mean, one answer is 23 that regardless of what I individually 24 prefer what you individually prefer, 25 what's best is what do people like. And



		Page 87
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	it's good that they have the ability to	
3	set up their own communities, set up	
4	their own norms, and use private	
5	property to allow for that.	
6	THADDEUS RUSSELL: 100%.	
7	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: There's no	
8	reason to have puritans and communes	
9	free love communes have to agree. I am	
10	just they just strongly disagree and	
11	still trade with each other.	
12	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah. So	
13	political decentralization is what	
14	you're getting at, which I'm all for.	
15	I'm 100% for that. Yeah, sure. Oh, if	
16	you want to have a communist puritan	
17	city on a hill but you don't want to	
18	impose it on me, as you said, knock	
19	yourself out.	
20	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah.	
21	THADDEUS RUSSELL: I'm just	
22	interested in just putting forward the	
23	alternatives to American puritanism and	
24	saying, hey, here's an offer, a way of	
25	thinking and a way of being and maybe	



		Page 88
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	hopefully a way of living, right? And	
3	if you like it, join me and we'll form	
4	our own community, which is actually	
5	what I'm doing. Yeah, I'm totally with	
6	you. All right.	
7	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: This is a great	
8	point.	
9	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Cool, man. So	
10	listen, let's shit on veterans now.	
11	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So after the	
12	adult child sex, this is the one that	
13	gets the most violent opposition of all	
14	things.	
15	THADDEUS RUSSELL: You are	
16	awesome.	
17	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: If there is	
18	anyone we should be grateful to, is	
19	veterans. So let me give you kind of a	
20	quick argument and then give you a	
21	little more in depth version.	
22	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Great.	
23	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: The quick	
24	argument is compare veterans to farmers,	
25	and they say, okay, well, we owe a lot	



		Page	89
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	to veterans. And they say, well, if we		
3	didn't have veterans as a collection, we		
4	wouldn't be free. We'd be under the		
5	control of another country. But the		
6	same thing is true with farmers, right?		
7	If we didn't have farmers as a		
8	collection, we'd starve to death. And		
9	you think, okay, well, all right, well,		
10	if we didn't have this individual		
11	soldier or airman, a sailor, then we'll		
12	be free. Well, that's not true, because		
13	people are replaceable, and even if they		
14	aren't replaceable, you just have to pay		
15	enough, and you can buy large		
16	replacements. So it's not true with		
17	regard to individuals. It's not true		
18	regard to individual farmers either.		
19	Then you say, well, the average		
20	military person is putting himself in		
21	danger more than the average farmer.		
22	Well, the problem with this is, one,		
23	it's not entirely clear that's true, at		
24	least for some branches of the military		
25	and at various times, and this is		



Page 90 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 certainly not, it's not clear that's all 3 true when you compare dangerous jobs like logging or some of the fishermen. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh, yeah. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So we don't think we should be grateful to them. one thinks that we should have holidays or at least express our gratitude, say 10 thank you to loggers or fishermen, thank 11 you for serving our community. Well, 12 they certainly put themselves at risk, 13 and in some cases put themselves as much 14 at risk as do members of the military. 15 The kind of crude account is and also the other thing is the military, at 16 17 least according to two studies, I think, by the Rand Corporation, have fairly 18 19 strong compensation packages. When you 2.0 look at all the benefits, the salary 21 levels, how soon they could take the 22 retirement package, the overall 23 compensation is quite high. So even if 24 they were putting themselves at risk, 25 you might think, well, they're paid well



Page 91 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 to do so. YOu know, you had a job 3 package. You could be a librarian, which has, like, lesser pay but lower risk, or sort of higher pay, higher risk. And you think, okay, well, you chose which fit your preferences. should we be grateful when you chose this package rather than that package on 10 the basis of it being in your interest. The quick version is why be grateful to 11 12 the military, not to farmers. 13 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. 14 The more STEPHEN KERSHNAR: 15 general view is the more kind of 16 fundamental view is when should you be 17 grateful to someone? Well, think about 18 we should be grateful to our mothers. Why? Mothers sacrificed themselves for 19 2.0 They went well and beyond the duty. 21 They did that in order to benefit us, 22 and they, in fact, benefited us. So their motivation was to benefit us. 23 24 They went beyond the call of duty, and 25 they, in fact, benefited from us. And



		Page 92
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	it's unclear if veterans did these	
3	things, right. It's unclear if their	
4	motivation was to benefit us or to get	
5	this package of benefits.	
6	THADDEUS RUSSELL: There you go.	
7	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: During combat,	
8	it's interesting what the studies show	
9	in terms of why individuals perform	
10	under combat. It seems that they're	
11	fighting for the band of brothers.	
12	They're not fighting for ideas. They're	
13	not fighting for people back home.	
14	They're fighting for the band of	
15	brothers. So at least during combat,	
16	it's not clear that	
17	THADDEUS RUSSELL: They're	
18	fighting for their buddy next to them in	
19	the foxhole.	
20	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That's exactly	
21	right. Yeah. They're fighting for	
22	their brothers in arms.	
23	And did they, in fact, benefit	
24	from us? Well, I guess it depends on	
25	the war. It's a little hard to see why	



		Page 93
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	we benefited from a number of these	
3	wars, you know, wars such as World War	
4	I. It's a little hard to see exactly	
5	how we benefited from World War I.	
6	Vietnam is kind of a giant controversy,	
7	but it's not obvious that we benefit	
8	from World War I. Certainly the sort of	
9	more recent wars, the Serbian War, the	
10	two Iraq Wars, the Afghanistan War.	
11	THADDEUS RUSSELL: They're all	
12	controversial.	
13	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah. The war	
14	in Libya.	
15	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Even World War	
16	II is controversial.	
17	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah, I	
18	actually think that is controversial.	
19	THADDEUS RUSSELL: I'm writing a	
20	book about that right now, actually.	
21	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. That's	
22	interesting because you heard in my view	
23	on this, is so outside the mainstream.	
24	It's even worse than adult child sex.	
25	But it's kind of funny. So it's not	



Page 94 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 clear they, in fact, benefited from us. 3 It's not clearly intended to benefit us, and it's not clear they went above and beyond the call of duty. I mean, that's what the job entails. And the package of benefits also includes what the package of benefits looks like for a young man. So, for example, if you go 10 to West Point now, this I can find no 11 study on, but they report that one of 12 the reasons you want to be, for example, 13 attend West Point is they get you all 14 these social benefits, right? 15 dating and marriage prospects improve, your community holds you high in esteem, 16 17 and that the equivalent job would not 18 produce these benefits. Well, my view is that's part of 19 2.0 the benefits package. Why think then, 21 that because you chose benefit package A 22 rather than benefit package B, we should 23 be grateful? One last reason that we 24 don't that it's probably not good to be 25 grateful is that you can't quantify it,



Page 95 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 right. I mean, you might think, look, 3 we want to know -- we want to choose when to be grateful to someone. didn't choose to be grateful to this individual. And so as a system, it's not clear that we want to use gratitude as a currency rather than cash or other 10 benefits. So under the theory it's not clear that they're similar to our mother 11 or sort of members of the military 12 13 similar to our mother. And I think in 14 terms of what makes us grateful, the 15 answer is no. And then the cruder example is what exactly separates 16 17 someone from the military from a farmer? THADDEUS RUSSELL: So first of 18 19 all, I hope you do separate conscripts 2.0 from volunteers, right? That's very 21 important to me. 2.2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Okay. 23 again, conscripts, we might want to feel 24 bad for them, and we might want to think 25 that we owe them compensation.



		Page 96
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh, yeah.	
3	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But if they're	
4	slaves, it's unclear why we should be	
5	grateful to slaves so much as sorry for	
6	what we've done to them.	
7	THADDEUS RUSSELL: For sure.	
8	Yeah.	
9	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And I think the	
10	draft is atrocious. I mean, I know the	
11	Supreme Court's case saying that it's	
12	not involuntary servitude, but it sure	
13	is how it looks like it.	
14	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah. Oh, you	
15	call it slavery, too.	
16	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah, I think	
17	it's slavery, yes.	
18	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Good man. It's	
19	very rare. Very few people say that,	
20	and I don't get it at all. I don't	
21	understand the objection to that	
22	whatsoever.	
23	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I don't	
24	understand how is it	
25	THADDEUS RUSSELL: How is it not	



Page 97 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 free slavery? How is it not? 3 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah, I do not understand. I don't understand why a free country would want sort of involuntary members of the military. Look, pretty much any wealthy country can afford a military. What they can't afford is they can't afford to hire 10 people at low labor costs. So the issue 11 is, are we going to shift costs from 12 young men and women, usually young men, 13 shift costs from them onto the taxpayer, 14 put the costs on them. It's not clear. 15 There are two different ways of getting 16 an adequate military. It's just a 17 matter of who's going to bear the cost 18 It's not clear you can't get a 19 good military so long as you just keep 2.0 on increasing the wages until you get 21 the people that you want. 2.2 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah. I quess 23 I was taking a slightly different take. 24 I was talking about not so much 25 gratitude, but blame. I blame



		Page 98
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	volunteers for volunteering for wars	
3	that I think actually made the world	
4	worse for me. In some cases, actually	
5	less safe for me. Like the wars of the	
6	Middle East, right?	
7	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
8	THADDEUS RUSSELL: I don't think	
9	it made the world better. I think it	
10	made the world worse for me, for John	
11	McCain to go bomb civilian peasants in	
12	Vietnam in the 1960s, right?	
13	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Sure.	
14	THADDEUS RUSSELL: It did all	
15	sorts of things to that country, a	
16	country I might want to visit, and I	
17	also don't want to have my name attached	
18	to the deaths of those people, which,	
19	unfortunately, it is because the United	
20	States doesn't allow me to choose	
21	whether or not to be a citizen of the	
22	United States. And so when they drop	
23	those bombs with the American flags on	
24	them, unfortunately, I am associated in	
25	the minds of the victims with those	



Page 99 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 bombs. 3 But of course, conscripts is an entirely different story. I mean, to me, you know, they're the worst victims, I think, basically in our history. And yeah, I do think it's slavery and but more to the point, I hold veterans who volunteer for these wars responsible for 10 those wars, and if they were not a good 11 war, they're to blame. I mean, the war wouldn't happen if they hadn't 12 13 volunteered in many cases. 14 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Correct. 15 THADDEUS RUSSELL: They hold some 16 culpability here. 17 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah. Τ 18 actually have a little more mixed view 19 than you do in Vietnam. It's kind of 2.0 interesting. I mean, I don't think you 21 want to diverge there, but I do think 2.2 that these communist countries prove themselves to be quite the slaughtering 23 24 grounds. So I'm not --25 THADDEUS RUSSELL: No doubt.



Page 100 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I think the 3 concern about Dominos at the time was reasonable. I'm not sure I agree with the Vietnam War, but I have a little more mixed views than you do, both because of the concern about Dominos and also because the absolute level of destruction and death that communist 10 regimes brought about. 11 Sure, yeah. So I hear what you're 12 saying about blame. That is a good 13 point. So if you have involuntary even 14 that doesn't completely absolve someone 15 of blame. For example, if you're put --16 so imagine someone is kind of an old 17 case, the Irish Republican Army says 18 they're going to kneecap someone if they don't drive these individuals somewhere. 19 2.0 And to the actual facts, imagine the 21 driver knows they're going to engage in 2.2 a drive by shooting. 23 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. 24 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So he's going 25 to be crippled if they don't, or



		Page 101
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	disabled if he doesn't do it. You might	
3	think he's still blameworthy, or not	
4	fully blameworthy. That has an excuse,	
5	or at least a partial justification in	
6	virtue of the violence that he's facing,	
7	but still not a fully sufficient	
8	justification.	
9	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
10	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And you might	
11	also think with regard to some of these	
12	voluntary participants, if they don't	
13	know to assess what they're doing or	
14	they just get it wrong, that they're not	
15	fully blameworthy even if they are	
16	blameworthy. So I'll give you an	
17	example. So do you think take the	
18	Libyan War or the Serbian War, where	
19	Congress refused to fund the war. So it	
20	wasn't just there was no declaration of	
21	war. They didn't even agree to fund it.	
22	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
23	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: You might think	
24	that's a violation of the Constitution	
25	and that a member of the military takes	



		Page 102
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	rage 102
2	an oath to protect and defend the	
3		
	Constitution. Do you think the members	
4	of the military who participated in that	
5	were oath breakers? And that they've,	
6	in some sense, earned our contempt or at	
7	least considerably less respect because	
8	they didn't live up to their oath?	
9	THADDEUS RUSSELL: I guess so.	
10	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Or do you think	
11	that they're not blameworthy because	
12	their interpretation of the	
13	Constitution, while incorrect, is not	
14	obviously wrong?	
15	THADDEUS RUSSELL: I mean, I guess	
16	technically they're oath breakers.	
17	Although I'm just not concerned because	
18	I don't care about the Constitution in	
19	the way that a lot of people do. Or I	
20	should say that's not my concern. My	
21	concern is simply that I'm opposed to	
22	these wars and these people chose to	
23	fight them. But, yeah, I guess they're	
24	technically oath breakers. I guess I	
25	have some sympathy no, I don't, for	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	



Page 103 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 volunteers. Sorry. You get all the 2 3 blame, buddy. I don't get it. If you get all the praise and the 5 credit, right, for protecting our country and our freedoms and our rights and blah, blah, well, you get the blame if it goes wrong if you volunteered. 10 You know, the Iraqi children who 11 are dead, are dead in part because of you and your participation in that war. 12 13 Same with the Vietnamese, same with the Japanese, and same with all of them. 14 15 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. 16 THADDEUS RUSSELL: I don't know 17 how to get around that. But not the 18 slaves, the ones who actually like John McCain, he volunteered. He knew what he 19 2.0 was doing. He knew that he would be 21 dropping bombs on civilians, and that's 2.2 what he did. And he was shot down. 23 I'm glad he was. 24 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Here's my 25 question then.



Page 104 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 THADDEUS RUSSELL: I'm glad he was 3 shot down because there was a war going on, and I would rather the Vietnamese have won that war. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. SO I'm just curious about this. I'm not disagreeing with you, but I'm curious about this. SO given the history of 10 starvation and slaughter in places like the Soviet Union, Maoist China, the 11 12 Khmer Rouge in Cambodia, why not -- and 13 again, like I said, I'm not disagree 14 with you, and I do think there is a 15 declaration of war requirement in the 16 Constitution. I know I no one holds it 17 to that. That's my view. So I think these wars are all unconstitutional. 18 19 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh, sure. 2.0 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But why not 21 think that? There was legitimate 22 It was borne out that the concern. 23 North Vietnamese were going to be 24 slaughtered. Again, it might not be a 25 business, may not have declared war.



		Page 105
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	The South Vietnamese regime might have	
3	been corrupt.	
4	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
5	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But, I mean,	
6	given that it's a reasonable prediction	
7	that the North Vietnamese would	
8	slaughter hundreds of thousands of	
9	innocent people, and, in fact, on some	
10	accounts, they did do so	
11	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Sure.	
12	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: why think	
13	that that's not it's at least a murky	
14	case in terms of justice. Leaving aside	
15	the legality, it's at least a murky case	
16	of justice.	
17	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah. So if	
18	you don't like what the Communists did,	
19	which I certainly don't, and you didn't	
20	mention Cambodia, which is the worst of	
21	them all, right?	
22	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah. I was	
23	going to ask you about the next,	
24	actually.	
25	THADDEUS RUSSELL: But, yeah, I	



Page 106 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 mean, all of them. Stalin, Mao, China, 3 Vietnam, yeah, every one of them I They were mass murderous detest. regimes. Here's what I'm arguing in the book I'm writing, which is that the diffusion of American popular culture, especially sort of low brow popular 10 culture across the world over the last 11 century and a half has done more to 12 subvert authoritarian regimes like 13 communist regimes, like fascism in Italy 14 and Germany, like communism in the 15 Soviet Union than anything the 101st 16 Airborne could ever do. So that 17 military interventions actually hardens. 18 It tends to harden the regime that's 19 being attacked, and it gives the regime, 2.0 like in North Korea, the fact that we 21 are surrounding that country with our 2.2 military gives the dictators of that 23 country great reason, great excuse to 24 militarize and regiment their population 25 and to impose all sorts of controls on



		Page 107
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	them. But when jazz and the blues and	
3	all these people were coming into	
4	Germany and Berlin and gays in the 1920s	
5	and early '30s, they were subverting	
6	every minute of every day, everything	
7	that constitutes National Socialism. So	
8	that's why Hitler and the Nazis that was	
9	one of the first things they did, was	
10	they wanted to cut off all the imports	
11	of popular entertainment from especially	
12	America, because most Germans were way	
13	more interested in Louis Armstrong than	
14	they were in Adolf Hitler, as a matter	
15	of fact.	
16	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So and I'm	
17	similar to everything you're saying, but	
18	I'm curious about the Khmer Rouge,	
19	right, or the pole pot regime. We had a	
20	small group of leaders. They killed	
21	roughly 2 million people. Killed in a	
22	short time and relatively direct manner.	
23	Would you be opposed to sending in,	
24	assuming there was a declaration of	
25	wars, there wasn't, the B-52s to take	



		Page 108
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	out that small regime?	
3	THADDEUS RUSSELL: No, because	
4	that's what started it. It was B-52s	
5	who started that. The B-52s	
6	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Fair enough.	
7	But, I mean, once they started I	
8	absolutely agree with you on that. But	
9	once they're in place, would you then	
10	send them to B-52s to take them out?	
11	THADDEUS RUSSELL: No, I mean, I	
12	don't know. I don't think so, no.	
13	Nixon carpet bombed Cambodia.	
14	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I agree with	
15	everything you're saying.	
16	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Before and	
17	that sort of set the stage for the	
18	killing fields, the famous killing	
19	fields of Cambodia. So bombing tends	
20	not to have produced good results in my	
21	review.	
22	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah. No, I	
23	think it's a great point. And it	
24	returning to the veterans. So one thing	
25	you might say is that, look, before	



		Page 109
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	we're grateful to veterans, we should	
3	actually look into whether one of two	
4	things are true. Did their course of	
5	action was it reasonably expected to	
6	benefit us? And separately, did it	
7	benefit us? And if the answer is no on	
8	both	
9	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
10	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: and if	
11	they're not motivated to benefit us	
12	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
13	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: it doesn't	
14	look like a case for gratitude.	
15	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah.	
16	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Even if someone	
17	does benefit us, if they don't do it in	
18	order to benefit us, it's unclear why we	
19	should be grateful. I mean, lots of	
20	people have benefited from Frank	
21	Sinatra's music. I like Sinatra's	
22	music, and obviously hundreds of	
23	millions of people like. But does that	
24	mean we should be grateful to Frank	
25	Sinatra?	



		Page 110
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah.	
3	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I'm not sure	
4	why. I mean, that's a case where he did	
5	benefit us. I'm not sure he was	
6	motivated to benefit from us. I don't	
7	exactly know what motivated Frank	
8	Sinatra.	
9	THADDEUS RUSSELL: You mean	
10	collective gratitude. I'm individually	
11	grateful for Frank Sinatra's existence,	
12	sort of in a Buddhist way. I'm just	
13	grateful that he exists in my life, and	
14	it made my life better. And I	
15	appreciate that. But as an individual,	
16	you're talking about collective sort of	
17	coercion.	
18	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: No. I mean, so	
19	you can be individually grateful. It's	
20	question is whether you should be	
21	individually grateful.	
22	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay.	
23	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Imagine someone	
24	has	
25	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Well, what's	



		Page 111
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	the problem with being individually	
3	grateful for a great artist existing?	
4	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Well, the	
5	concern is that if the gratitude doesn't	
6	have a proper justification, then it	
7	seems to be mistaken, even if it doesn't	
8	harm anyone.	
9	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay.	
10	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So imagine that	
11	you are grateful to someone whose	
12	behavior is just flagrantly unjust. So	
13	that you, for whatever reason, you're	
14	grateful to Typhoid Mary because she led	
15	you to write this fantastic screenplay,	
16	which made you very rich and famous.	
17	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
18	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I mean, you	
19	could be pleased that she existed and	
20	sort of glad that she existed. I'm not	
21	sure why you'd be grateful to her,	
22	though. I mean, did she really aim to	
23	benefit you? Would she try to benefit	
24	you?	
25	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah.	



		Page 112
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Again, maybe	
3	it's not irrational to have attitudes	
4	that are systematically mistaken, but	
5	they are mistaken nonetheless. And to	
6	the extent that these attitudes have a	
7	truth condition, they seem to be false.	
8	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. So is it	
9	about the fact that I don't know Frank	
10	Sinatra or Typhoid Mary or a veteran?	
11	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Well, it's not	
12	bad. It's that they don't meet the	
13	conditions of gratitude, not similar to	
14	your mother.	
15	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Well, yeah,	
16	because they didn't have me in mind.	
17	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That's right.	
18	They didn't have you in mind.	
19	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah, that's	
20	right.	
21	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: They didn't try	
22	to benefit other people like you or	
23	collect which includes	
24	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Because we	
25	don't know each other. I'm saying the	



Page 113 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 basis of your critique here. 3 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right, right. That's right. And some cases, is it 5 okay to be angry at someone who didn't do anything to us? Could you have a secondary anger? Perhaps, but it's not clear that you can have gratitude for if A benefits B, it's not clear that you 10 can be grateful on behalf of B. 11 Gratitude seems to be something that's 12 tied to the individual. 13 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah. This is 14 sort of reminding me of sports fans, 15 right. Having this feeling of not just 16 loyalty, but familial association with 17 their team, right. So they'll say we 18 when they refer to the Buffalo Bills, even though they've never set foot on a 19 football field. Right? 2.0 21 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That's right, 22 yeah. 23 THADDEUS RUSSELL: And so that's 24 just silly. And also they express 25 gratitude when the team does something



		Page 114
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	good, even though the team has no idea	
3	they exist, basically.	
4	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Or when a	
5	player retires, right. They show off	
6	the gratitude. They say, look, I'm this	
7	grateful to all the enjoyment that	
8	you've given me, even if the player did	
9	it solely either to gain money and fame	
10	or to benefit their fellow players or	
11	because they just believe in the	
12	excellence of the sport, right. If they	
13	didn't try to benefit the fans, it's a	
14	little or it's not a strong	
15	motivation for them.	
16	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
17	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And you can see	
18	this kind of so a significant number of	
19	people who go to West Point leave after	
20	their first tour.	
21	Now, my guess is if you really	
22	increase the package, they wouldn't	
23	leave, which shows that a significant	
24	feature of the decision making is self	
25	interested. And I don't think there's	



		Page 115
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	anything wrong with that.	
3	But if they're acting from a	
4	largely or at least significantly	
5	self-interested motivation, it's a	
6	little unclear why we should be	
7	grateful. Now, take the case of you and	
8	Frank Sinatra. Look, you can be pleased	
9	that Frank Sinatra exists, and you might	
10	think that Frank Sinatra has benefited	
11	you, but it's unclear why you would be	
12	grateful to Frank Sinatra.	
13	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay, I get it.	
14	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Any more than	
15	you'd be grateful to Typhoid Mary if	
16	you're writing a book about her.	
17	THADDEUS RUSSELL: So the	
18	appropriate response is to be pleased,	
19	not grateful.	
20	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yes.	
21	THADDEUS RUSSELL: To you. Okay.	
22	Yeah.	
23	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Or just happy	
24	that Frank Sinatra exists with the music	
25	that he did.	



		Page	116
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	5	
2	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. So I		
3	think this is, again, politically		
4	important, especially when people		
5	especially in politics, right, meaning		
6	formal politics, like election.		
7	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yes. Right.		
8	THADDEUS RUSSELL: So people		
9	merging their identities with the		
10	Buffalo Bills is one thing. When they		
11	merge their identities with the Head of		
12	State or with one of the major political		
13	parties that is at the head of the		
14	largest superpower in the history of the		
15	world, we're in real danger or		
16	anywhere. I mean, that is the root of		
17	all authoritarian politics. That is the		
18	root of fascism, communism. All of it		
19	is the merger of you, the individual,		
20	and your identity with the nation state		
21	represented by the head of state.		
22	So this is why when people do this		
23	in football or baseball or basketball,		
24	I'm annoyed, I'm not screaming at them,		
25	but I do want to point out that if you		



		Page 117
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	keep acting this way, behaving this way,	
3	and thinking about political figures	
4	this way, which they inevitably do, we	
5	are headed toward a regimented,	
6	essentially totalitarian society in	
7	which there's a cult of personality	
8	around the Head of State.	
9	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That's	
10	interesting. Yeah. I mean	
11	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Again, it's not	
12	an academic quibble here. To me, this	
13	is important.	
14	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Could you	
15	identify with a political party or a	
16	leader and still believe strong in	
17	individual rights and say, Look, I	
18	believe in this individual or this	
19	party, but to the extent that they start	
20	trampling on people's rights, I'll dump	
21	them like a hot potato drop them like	
22	a hot potato.	
23	THADDEUS RUSSELL: I mean, if	
24	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I'm not sure	
25	it's an impossible position	



Page 118 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 conceptually. Maybe it's empirically 3 difficult to make that move, but I'm not sure it's --THADDEUS RUSSELL: In other words, once you do that with the nation state, right, you're basically giving them carte blanche. You're giving them permission to do things to you, right. 10 Because you're saying, Well, they are me 11 and I am them, and so whatever they 12 need, I will do. Whether including 13 marching off to war in a foreign land 14 that I've never heard of. 15 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yes. So I 16 think that's a good point. I wonder, 17 though, whether you could identify with 18 the group and I'm not saying we do this, but whether you could identify a group 19 2.0 in virtue of its role in either 21 producing good results or in protecting 2.2 those rights. So identify them because they're the leading defender of our 23 24 rights or because they're the leading 25 defender of the efficient policy.



		Page 119
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh, yeah. If	
3	I'm convinced that once they take	
4	office, they will dismantle the office,	
5	then I wouldn't mind identifying with	
6	them. But no politician I've ever known	
7	of has done that, right? Not really.	
8	And they want to take over state power	
9	with all of its violence. State power	
10	is about it's the monopoly on violence.	
11	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
12	THADDEUS RUSSELL: And that's what	
13	it's all about, is about the cops and	
14	the army. At the end of the day, that's	
15	what it is, right. And they're also	
16	interested in keeping me orderly. They	
17	want me that's their primary	
18	interest, me, Thad Russell they want me	
19	to be orderly and law abiding. Right?	
20	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yes.	
21	THADDEUS RUSSELL: And not be	
22	critical of the government, not be	
23	critical of American institutions, not	
24	be critical of the Constitution or the	
25	Declaration of Independence and our	



Page 120 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 great heroes and our late, great 3 presidents, right. That's what they want, and that's what they get when they 5 find a patriot. They get someone who's not -- yeah. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That's interesting. Yeah. I guess -- I think you make a really good point. I'm just 10 not entirely sure that -- your 11 identification couldn't be, in part, 12 ideas based that I identify with Leader 13 X or Country Y or Party Z in virtue of 14 the fact that they're promoting these 15 ideas. 16 THADDEUS RUSSELL: No, identifying 17 with ideas is just fine. 18 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. But I'm wondering if you can sort of run these 19 2.0 things together or one as an instrument 21 to the other. I identify with this 22 instrument as a way of bringing about 23 these ideas. Again, I'm not disagreeing 24 with you. I'm just kind of putting 25 forth the idea that some identification



		Page :	121
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	could be instrumental.		
3	THADDEUS RUSSELL: I hear you.		
4	Oh, yeah. Obviously, there have been		
5	candidates for president who I agreed		
6	with much more than other candidates,		
7	but then I just sort of saw them as		
8	again, sort of tools. But I would never		
9	sort of put their face on my T-shirt and		
10	I wouldn't put their name on my bumper		
11	sticker and I wouldn't you know what		
12	I mean? And I wouldn't call them by		
13	their first name like a lot of people		
14	do, which really turns my stomach when		
15	people call politicians by their first		
16	names. My parents do that. It wasn't		
17	Biden and Harris who won. It was Joe		
18	and Kamala who won, Steve, if you're		
19	wondering.		
20	All right, this has been super		
21	fun. I love this so much. All right,		
22	we've got one more. We got the big one.		
23	Your latest book, Total Collapse.		
24	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So this book		
25	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Hold on. It's		



Page 122 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 called Total Collapse: The Case against 3 Morality and Responsibility. When I saw that, I almost had an orgasm. I'm just being honest with you. Now, I will say, and this is the book I know best of yours. It's a complicated argument that you make, and there's a major piece of it that I 10 struggle with, which is about free will. 11 But you just lay it out now and then we'll see if we can flush it out for our 12 13 lay audience and myself. 14 So I'll STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah. 15 make two claims. One is that the way in 16 which we think morality works, it does 17 not work. And probably the best explanation is that there is no morality 18 19 and that there's also no responsibility. 2.0 So let me take the responsibility 21 first. So we often think that 2.2 individuals are morally responsible. 23 And by that what we mean roughly is that 24 they're the sort of individuals that can 25 be praised or blamed in a correct



Page 123 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 manner. I mean, you can praise or blame 3 your dog or the cabinet door that bangs your head, but it's incorrect. But the idea is that people can be correctly praised or blamed because they warrant it. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: The problem is 10 it's a little hard to see what it is that makes someone morally responsible. 11 12 Now, there are largely two 13 candidates in the literature -- and sort 14 of variance in this, but basically two 15 candidates. One is that someone makes a choice, and in virtue of making a 16 17 choice, they're morally responsible. 18 That is they're going to be praiseworthy or blameworthy or have a neutral level, 19 2.0 neither zero level of praiseworthiness 21 or blameworthiness. 2.2 So there's a choice theory, and 23 then there's kind of a character theory 24 that your psychology, or more 25 specifically, your psychology at a time



		Page 124
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	makes you praiseworthy or blameworthy.	
3	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay.	
4	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: The problem is	
5	that neither explanation seems to be	
6	intuitively correct. Take the choice.	
7	If you're responsible for your choice	
8	but you're not responsible for the	
9	psychology which brought it about, then	
10	it's a little hard to see why you could	
11	be responsible based on the choice	
12	alone. So, for example, if Patty Hearst	
13	really were brainwashed I don't think	
14	she was.	
15	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Sorry. Some	
16	people don't know who Patty Hurst is.	
17	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So Patty Hearst	
18	was a woman who was kidnapped in the	
19	I think it was the early '70s	
20	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yes.	
21	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: By a Marxist	
22	revolutionary group called the	
23	Symbionese Liberation Army.	
24	THADDEUS RUSSELL: In my hometown.	
25	She was kidnapped in Berkeley when I was	



		Page 125
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	growing up there. Yeah, sorry.	
3	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Oh, is that	
4	right?	
5	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah. Yeah.	
6	She's an heiress of the Hearst Newspaper	
7	Fortune family.	
8	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. And	
9	they kept her locked in a closet for 51	
10	days, wherein supposedly they beat her,	
11	they sleep deprived her, and on her	
12	account, they raped her. And so then	
13	she seemed to be a willing participant	
14	in their revolutionary activities,	
15	including things like robbing banks.	
16	THADDEUS RUSSELL: She robbed a	
17	bank, famously, it was captured on the	
18	video camera and with wearing the beret	
19	and also posing with a gun in front of	
20	the Symbionese Liberation Army in logo.	
21	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
22	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah.	
23	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And she tried	
24	to help her members escape. And even	
25	when the police tried to rescue her, not	



		Page	126
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar		
2	knowing who she was, she never said,		
3	Hey, I am Patty Hearst.		
4	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.		
5	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So the standard		
6	account is that she's brainwashed. Now,		
7	leave aside whether she actually was		
8	brainwashed, but brainwashing is		
9	impossible. If she were brainwashed,		
10	the fact that she made a choice would		
11	not make her morally responsible because		
12	she's not responsible for the psychology		
13	which led to that choice, even if it		
14	didn't determine it or dictate it. Same		
15	thing if God were to create someone from		
16	nothing, you might be religious told		
17	this, but if there were God and he were		
18	to create someone from nothing, and like		
19	a split second later, the person were to		
20	act. So they were to have a fully		
21	formed psychology, which they neither		
22	chose nor controlled, and they were to		
23	act on, it does not seem that		
24	individual's response for that choice.		
25	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Hang on now.		



Page 127 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Because they're 3 not responsible for the psychology that led to it. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Sorry. Yeah, that part you lose me on. How are we not responsible for our own psychology? STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I'll get to that in a second, but I want to do the 10 choice first. So imagine God created someone with a complete psychology, 11 12 right? So imagine the person is 13 normally a completely egoist. They only 14 act on their self interest, except in 15 this narrow case, which didn't apply, and the person, as a result, let's say 16 17 it's Frankenstein's monster kills a 18 small child right after sudden creation. We don't think, well, the Frankenstein's 19 2.0 monster would not be responsible. Why? 21 Because he didn't control or didn't 22 choose a psychology. And his choice 23 flowed from his psychology, leaving 24 aside whether it was dictated. 25 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.



Page 128 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So it doesn't 2 3 make it responsible on the basis of choice. But the same thing is true with psychology. If you didn't choose your psychology, it's unclear why you would be responsible for it. Similarly, if Patty Hearst was brainwashed because she has this psychology, it's not clear that 10 that makes her responsible because she 11 didn't choose it. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. 12 13 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Same thing if 14 Frankenstein's monster was created all 15 at once and then split second after creation as a psychology. That doesn't 16 17 seem to make him responsible, again, 18 because he didn't choose a control 19 psychology. Well, if the basis of 2.0 21 responsibility is not a choice, and if 22 it's not your psychology, there doesn't 23 seem to be any other basis. The only 24 other option is to stack them up and say 25 combinations of choice and psychology,



Page 129 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 or combinations of psychology and choice 3 to it. THADDEUS RUSSELL: But wait --5 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But if neither one by itself makes you responsible, it's unclear why stacking them up would make them responsible. THADDEUS RUSSELL: But hang on. 10 So your examples are Dr. Frankenstein 11 creating the psychology of the monster, 12 and the Symbionese Liberation Army 13 creating the psychology of Patty Hearst. 14 In which case so you're stipulating, 15 right, that she was brainwashed. 16 you stipulate that, that's fine. 17 that. So neither one in that case is 18 responsible for their own psychology. But that's not most people, right? 19 2.0 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Absolutely. 21 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. 2.2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But the idea is 23 that what makes you responsible has to 24 be something. It has to be your choice 25 or your psychology. Because there's



Page 130 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 just a feedback loop, right? 3 exercise choice. You change your psychology based on your change psychology, you make further choices. Based on your choices, you happen to further change the psychology. There's a feedback loop. But it's unclear why cycling 10 through this again and again and again makes you responsible. If neither one 11 12 makes you responsible. That is, if A 13 doesn't make you responsible and B 14 doesn't make you responsible, it's 15 unclear why the combination of them would make you responsible. 16 17 Now, you're right. We do have 18 this feedback loop. We're not like Frankenstein's monster. We choose our 19 2.0 psychology to some degree. 21 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. And we're not 2.2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: 23 like Patty Hearst in that our choices do 24 flow from our psychology and not a 25 psychology that someone externally



Page 131 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 imposed on us, at least to that greater 3 degree. But the idea is, if you ask yourself what it is that is the foundation of responsibility, what it is that's the basic thing that makes you responsible, it seems there's two options in those. Neither one is sufficient to make you responsible. 10 THADDEUS RUSSELL: So if you 11 choose your own psychology and then you make a choice to do something bad -- I'm 12 13 still not getting the argument. Why are 14 you not then responsible? 15 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Well, because 16 ask yourself, what is it that would make 17 you responsible. Was it the fact that 18 you chose your psychology, or was it the 19 fact that your choice flowed from your 2.0 psychology? 21 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. 2.2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So if it's not 23 the first choice, and by hypothesis, 24 it's not right, because that seems to 25 flow from psychology you didn't choose,



		Page 132
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	let's just assume it's your first	
3	choice.	
4	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay.	
5	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So you're not	
6	responsible for that. If you're not	
7	responsible for the choice, why would	
8	you be responsible for the psychology	
9	that flowed from it?	
10	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay.	
11	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
12	Because, of course, that psychology	
13	is and you're responsible for the	
14	choice. So how is it a choice for	
15	which you're not responsible can lead	
16	you to be responsible for psychology?	
17	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. I guess	
18	okay	
19	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But if you're	
20	not responsible for the psychology, why	
21	would you be responsible for the choice	
22	that flowed from it.	
23	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay.	
24	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So the idea is	
25	that we never sort of break into the	



Page 133 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 system. We never get something that 3 makes you responsible. So let me give you a related 5 argument. It's not my argument, but this is a related argument. It's from a professor at was at Oxford now. he's at CUNY, Galen Strawson. And his argument, again, similar to mine, but 10 different, has two basic premises. One 11 premise is you're responsible for what 12 you do -- sorry, what you do depends on 13 who you are. What you do depends on who 14 Seems to be correct, right? you are. 15 The choices that you make depend on your 16 psychology. 17 And the second claim is no one is 18 responsible for who he is. And the 19 reason for that, Strawson claims is that 2.0 you're not self created. But -- and 21 again, another way to see it is you 2.2 didn't choose your psychology. If you 23 did, that's just going to kick the 24 problem back one step. 25 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.



Page 134 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So Strawson's 3 view rests on two premises. What you do depends on who you are and no one's responsible for who you are. My account is more direct. It just says, look, what is it that makes you responsible? I think there are two plausible candidates a choice and a psychology. 10 Neither one by itself is sufficient. 11 And if we stack them together in various 12 combinations, you know, combinations of 13 choice, psychology or psychology choice 14 or vast sequences of them, it's kind of 15 like the old slogan, I'm losing money on each sale, but I'm making up in volume. 16 17 THADDEUS RUSSELL: 18 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Well, if we don't add responsibility into the 19 2.0 system, then long sequences of events or 21 states are not going to be not going to 2.2 allow an individual to be responsible. 23 THADDEUS RUSSELL: So are you're 24 attacking the concept of free will. Am 25 I right?



		Page 135
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yes. I	
3	actually don't I think it's a	
4	separate issue. I don't think we really	
5	care about free will. I think we care	
6	about moral responsibility. So free	
7	will matters, I think only to the degree	
8	that makes us morally responsible. I	
9	don't know whether we have free will or	
10	not. I suspect not. But whether we do	
11	or not, if we have free will, if it	
12	doesn't make us morally responsible, why	
13	should we care? It could be that lions	
14	and jaguars have free will. I mean, how	
15	is that relevant?	
16	THADDEUS RUSSELL: So it's funny	
17	that you were expressing concern about	
18	my renegades taking over society, yet	
19	you make a whole book argument against	
20	social responsibility, don't you? Isn't	
21	that what this is?	
22	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah, I guess	
23	any responsibility.	
24	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah. Right.	
25	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And then the	



Page 136 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 case against morality then is even more 3 damaging, right? So the idea against morality is 5 that there's only kind of a couple of bases for what makes things right or wrong. Most standard account are rights. So we think, okay, people have rights and this is just and usually 10 there's two justifications of rights, right? People have rights because 11 either they're morally responsible and 12 13 rights protect give us space, elbow room 14 to exercise their responsibility. 15 Obviously a problem for not responsible or they protect people's interests. 16 17 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. 18 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: The problem 19 with both theories is that rights are 2.0 not going to do the work that we want 21 them to do if they protect autonomy or 22 interest. And the way you can see that is that certain activities are in our 23 24 interest or not in our interest and are 25 in our interest and not they sort of



		Page 137
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	turn on, turn off. We don't think	
3	rights work that way. It might be the	
4	case that if I don't smoke but if I	
5	smoked a cigarette at this time, I would	
6	enjoy it, so I get net benefit, but if I	
7	did it later, the possibility for	
8	addiction would be worse than my	
9	enjoyment. So that's not my interest,	
10	but it is my interest. We don't think	
11	rights turn on and off like that.	
12	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
13	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: We also don't	
14	think when people have conflicting	
15	interests, that whoever's stronger	
16	interests wins out. So if someone has a	
17	greater interest in my stereo than I do,	
18	and they come at the house to take my	
19	stereo, we don't think they have a right	
20	to it just because they have a greater	
21	interest. And yet, if interest justify	
22	rights, it's hard to see why that would	
23	not be true. Some people say, well,	
24	it's not the individual interest, it's	
25	the collection's interests. Well, one,	



Page 138 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 there's all sorts of bizarre results on 3 that, right? It tells us that if enough people are interested in something, then they have a right to it, regardless of how just obviously unjust it is. enough people get their kicks out of watching subgroup victimized by some horrendous violence, they have a right 10 to it because it would maximize overall 11 interest and the same thing at the same 12 game we play with autonomy. 13 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah. Rights 14 are religious thinking. These are 15 If you go to Thomas religious beliefs. Jefferson and it's like, well, where do 16 17 these rights come from? Oh, well, they 18 come from the Creator. Oh, end of 19 discussion. Oh, well. And they get a 2.0 little more sophisticated in the 19th 21 century, they say, oh, well, they 22 actually come from nature. Oh, really? 23 Which blade of grass or cloud in the sky 24 does it say that we have a right to free 25 speech or whatever?



		Page 139
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
3	THADDEUS RUSSELL: These are	
4	inventions. They're social constructs,	
5	obviously. Some of them I like, and	
6	some of them I don't. But it's so	
7	hilarious to me when people talk about	
8	them as if they're God given. People	
9	who are secular people, secular thinkers	
10	who then they talk as if it's either	
11	derived from nature or God, as if, yeah,	
12	show me that. Where's the theorem?	
13	Where's the proof of this? You can't	
14	even begin to prove that.	
15	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah. And even	
16	like I said, I agree with what you're	
17	saying. And it's hard to see how this	
18	justificational element works. People	
19	think you can waive rights, but if	
20	rights were really fundamental, say,	
21	protected choice or autonomy, then how	
22	could the same thing both protect the	
23	right and protect your ability to waive	
24	it?	
25	But again, it's hard to see how	



		Page 140
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	whether you focus on individual cases,	
3	my interest at this time, or a	
4	population's interest over time, the	
5	population's interest over time has	
6	problems, really bizarre. One	
7	problem the objection wasn't tied to	
8	this, but I think you can use it for	
9	it use it for it. So if the	
10	interests of humanity are sort of	
11	promoted or promoted or set back by	
12	individuals having this right, then	
13	whether I should have a right now	
14	depends on what's true about the ancient	
15	Egyptians, right? Whether this would	
16	have benefited or harmed them.	
17	THADDEUS RUSSELL: It's eternal.	
18	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But it's kind	
19	of odd to say, like whether or not I	
20	have this right depends on facts about	
21	ancient Egyptians.	
22	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. These	
23	are eternal truth claims, right? It's	
24	eternal. Rights are rights everywhere	
25	and always forever.	



		Page 141
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. That's	
3	right. And if they're going to do the	
4	work that we want them to, they're going	
5	to have to function like that.	
6	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Of course.	
7	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And they have	
8	to be true across all time	
9	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Space and time.	
10	Space	
11	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Across all	
12	possible worlds.	
13	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Exactly.	
14	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But the other	
15	justifications don't seem to work any	
16	better. If consequentialism is true	
17	first of all, if you're not morally	
18	responsible, it's unclear why anything	
19	is right or wrong.	
20	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Explain	
21	consequentialism.	
22	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So	
23	consequentialism just says that so	
24	people often have heard this	
25	utilitarianism. It just says that the	



```
Page 142
           Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar
 1
 2
         ends justify the means.
                                  That is,
 3
         whether or not your action is right
 4
         depends on whether or not it brings
 5
         about the best results. If it does --
               THADDEUS RUSSELL: It's amoral.
               STEPHEN KERSHNAR: What is that?
               THADDEUS RUSSELL: It's amoral,
         right?
10
               STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Well, it says
11
         that the rightness depends on whether or
        not you're making the world a better
12
13
         place or a worse place.
14
               THADDEUS RUSSELL:
                                 Right. Okay.
15
         I guess -- okay. In that case -- in
         that sense it is moralistic.
16
17
         true. Right?
18
               STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.
                                          So it
19
        is a theory of morality. So there's a
2.0
        number of problems with it. One problem
21
         with it is that, look, if we're not
22
        morally responsible, then we're not the
23
         sort of things that do right or wrong
24
        actions. I mean, no one says that -- so
25
        if a gecko eats a praying mantis, we
```



Page 143 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 don't say, well, that gecko did the 3 right thing or wrong thing. They're not the sort of things that do right or wrong actions. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But if we're not morally responsible in some sense we're similar to a gecko despite our 10 sophisticated thinking, we're not moral 11 agents because moral agents have 12 responsibility. What's more, if 13 determinism is true, we don't know 14 whether or not it's true, but if it is 15 true so that given what came before us 16 and given the laws of nature, we 17 couldn't have acted differently. It's a little hard to say, well, you could have 18 19 made the world a better place when, in 2.0 fact, you could not have given that 21 everything's determined. 22 And then lastly -- and this 23 objection doesn't come from me, it comes 24 from a philosopher named Steven Bolter. 25 It's a little hard to see when you



Page 144 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 perform an action at one time, let's say 3 it's 2010, how that can be right or wrong based on what happens in 2020. 5 mean, the action no longer exists in 2020, so how could that --THADDEUS RUSSELL: That's the problem --STEPHEN KERSHNAR: -- backtrack in 10 time to make that action right or wrong? 11 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So the idea is 12 13 that it doesn't seem that an action is 14 right in virtue of its respecting rights 15 or infringing on rights. It doesn't 16 seem that an action is right or wrong 17 depending on whether it achieves best 18 results or not. And then sort of more 19 specific ideas which are central to 2.0 morality, we can't really defend notion 21 of proportionality; you can use only so 22 much force in punishing someone for self defense. It's very hard to come out 23 24 with equation with this. I claim you 25 can't do it, but at the very least it's



		Page 145
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	very difficult.	
3	So I just think, look, I mean, we	
4	end up with these two horrendous	
5	results, right? One and they're	
6	strong. I mean, I think things go	
7	haywire and they're completely	
8	inconsistent with everything I said	
9	early in the interview. So if you're	
10	saying, well, haven't you just	
11	contradicted everything you said? The	
12	answer is yes. And to my eternal	
13	discredit. So it seems that people are	
14	not morally responsible because we can't	
15	identify what makes them morally	
16	responsible.	
17	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay.	
18	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: It seems that	
19	actions are not right or wrong because	
20	there's no thing which makes them right	
21	or wrong.	
22	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. No	
23	basis for that.	
24	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah. Or	
25	achieving good or bad consequences.	



Page 146 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 And so we're left with this very 3 counterintuitive result that there's no morality and that there's no moral responsibility. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And yet if we believe those things, we lose most of our reasons for action. I mean, our 10 daily worldview is filled with what are called reactive attitudes. Attitudes 11 12 which presuppose responsibility. We get 13 angry at people, we're grateful, we're 14 proud, we're disappointed, we feel ashamed of ourselves. All these 15 16 attitudes presuppose responsibility in 17 saying that we support this policy but 18 not that we support an isolationist 19 rather than an interventionist foreign 2.0 policy. We support more freedom rather 21 than less freedom in terms of social regulation of our lives. We're making 2.2 23 morally moral claims, particularly claims about rightness or wrongness. 24 25 Once we lose rightness or wrongness,



Page 147 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 those sorts of claims are not just -- I 3 mean, they're flat out false. We don't just reinterpret them in a way which makes them true. Because when we say, for example, we should have an isolationist rather than intervention of foreign policy, what we're saying is there are right and wrong actions and 10 this is one of the wrong actions. THADDEUS RUSSELL: Not me. 11 12 what I say is interventionist foreign 13 policies, as I said earlier, makes the 14 world worse for me and often it makes it 15 less safe for me and the people I care 16 about. And that's why I oppose 17 interventions abroad. That's a politics 18 of self interest. I'm not making a moral claim there at all. 19 2.0 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But you don't 21 normally -- if something were in your 22 interest, you still might be opposed to, 23 right? You still might be opposed to 24 let's -- let's say that you were allowed 25 to, for whatever reason, you had some



		Page 148
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	exemption, you're allowed to, you know,	
3	steal or batter whoever you want.	
4	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay.	
5	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: You were given,	
6	like, a license to behave the Sun	
7	King in France.	
8	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah.	
9	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: My guess is you	
10	would think, look, I really ought not to	
11	do that or I desire not to do that.	
12	Well, why would you desire not to do	
13	something which would make your life	
14	feel better?	
15	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Well, I mean,	
16	if it involves hurting someone, then I	
17	risk blowback from them. So it's a cost	
18	benefit analysis for me. Again, it's	
19	not a moral claim. I wouldn't choose to	
20	not hurt someone based on morality. I	
21	would choose to not hurt someone based	
22	on the kind of relationship I want to	
23	have with them, right. So if I go	
24	around hitting my neighbors and this	
25	goes for people I don't even know, this	



```
Page 149
           Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar
 1
 2
         goes for strangers too if I go around
 3
        hitting people who I don't know, my life
         is definitely going to take a turn for
 5
        the worse, even if I don't go to jail
        for it. I mean, people will hate me.
         And that's not a good place to live in,
         right?
               STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.
10
               THADDEUS RUSSELL: So that's why.
11
         That's a politics of self interest.
12
               STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But note that
13
        your language might be a little bit
14
        misleading because if you were to
15
        translate your statements into desires,
16
        it would seem to lose the kind of
17
        conversational force that it normally
18
        has. If you say, I desire that we have
        an isolation of foreign policy, or I
19
2.0
        desire that you not --
21
               THADDEUS RUSSELL: Sorry --
2.2
               STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Steal my
23
       things --
24
               THADDEUS RUSSELL: I mean, I think
25
        it's actually been pretty effective. I
```



Page 150 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 mean --3 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Imagine someone who had really atrocious desires. 5 Imagine someone said, look, I desire to take your things. Would you say, the 7 person is wrong or mistaken? Or would you say, look, their desire does not align with your desire? 10 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Correct. The 11 latter. And I would say, good luck trying to steal my stuff because I have 12 13 guns. 14 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Fair enough. 15 As a resident of New York City, I'm sure 16 you're pretty well protected. 17 THADDEUS RUSSELL: But this is the 18 world. There's conflict in the world, 19 and people do want to do stuff to me. 2.0 Individuals, governments, organizations 21 want to do stuff, take stuff from me, 2.2 and then it's just a fight. I just want 23 to be clear about what they want, right? 24 Once I know what they want, then I erect 25 the defenses instead of moralizing



		Page 151
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	against them.	
3	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Excellent. So	
4	your idea is that you could translate	
5	either you could translate or at least	
6	you should think just in terms of	
7	desires, that people don't actually	
8	disagree on morality they either	
9	don't disagree on morality, or if they	
10	do, they're just all saying false	
11	things, so there's not a meaningful	
12	disagreement. They're both disagreeing	
13	false things. That's kind of an	
14	interesting take on things. I wonder if	
15	it undercuts our reasons for action in	
16	the sense that imagine something's in	
17	your interest and you desire it. It's	
18	unclear if that provides a reason for	
19	action. Just because something makes	
20	your life go better. Unless you	
21	presuppose that you should do those	
22	things which make your life go better,	
23	it's unclear why you have a reason to	
24	act on it.	
25	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh, because	



```
Page 152
           Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar
 1
         they feel good? I don't know.
 2
                                         There's
 3
         multiple reasons. They make me feel
         good.
               STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I quess I
         wonder if that's a reason or if that's
         just an empirical statement.
                                       Why is it
         better that you feel good? It's better
         for you, but why is it better?
10
               THADDEUS RUSSELL: Again, these
11
        are all socially constructed rationales.
         This is all like, socially constructed
12
13
        desires. Everything I'm saying is
14
         social construction. Everything I'm
15
         saying is an invention and a fiction.
16
         It's just that they're ones I prefer,
17
         that's all. I just prefer them over
18
         others.
19
               STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I hear what
2.0
         you're saying. It sounds like your
21
         system is consistent. I'm not sure it
2.2
         captures a lot of what we want to say,
23
         but it seems to be perfectly consistent.
24
               THADDEUS RUSSELL: Good.
25
               STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Again, I'm a
```



		Page 153
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	little bit worried that it presupposes	
3	reasons, but maybe not because I'm a	
4	little bit worried that what you're	
5	presupposing there are reasons to do	
6	things which make your life go better or	
7	make you happier, and that there aren't	
8	such reasons. But in addition, I'm not	
9	actually sure that's socially	
10	constructed because it seems to be like,	
11	quasi moral sense in chimpanzees. So	
12	I'm not sure to agree, to which I	
13	don't think that matters. But that's	
14	not, at least in part, genetic.	
15	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yeah.	
16	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So what you're	
17	saying would make sense to what I'm	
18	saying. But it would lose a lot of what	
19	you and I do for a living. We argue for	
20	things, right? We argue for things in	
21	history, we argue things for philosophy,	
22	and we'd have to think we're watching or	
23	listening to arguments. We think, well,	
24	A's argument is better than B's	
25	argument. But why think that we're	



		Page 154
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	presupposing things like consistency and	
3	support by evidence are good, but why	
4	are they good unless you desire them,	
5	right? You might not desire	
6	consistency. You might not desire that	
7	summon statement be supported by	
8	evidence.	
9	So unless it's going to be desire	
10	all the way down, which it might well	
11	be	
12	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Yes, it is.	
13	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: it doesn't	
14	seem to capture a lot of what we want to	
15	do, what we do professionally.	
16	THADDEUS RUSSELL: I want it to be	
17	desire all the way down. I want my	
18	decisions to be made based on my desire,	
19	my individual desire, not on morality,	
20	not on the dictates of the culture at	
21	large.	
22	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
23	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
24	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So on your	
25	view, which I'm not screaming on, but on	



Page 155 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 your view, there's nothing wrong with 3 victimizing others. Nothing wrong whatsoever. But it's an empirical fact that some people desire is going to be frustrated if you do that. THADDEUS RUSSELL: I mean, just moral claims in general, I just find farcical. I just think they're silly 10 because they're based on nothing. mean, they're just fiction. So I mean, 11 12 they hold no more weight than me 13 desiring a glass of beer at night. 14 mean, these are desires. They're 15 fictions. There's no problem with it. 16 I mean, the politics of desire and the 17 politics of self interest are actually 18 really popular, I have found. I don't 19 think it hurts your cause at all. 2.0 In fact, I am not -- let me say 21 this really clearly. I've never read 22 Ayn Rand, and a lot of what I have read 23 I don't like. But I do think this is 24 very similar. This piece of my idea, 25 this piece of my worldview, I think is



		Page 156
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	similar to hers, which is, I think she	
3	preached the politics of self interest	
4	as well, is what	
5	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
6	THADDEUS RUSSELL: So in other	
7	words, it's not that unusual a position.	
8	And Ayn Rand has been awfully popular.	
9	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: She was a big	
10	influence of me when I was	
11	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. But I'm	
12	not a Randy, and I just	
13	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: No, I hear what	
14	you're saying. But, I mean, she	
15	definitely has a moral structure to her	
16	which you and I are rejecting.	
17	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh, definitely.	
18	Much of Rand I don't like. But that	
19	piece of it, the self interested piece,	
20	is	
21	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That's right.	
22	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Makes perfect	
23	sense, and it's been very popular.	
24	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But one other	
25	thing, and that is your desire need not	



Page 157 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 be tied to your self interest. 3 could desire that your interest be frustrated. You could desire your life go poorly, and that would be kind of a relevant feature. THADDEUS RUSSELL: But why are you worried about that? Why are you worried about what I choose? Why is that an 10 issue for you? What's the concern? 11 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Well, the 12 concern is just to understand whether or 13 not the way -- in which we look at the 14 world is consistent with the sort of 15 skepticism that you and I are putting 16 forth. 17 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. Whether 18 my worldviews --19 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So my concern 2.0 is the concern is that you and I 21 presuppose reasons not just on a daily 2.2 basis, which we certainly do, but even 23 in the way in which we think about 24 things that simply are inconsistent with 25 our view about the theory of morality,



		Page 158
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	the fundamental theory of morality.	
3	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Interesting.	
4	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So an example	
5	is on the view that everything's desire	
6	based, you might have various	
7	contradictions come into place that you	
8	desire your life go poorly, and it's	
9	unclear what you do with that, right?	
10	So if you desire life go poorly, then if	
11	it goes poorly, it goes well because the	
12	desire is satisfied. If it goes well,	
13	it goes poorly because the desire is	
14	frustrated. And these sorts of	
15	paradoxes, I think, present real	
16	problems for the desire based views in	
17	terms of what satisfies the desires.	
18	Now, someone might say, well,	
19	these are just these self referential	
20	paradoxes. It's not a special problem.	
21	It's just instant the liar paradox.	
22	This sentence is a lie applied to my	
23	desires. I tend to think the problem	
24	goes deeper than that, but at least it's	
25	a concern that paradoxes result.	



		Page 159
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay.	
3	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Again, the	
4	other concern is just that I just think	
5	a lot of what we think and how we talk,	
6	particularly in our professional roles,	
7	probably can't be translated desires,	
8	but perhaps I'm wrong.	
9	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh, I'm pretty	
10	sure it can be. But this is something	
11	I'm definitely going to think about.	
12	You've made me think about this more	
13	than anyone ever has, and I would	
14	definitely chew on this, and we will	
15	talk about it the next time.	
16	I got another question for you	
17	that's just totally off topic here.	
18	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Sure. No, I'm	
19	really enjoying this. Absolutely.	
20	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Or at least	
21	it's not directly so.	
22	I'm curious about you. Hey, man,	
23	you and I both have chosen to say	
24	basically the most controversial things	
25	you can say in our world. I mean, for	



		Page 160
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	God's sake, defending adult child, sex	
3	and trouncing veterans and saying that	
4	no one has responsibility.	
5	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
6	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Do you have any	
7	theories as to why you've been attracted	
8	to making arguments like that?	
9	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yes. So I've	
10	thought about that a bit. I didn't	
11	actually start out with these sorts of	
12	views. I kind of started out with	
13	fairly conventional views. In my	
14	dissertation, I argued for	
15	retributivism.	
16	THADDEUS RUSSELL: What's that?	
17	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: The idea that	
18	we should punish people because only	
19	because they deserve it.	
20	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh.	
21	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That is, we	
22	should give people their just desserts	
23	when we punish them.	
24	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay.	
25	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And in fact, my	
1		



Page 161 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 brother and I ran a marathon, and in the 3 back, it said, just desserts because I'd written my dissertation on it. 5 On the other hand, look, I mean, 6 it seems to me that if you have this 7 many views which sort of go across the grain, there's got to be some sort of you're just fighting the current. 10 THADDEUS RUSSELL: What is it in 11 you? Why you? 12 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Well, part of 13 it, I think if you do applied ethics, I 14 mean, it's just part of the field, 15 right? You got to follow the logic 16 where it is. 17 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Okay. 18 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But two, I just think that I guess I'm not sure what it 19 2.0 I don't see myself as an especially 21 oppositional person, so I kind of 22 thought of myself as a fairly good 23 teammate. 24 THADDEUS RUSSELL: Oh, I'm a great 25 teammate.



```
Page 162
           Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar
 1
 2
               STEPHEN KERSHNAR:
                                   I mean, I'm
 3
         divorced, so I guess I'm partially
 4
         opposition.
               THADDEUS RUSSELL: I'm divorced,
         too.
               STEPHEN KERSHNAR:
                                 But, yeah, I
         don't see myself as the oppositional.
         guess I just think, look, certain
10
         things, the arguments, it's almost
11
         aesthetic. They just rub me the wrong
12
         way.
13
               Take the abortion case, right?
14
         You look at those religious views about
15
         the afterlife, and you look at their
16
         views about not killing fetuses to
17
         guarantee their trip to heaven, and it
18
         bothers me. It just says, well, why
19
         wouldn't you guarantee their trip to
2.0
         heaven? And I called my brother I'm
21
         pretty close to my brother, and I say,
22
         well, what do you think? And he says,
23
         yeah, it bothers me, too. I'm like, all
24
         right, well, at least two of us think
25
         this.
```



	Page 163
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar
2	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Logical
3	inconsistency in people who are
4	sanctimonious about it. That's what
5	bothers me.
6	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That's an
7	excellent point.
8	THADDEUS RUSSELL: That's it.
9	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That could well
10	be just the aesthetic displeasure of it.
11	Absolutely.
12	THADDEUS RUSSELL: It's the
13	sanctimony of people who are illogical,
14	and they're thinking, it drives me
15	bananas. That's it.
16	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: That might be
17	it. Again, I don't particularly think
18	I'm especially irritable, but when you
19	go to events and they say we all should
20	take the time to thank veterans, I'm
21	thinking, well, lots of people
22	sacrificed this audience in all sorts of
23	ways, including all the mothers and
24	including the farmers. Why are we
25	singling these individuals out?



		Page 164
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	I guess it just rubs me the wrong	
3	way. And then some of the things like	
4	responsibility there I'm kind of just	
5	drag, kicking and screaming, right. I	
6	desperately want to believe in	
7	responsibility. In fact, like I said,	
8	whatever, 70% of what we discussed today	
9	becomes utterly false and meaningless	
10	once you lose responsibility and	
11	morality. So I don't want to lose	
12	either one. But the argument seem to	
13	work. I take them on the road. I go to	
14	conferences. I have really smart	
15	friends who benefit me enormously. I	
16	run it by them, see what they have to	
17	say. And if it survives the conference,	
18	it survives my friends and my brother, I	
19	think, well	
20	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Keep going.	
21	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Runs my	
22	brother, my sister. I'm like, look, I	
23	mean, the argument looks good to me.	
24	What can I do? Again, the fact that I	
25	don't want it to be true is beside the	



Page 165 Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar 1 2 point. Best I can determine it is true, 3 I'm quessing. So What about you? How did you arrive at so many unpopular views? THADDEUS RUSSELL: Like, I was just thinking this the other day. have basically gone through about a two-decade period of just radical 10 disillusionment with everything I was raised to believe. I mean, my whole 11 12 worldview, my attitude about things, 13 everything from my parents to Christmas 14 to socialism has all turned upside down 15 in the last 20 years. And of course, in politics, I've just gone through this 16 17 whole revolution, which again, it's 18 disillusionment. I was born into a 19 church and I'm an apostate now. 2.0 exactly the same kind of thing, right, 21 where all of these things were just 22 premises that were never questioned, 23 basic premises, right. And I'm talking 24 about sort of the left liberal world. 25 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yes.



		Page 166
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	THADDEUS RUSSELL: And hardcore	
3	left in particular in my case. And I	
4	just started to see one after the other	
5	after the other not make sense. Either	
6	being internally contradictory or	
7	contradictory in other ways or being the	
8	opposite of what they claim to be	
9	purport to be. Like often left wing	
10	politics often claims to be and	
11	that's true for conservative politics	
12	too, for freedom and liberty, when in	
13	fact they're not at all. They're for	
14	conformity and control and social	
15	engineering and making people not get	
16	abortions and all the rest of it.	
17	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
18	THADDEUS RUSSELL: I do have an	
19	eye for contradictions in arguments.	
20	Yes. It's very annoying. I mean, I'm	
21	sure to deal with me, I'm always looking	
22	for the contradictions in arguments.	
23	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: But is it worth	
24	the price, or you find that just that's	
25	how your mind works, you can't avoid it?	



		Page 167
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Well	
3	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: In some sense,	
4	swimming against the current makes	
5	things harder than it has to be.	
6	THADDEUS RUSSELL: I've received	
7	quite a bit of punishment and tremendous	
8	rewards for it. Both. Definitely a lot	
9	of both. So I don't know.	
10	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I guess I'm	
11	somebody exactly true for me as well.	
12	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Right.	
13	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I don't think	
14	it's helped my career. On the other	
15	hand, that's how my mind thinks. I've	
16	had enormous amounts of very pleasing	
17	conversations and friendships which	
18	involve these discussion of these ideas.	
19	So there's been definitely definite	
20	benefits but definite costs as well.	
21	But overall, I just think, look, that's	
22	the way I kind of enjoy thinking, and I	
23	don't I'm not sure I'd want to cut	
24	deals to swim with the current.	
25	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Steve, I run a	



		Page 168
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	university, it's called Renegade	
3	University and we actually pay people	
4	better than adjuncts are paid at most	
5	universities. All you have to do to	
6	make that kind of money is be a good	
7	teacher and say interesting things. I	
8	would love for you to teach something	
9	for us. You would be amazing and people	
10	would love it.	
11	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: No, I would	
12	definitely consider it. In addition,	
13	I'll be more than glad to give lectures	
14	for free. Like I said, I really enjoy	
15	this and it's been my pleasure to do.	
16	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Awesome. Now	
17	we'll get you paid. We'll get you paid.	
18	You're worth it. You are amazing. This	
19	has been	
20	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: You as well.	
21	THADDEUS RUSSELL: This is the	
22	most fun I've ever had talking to a	
23	philosophy professor by far.	
24	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I've really	
25	enjoyed as well. It was fantastic	



		Page 169
1	Episode 142: Stephen Kershnar	
2	interview and I've enjoyed it from	
3	beginning to the end.	
4	THADDEUS RUSSELL: Awesome, man.	
5	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And it's	
6	interesting how similar we are. I mean,	
7	there are not a lot of people on these	
8	issues who are not like either outraged	
9	or just want to let	
10	THADDEUS RUSSELL: And we came at	
11	it from very different places. It's	
12	wild that we ended up with the same	
13	conclusions on a lot of these things.	
14	But all right, man, I'm going to let you	
15	go. This has been incredible. Thank	
16	you so much. And you will hear from me	
17	again because we're going to do stuff.	
18	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Like I said,	
19	I've enjoyed this incredibly. If you	
20	want me to do another interview, I'll be	
21	more than glad to do so. Enjoy this	
22	anytime.	
23	THADDEUS RUSSELL: I got ideas for	
24	you. Cool, man.	
25	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Thank you,	



```
Page 170
 1
 2
         Steve. Thank you.
 3
               THADDEUS RUSSELL: Bye.
 4
               This is the Unregistered podcast.
 5
         And I'm Thaddeus Russell. To join the
         new Renegade University, go to
         renegadeuniversity.com. To join the new
         Unregistered Underground, the supporting
 9
         listeners group for the podcast, go to
10
         unregisteredunderground.com. Thanks for
11
         listening.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



```
Page 171
1
2
                CERTIFICATE
 3
     STATE OF NEW YORK )
 4
                          SS
 5
     COUNTY OF NEW YORK)
7
                 I, Marissa Mignano, a Notary
     Public within and for the State of New York,
    do hereby certify the within is a
10
     a true and accurate transcription of the
11
     audiotapes recorded.
12
               I further certify that I am
13
     not related to any of the parties to this
14
     action by blood or marriage, and that I am
     in no way interested in the outcome of this
15
16
     matter.
17
               IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
18
    hereunto set my hand this 12TH day of MAY
19
     2023.
2.0
                           Marissa Mignano
21
2.2
                          MARISSA MIGNANO
23
24
25
```



A	134:5 136:7	67:23 153:8 168:12	14:7
$\frac{1}{\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{s}}$	accounts	additional	agents
153:24	34:19 52:4 105:10	35:21 60:19	143:11,11
abiding	accurate	addressing	ages
119:19	171:10	16:19	50:24 51:21 65:19
ability	achieves	adds	aggravating
87:2 139:23	144:17	27:12	36:17
able	achieving	adequate	aggregate
15:23 32:22	145:25	12:12 64:17 97:16	52:21 60:11,16,18
aborted	acknowledged	adjuncts	76:10,20 77:24
13:3	13:20	168:4	agnostic
abortion	act	admire	18:22
7:24,25 9:17,21,23	38:14 68:12 75:14	29:11	ago
10:7,15,16 11:6	126:20,23 127:14	Adolf	7:13 31:11 33:16
14:8,19,25 16:14	151:24	107:14	46:16
17:17 19:8,16 22:4	acted	adult	agree
26:17 28:23 29:13	82:8 143:17	7:5 8:5 37:8,17 38:2	17:15 30:25 87:9
30:22 162:13	acting	38:21 39:15 45:16	100:4 101:21 108:8
abortions	115:3 117:2	45:23 67:15 88:12	108:14 139:16
16:8 18:11 166:16	action	93:24 160:2	153:12
abroad	21:24 22:3,14 23:16	adult's	agreed
147:17	73:24 109:5 142:3	41:23	23:24 121:5
absolute	144:2,5,10,13,16	adults	agrees
100:8	146:9 151:15,19	41:22 47:3,12 56:13	24:25
absolutely	171:14	60:4 61:4 63:7	aim
17:22 20:8 84:3	actions	advanced	111:22
108:8 129:20	142:24 143:5 145:19	50:21	aimed
159:19 163:11	147:9,10	adventure	52:5
absolve	activate	74:10	Airborne
100:14	10:24	aesthetic	106:16
Abuilar	activities	75:7 162:11 163:10	airman
34:16	60:22 125:14 136:23	affect	89:11
abuse	activity	79:11	align
53:23	65:22	afford	150:9
academic	actors	97:8,9,9	alike
8:24 64:20 76:25	70:10	Afghanistan	46:22
77:14 117:12	acts	93:10	allow
accident	31:3	African	11:19 49:25 66:19
12:3	actual	3:23	87:5 98:20 134:22
accompany	40:22 53:14 100:20	afterlife 162:15	allowed
20:4	add 134:19		57:21 147:24 148:2
account	addiction	age 37:21 42:20,20 47:21	alternatives 87:23
13:7 15:14,15 58:16	137:8	47:23 50:19,22	
58:24 59:3 78:8	addition	64:25 69:5	amazing 3:24 168:9,18
90:15 125:12 126:6	15:9 42:9 49:6 52:7	agenda	3:24 108:9,18 America
	13.7 74.7 77.0 34.1	agenua	AIICICA



64:24 107:12	86:22 95:15 109:7	106:6	19:17,22 25:13 75:25
American	145:12	argument	105:14 126:7
2:25 3:23 4:16 19:11	anti-woman	8:3 9:20,22 13:24	127:24
26:21 87:23 98:23	14:7	14:5,23 19:19,23	asked
106:8 119:23	Antonio	20:15 22:2 27:18	18:25 26:13,14,15
Americans	34:15 35:4	28:8 30:16 37:19	33:19
8:8,12 50:17	anxiety	38:10 39:11,13	asking
amoral	31:12 32:18,25 33:9	40:22 41:2 42:8	70:20
142:6,8	anybody	46:8,11 49:8 53:9	assassinate
amount	69:5	57:5 78:23 88:20,24	10:14 11:6
12:8 54:5 62:14 75:2	anybody's	122:8 131:13 133:5	assassinating
77:9 83:10	67:11	133:5,6,9 135:19	17:25
amounts	anyone's	153:24,25 164:12	assess
61:9 167:16	30:8	164:23	101:13
analysis	anytime	arguments	associated
53:5 148:18	169:22	8:16,21,24 9:2 37:16	98:24
ancient	apartment	37:23 40:18 46:23	association
140:14,21	22:7	48:20 153:23 160:8	113:16
and/or	apes	162:10 166:19,22	assume
4:5	79:5,6	arises	132:2
Anderson	Apocalypse	21:23	assuming
78:6	75:2	arms	107:24
anecdote	apostate	92:22	assumption
58:13,14	165:19	Armstrong	21:5
anecdotes	app	107:13	assumptions
58:11,16	31:16	army	21:4 53:11
anger	appear	100:17 119:14	atrocious
113:7	70:10	124:23 125:20	64:14 96:10 150:4
angry	applied	129:12	attached
113:5 146:13	158:22 161:13	Arnold	98:17
animalist	apply	74:18	attack
15:15	127:15	arousing	45:25 46:23
announce	appreciate	74:3 75:20	attacked
2:3	110:15	arrive	106:19
announcement	approach	165:5	attacking
5:5	63:10	art	134:24
announcements	appropriate	77:21	attempt
2:15	115:18	article	80:22,24
annoyed	area	69:22	attend
116:24	5:7 68:23	articles	94:13
annoying	argue	39:2 69:25	attitude
166:20	10:14 38:4 46:6	artist 111:3	58:10 165:12
Annual	153:19,20,21	ashamed	attitudes
5:9	argued 6:23 7:3 160:14	146:15	13:12,13 112:3,6
answer		140:15 aside	146:11,11,16
12:12 19:15 56:23	arguing	aside	attracted
L			



 background	128:3.20.23 145:23	43:9,14 53:5 85:24
	•	91:21,23 92:4,23
		93:7 94:3,21,22
144:9	116:23	109:6,7,11,17,18
bad	batter	110:5,6 111:23,23
6:5 26:19 28:4 49:24	148:3	112:22 114:10,13
53:23 71:22 72:8	bear	137:6 148:18
73:17,19,21 95:24	37:23 52:23 97:17	164:15
112:12 131:12	Beast	benefited
145:25	37:20 46:16	91:22,25 93:2,5 94:2
ballet	beat	109:20 115:10
41:10	125:10	140:16
ban	becoming	benefits
77:17		44:8,12 90:20 92:5
		94:7,8,14,18,20
		95:10 113:9 167:20
		Benson
		58:4
		beret
		125:18
		Berkeley
		124:25
		Berlin
		107:4 berserk
		38:25
		best
		27:22 29:21 35:13
_	·	36:7 49:11 73:12
		86:25 122:7,17
		142:5 144:17 165:2
		bet
120:12 124:11		64:4
130:4,6 144:4	55:19 79:6	better
148:20,21 154:18	beliefs	31:15 32:3,4 34:6,7
155:10 158:6,16	138:15	36:25 48:22 51:15
bases	believe	54:13 98:9 110:14
136:6	10:6 12:5 13:25 16:2	141:16 142:12
basic		143:19 148:14
		151:20,22 152:8,8,9
		153:6,24 168:4
	· /	beyond
		5:19 33:18 63:20
		91:20,24 94:5
		Biden 121:17
33.19 91.10 113.2	Denem	141.1/
	bad 6:5 26:19 28:4 49:24 53:23 71:22 72:8 73:17,19,21 95:24 112:12 131:12 145:25 ballet 41:10 ban 77:17 bananas 163:15 band 92:11,14 bangs 123:3 bank 125:17 banks 34:18 125:15 bar 4:15 bargaining 43:11 baseball 116:23 based 29:9 41:2 42:8 79:23 120:12 124:11 130:4,6 144:4 148:20,21 154:18 155:10 158:6,16 bases 136:6	157:22 basketball 116:23 batter 144:9 bad 116:23 batter 148:3 batter 148:3 bast 37:23 52:23 97:17 Beast 37:20 46:16 beat 125:10 ban becoming 28:22 bank 163:15 band 92:11,14 bangs 123:3 bank 125:17 banks 34:18 125:15 bar 4:15 bargaining 43:11 baseball 116:23 based 29:9 41:2 42:8 79:23 120:12 124:11 130:4,6 144:4 148:20,21 154:18 155:10 158:6,16 bases 136:6 basic 131:6 133:10 165:23 basic 131:6 133:10 165:23 basic 131:7 123:14 159:24 165:8 basis basis basic 148:6 basic 10:6 12:5 13:25 16:2 16:22 17:8 18:20 19:4 20:10 30:17 39:18 76:17 114:11 17:16,18 146:8 164:6 165:11 beneficial 43:21 76:10



big	Bolter	branches	burglar
11:23 37:8 65:7	143:24	89:24	23:19
121:22 156:9	bomb	brand	business
Bills	98:11	35:5	34:21 72:20 104:25
113:18 116:10	bombed	break	businesses
biological	108:13	6:13 132:25	35:3
15:14	bombing	breakers	businessman
bit	108:19	102:5,16,24	5:22
8:5 42:8,22 149:13	bombs	breaking	buy
153:2,4 160:10	98:23 99:2 103:21	6:11	35:9,11,15 89:15
167:7	bonobos	breaks	Bye
bizarre	79:6	23:20 65:13	170:3,3
43:7 50:14 138:2	book	brick	
140:6	8:10 9:14,17,21,23	35:6	C
blade	37:3,13 69:22 93:20	bridge	C
138:23	106:7 115:16	12:4	171:2,2
blah	121:23,24 122:7	bringing	cabinet
103:7,7,7	135:19	120:22	123:3
blame	books	brings	call
30:13 97:25,25 99:11	6:21 7:20,21,22,22	142:4	28:2 46:9 82:23
100:12,15 103:3,8	67:25 68:11 70:2	broad	91:24 94:5 96:15
123:2	booze	29:9	121:12,15
blamed	83:20	brother	called
122:25 123:6	born	161:2 162:20,21	2:24 8:10 31:17 36:4
blameworthiness	165:18	164:18,22	37:21 53:22 80:6
123:21	borne	brothers	122:2 124:22
blameworthy	104:22	92:11,15,22	146:11 162:20
101:3,4,15,16 102:11	bothered	brought	168:2
123:19 124:2	81:2	37:22 100:10 124:9	calling
blanche	bothers	brow	14:16
118:8	81:7 162:18,23 163:5	106:9	Cambodia
blatant	bottom	brutalized	104:12 105:20
19:25	33:13 54:20,20,20	12:2	108:13,19
blood	bounds	Buddhist	camera
171:14	5:19	110:12	125:18
blowback	boy	buddy	camp
148:17	64:3	92:18 103:3	10:21 11:3,4 22:24
blues	boys	Buffalo	camps
107:2	47:6	27:6 113:18 116:10	22:21
bodily	brain	built	candidates
22:9,11 23:11,18	15:21 25:9,10 47:9	19:25	121:5,6 123:13,15
27:10,11 28:20	brainwashed	bumper	134:9
body	124:13 126:6,8,9	121:10	candy
19:20 21:19 24:14	128:8 129:15	burden	36:9
31:22 32:16 34:10	brainwashing	48:25 49:5 52:23	Canisius
36:24	126:8	59:2,6	27:7
		I	l



			_
cap	38:20 42:10,19,24	character	159:23
3:3	45:15,17 48:7 49:23	123:23	Christian
capital	55:10 56:18 58:3	charge	25:22
14:17,24	68:10 81:20 90:13	16:12	Christmas
capture	98:4 99:13 113:4	check	165:13
154:14	140:2	2:17	chronic
captured	cash	chemistry	34:23
125:17	95:9	31:22	church
captures	Catholic	chew	11:14 41:7 165:19
152:22	11:13	159:14	cigarette
		child	137:5
car 12:3	cause 155:19	7:5 8:6 10:18 12:2	circumstances
			2:8
care	causes	37:8,17 38:2 39:15	
6:10 41:11 45:24	47:9 53:10,12	41:22 44:3 45:4,16	citizen
102:18 135:5,5,13	causing	45:23 53:23 88:12	6:3 98:21
147:15	56:4 57:2	93:24 127:18 160:2	city
career	CBD	child's	87:17 150:15
38:5 167:14	34:14,24 35:10,11,14	41:19	civilian
careful	35:15 36:11	children	98:11
49:7	central	23:2 41:2 44:24	civilians
cares	85:4,5 144:19	46:25 47:12 60:5	103:21
64:11	century	61:5,15 63:7 103:10	civilization
Carlos	83:7 106:11 138:21	chimpanzees	65:9,10,15,22,25
34:16,25 35:15	certain	79:7 153:11	80:5,8,12,14,15,21
carpet	52:20,25 86:7 136:23	China	civilized
108:13	162:9	104:11 106:2	82:13
carry	certainly	choice	claim
22:25 23:3,6 49:5	12:10 42:10 51:20	123:16,17,22 124:6,7	12:15,16 13:12
carte	66:11 71:16 76:9	124:11 126:10,13	133:17 144:24
118:8	90:2,12 93:8 105:19	126:24 127:10,22	147:19 148:19
case	157:22	128:4,21,25 129:2	166:8
7:23 10:19 17:2	certify	129:24 130:3	claims
19:21 21:8,10,11	171:9,12	131:12,19,23 132:3	122:15 133:19
24:24 38:18 40:7	chance	132:7,14,14,21	140:23 146:23,24
43:20,24 48:24 49:3	11:20,21 33:11	134:9,13,13 139:21	147:2 155:8 166:10
50:16 60:3 62:24	change	choices	class
63:12,15 64:17	31:22 33:12 34:5	130:5,6,23 133:15	37:24
65:20 71:16 73:2,9	36:24,25 50:11	choose	classic
76:7,8 96:11 100:17	130:3,4,7	95:3,5 98:20 127:22	74:23
105:14,15 109:14	changed	128:5,11,18 130:19	classrooms
110:4 115:7 122:2	2:5 23:25 25:3 31:23	131:11,25 133:22	37:18
127:15 129:14,17	33:3 81:24	148:19,21 157:9	clauses
136:2 137:4 142:15	changes	chose	48:8
162:13 166:3	24:23	91:7,8 94:21 102:22	clear
cases	chaotic	126:22 131:18	10:25 39:16,22 43:18
12:13 13:13 29:7	80:17 83:14	chosen	43:24 45:15 49:18



88:4 90:11 94:16 conflict 49:23 52:16,23 combat 73:20 75:13.18 92:7,10,15 150:18 company 76:19 78:7 89:23 combination 2:4 34:14 conflicting 90:2 92:16 94:2,4 130:15 compare 137:14 95:7,11 97:14,18 combinations 88:24 90:3 conformity 113:8,9 128:9 128:25 129:2 134:12 comparing 166:14 150:23 23:19 134:12 Congress clearly combine compensation 101:19 94:3 155:21 20:2 90:19,23 95:25 connection clinic Combo competent 79:10 10:16 36:5 consciousness 30:10 close come complete 15:21 19:13 162:21 4:17 19:12 36:12 127:11 conscripts closely 46:24 85:14 137:18 completely 95:19,23 99:3 14:12 8:9 9:10 17:16 52:19 138:17,18,22 consensual 143:23 144:23 closet 63:20 67:12 80:10 67:12 125:9 158:7 100:14 127:13 consensus cloud 145:7 25:25 51:22 comes 138:23 11:9 39:14 73:13 complicated consent co-taught 143:23 8:20 122:8 21:15,16,17,18 25:3 3:22 comfortable concept 37:22 40:8 42:20 co-teaching 57:23 134:24 44:25 45:5 47:21,23 2:23 coming conception 50:19,22 64:25 2:19 4:21 107:3 code 15:12,17 25:15 67:21 conceptually 35:20 36:24 commitment consequences 118:2 coerced 30:18 48:21 49:8,21,24 46:25 47:6 committed 52:18,21 145:25 concern coercing 31:9 50:5 100:3,7 102:20 consequentialism 47:12 141:16,21,23 committing 102:21 104:22 coercion 30:6 111:5 135:17 conservative 41:19,21 47:14 common 157:10,12,19,20 6:2 166:11 57:14 71:4 158:25 159:4 110:17 consider Collapse concerned 14:24 32:12 168:12 commune 121:23 122:2 86:17 102:17 considerably 51:6 102:7 colleague communes conclusion 26:15 87:8,9 17:15 85:14 considered collect 5:18 69:6,7 83:13 communism conclusions 112:23 106:14 116:18 169:13 consistency condition 154:2,6 collection communist 87:16 99:22 100:9 89:3,8 112:7 consistent collection's 106:13 conditions 7:2 8:18 9:25 15:2 137:25 **Communists** 30:13 112:13 29:15 152:21,23 105:18 157:14 collective conference 110:10,16 communities 164:17 constitutes 86:10,13 87:3 conferences 107:7 college 3:18 5:21 community 164:14 Constitution



101:24 102:3,13,18	conversation	County	criminalize
104:16 119:24	5:4	5:9 171:5	49:2,19 50:2 52:22
constructed	conversational	couple	59:3,8 60:14,21,24
152:11,12 153:10	149:17	2:14 27:5 64:20	60:25 66:14
construction	conversations	69:24 136:5	crippled
152:14	167:17	couple's	100:25
constructs	convicted	22:25	critical
139:4	10:7	course	2:24 119:22,23,24
contact	convince	2:23 3:5 8:5 14:14	critique
60:7	40:19	18:4 27:3 47:21	113:2
contacted	convinced	69:5,14 99:3 109:4	crude
63:24	39:13 40:2 119:3	132:12 141:6	90:15
contempt	Cool	165:15	cruder
102:6	9:19 88:9 169:24	courses	95:15
content	cop	3:17,20	culpability
73:22	28:6	Court's	99:16
continued	cops	96:11	cult
24:15	84:2 85:9 119:13	cousins	117:7
continues	Corporation	79:6	cultural
24:16	90:18	crack	53:11 62:7,11
contraception	correct	66:4	culturally
21:11	29:22 80:10 99:14	cracked	79:23
contradict	122:25 124:6	65:25	culture
17:5	133:14 150:10	crazy	3:24 4:16 53:10 65:4
contradicted	correctly	36:12 37:12 38:9	82:13 83:24 85:6
145:11	123:5	create	106:8,10 154:20
contradictions	corrupt	126:15,18	cultures
17:9,12 158:7 166:19	105:3	created	79:4
166:22	cost	127:10 128:14	CUNY
contradictory	53:3,5 97:17 148:17	133:20	133:8
166:6,7	costs	creating	curious
control	97:10,11,13,14	129:11,13	71:21 73:2 82:5
82:3,16 89:5 127:21	167:20	creation	104:7,8 107:18
128:18 166:14	counsel	127:18 128:16	159:22
controlled	3:2	Creator	currency
126:22	counterintuitive	138:18	95:9
controls	146:3	Creature	current
106:25	countless	41:16	161:9 167:4,24
controversial	67:9,10 countries	credit 103:5	cut 107:10 167:23
8:23 37:5 93:12,16 93:18 159:24		crime	
controversy	50:21 51:2,4 65:2 99:22	85:8	cycling 130:9
40:5,23 44:17 56:21	country	criminal	130.7
93:6	7:12 61:10 89:5 97:5	48:18	
conventional	97:7 98:15,16 103:6	criminalization	da
6:14 160:13	106:21,23 120:13	49:22 59:12	84:5,6,6
0.14 100:13	100.41,43 120:13	47.44 JY.14	01.5,0,0



157:21	- -	- 1	Ī	
dads 167:24 death definite 39:18,20 73:11 daily 37:20 46:16 146:10 46:13 77:10 89:8 167:19,20 desire 37:20 46:16 146:10 46:13 77:10 89:8 167:19,20 definitely 78:15,18,19,24 157:21 100:9 149:4 156:15,17 40:82:3 148:11, Dale deaths 159:11,14 167:8,19 149:18,20 153 26:15 77:7 98:18 168:12 149:18,20 153 damage December definition 154:17,18,19 64:16 decentralization degree 155:16 156:25 64:16 decontralization degree 155:16 156:25 64:16 decontralization degree 155:16 156:25 64:16 decided 38:23 77:12 democracies 80:16,17,20 81: danger 4:3 denied 150:41 158:17 desires dangerous 4:3 denied 150:41 159:41 155:14 158:17 dark 114:24 denied 155:14 158:17 155:14 158:17 dark 114:24	Dachau	84:10,11	150:25	deserve
47:7 daily 10:20 20:8 22:20 46:13 77:10 89:8 167:19,20 definitely 157:21 100:9 149:4 156:15,17 80:23 148:11,	18:2	deals		34:7 160:19
daily 10:20 20:8 22:20 167:10,20 desire 37:20 46:16 146:10 46:13 77:10 89:8 167:10,20 desire 157:21 100:9 149:4 156:15,17 78:15,18,19,24 26:15 77:7 98:18 159:11,14 167:8,19 149:18,20 150 26:15 77:7 98:18 168:12 151:17 154:4, damage December definition 154:17,18,19 12:24 13:6 47:9 5:7 69:9 80:15 155:16 156:22 64:16 decontralization definition 154:17,18,19 136:3 decide 10:8 12:18 18:13 155:16 156:22 danger 38:23 77:12 democracies 80:16,17,20 81: 89:21 116:15 decided 50:22 82:8 85:23 14 dangerous 4:3 denied 150:415:17 1 47:4 60:12 90:3 decision 34:19 155:14 158:17 dark 114:24 denied 155:13 despread 41:9 desire desires 41:9 desires 41:9 desires	dads	167:24	85:19	designed
37:20 46:16 146:10	47:7		definite	39:18,20 73:11
157:21	daily	10:20 20:8 22:20	167:19,20	desire
Dale 26:15 77:7 98:18 168:12 151:17 154:4, damage December definition 154:17,18,19 155:16 156:25 64:16 decentralization degree 157:3,4 158:5 158:12,13,16 desires decided degree desires desires desires desires denoted desires denoted desires denoted desires desires denoted desires d	37:20 46:16 146:10	46:13 77:10 89:8	definitely	78:15,18,19,24 80:7
26:15	157:21	100:9	149:4 156:15,17	80:23 148:11,12
damage December definition 154:17,18,19 64:16 decentralization 69:9 80:15 155:16 156:25 damaging 87:13 10:8 12:18 18:13 155:16 156:25 danger 38:23 77:12 democracies 80:16,17,20 81: 89:21 116:15 decided 50:22 82:8 85:23 14 dangerous 4:3 denied 155:14 158:17 47:4 60:12 90:3 decision 34:19 155:14 158:17 dark 114:24 denist 159:7 data 154:18 deny 155:13 desions 41:9 desiring data 154:18 deny 155:13 dated 101:20 104:15 63:24 desperately dating declared depend 35:2 143:9 94:15 104:25 133:15 desserts daughter deep depend 35:2 144:17 day Deer 44:19 92:24 133:12 destruction 100:2 133:13 134:4 14:14:17 <t< th=""><td>Dale</td><td>deaths</td><td>159:11,14 167:8,19</td><td>149:18,20 150:5,8,9</td></t<>	Dale	deaths	159:11,14 167:8,19	149:18,20 150:5,8,9
12:24 13:6 47:9	26:15	77:7 98:18		151:17 154:4,5,6,9
64:16 decentralization 87:13 decide 10:8 12:18 18:13 136:3 decide 130:20 131:3 135:7 desires 89:21 116:15 decided 50:22 82:8 85:23 14 denied 150:4 151:7 47:4 60:12 90:3 decision 34:19 155:14 158:17 dark 114:24 denist 159:7 desiring data 154:18 declaration dated 101:20 104:15 department 164:6 despite dating declared depend depend 35:2 143:9 desserts daughter deep depend depend despite despite daswed deeper depend despite destruction 100:9 destruction dawned deep depend despite daswed deep depend destruction despiration		December	definition	154:17,18,19 155:5
damaging 87:13 decide 10:8 12:18 18:13 158:12,13,16 desires 89:21 116:15 decided 50:22 82:8 85:23 17 47:4 60:12 90:3 decision 34:19 150:4 151:7 45:4 60:12 90:3 decision 34:19 155:14 158:17 45:4 60:12 90:3 decision 34:19 155:14 158:17 45:4 150:4 151:7 47:4 60:12 90:3 decisions 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 45:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:9 46:3 40:3 41:3 41 46:3 40:3 41:3 41 46:3 40:3 41:3 41 46:3 41:3 41:3 46:3 40:3 41:3 41 46:3 41:3 41:3 46:3 41:3 41 46:3 41:3 41	12:24 13:6 47:9		69:9 80:15	155:16 156:25
136:3	64:16	decentralization	degree	157:3,4 158:5,8,10
danger 38:23 77:12 democracies 80:16,17,20 81: 89:21 116:15 decided 50:22 82:8 85:23 14 47:4 60:12 90:3 decision 34:19 155:14 158:17 dark 114:24 denist 159:7 81:10 decisions 41:9 desiring data 154:18 deny 155:13 76:12,16,18 declaration 53:17 desperately dated 101:20 104:15 department 164:6 61:23 107:24 119:25 63:24 despite dating declared depend 35:2 143:9 94:15 104:25 133:15 descerts daughter deep depending 160:22 161:3 66:7 30:19 81:10 36:16 52:12 144:17 destruction 100:9 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 determine dawned deeply 133:13 134:4 29:22 126:14 16 14:13 16:22 76:22 77:21 71:19 determine days	damaging	87:13		158:12,13,16
89:21 116:15 decided 50:22 82:8 85:23 14 47:4 60:12 90:3 decision 34:19 155:14 158:17 47:4 60:12 90:3 decision 34:19 155:14 158:17 81:10 decisions 41:9 desiring data 154:18 deny 155:13 76:12,16,18 declaration 53:17 desperately dated 101:20 104:15 department 164:6 61:23 107:24 119:25 63:24 despite dating declared depend 35:2 143:9 94:15 104:25 133:15 desserts daughter deep depending 160:22 161:3 66:7 30:19 81:10 36:16 52:12 144:17 destruction David deeper depending 100:9 27:5 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 29:22 126:14 10 14:13 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determine day 76:22 77:21 71:19 determine 10:2 143:21 deposit	136:3	decide	130:20 131:3 135:7	desires
dangerous 4:3 denied 150:4 151:7 1 47:4 60:12 90:3 decision 34:19 155:14 158:17 81:10 decisions 41:9 desiring 41:19 desiring 155:13 76:12,16,18 declaration 53:17 desperately 61:23 101:20 104:15 department 164:6 61:23 107:24 119:25 63:24 despite dating declared depend 35:2 143:9 d4:15 104:25 133:15 desserts daughter deep depending 160:22 161:3 despending 160:22 161:3 destruction 27:5 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 determine dawned deeply 133:13 134:4 29:22 126:14 10 14:13 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determine day 76:22 77:21 71:19 determine 7:3 32:3,4,20 33:17 59:11,12 deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14	danger	38:23 77:12	democracies	80:16,17,20 81:14
47:4 60:12 90:3 decision 34:19 155:14 158:17 81:10 decisions 41:9 desiring 154:18 deny 155:13 76:12,16,18 declaration 53:17 desperately dated 101:20 104:15 department 164:6 61:23 107:24 119:25 d3:24 despite dating declared depend 35:2 143:9 94:15 104:25 133:15 desserts daughter deep depending 160:22 161:3 66:7 30:19 81:10 36:16 52:12 144:17 destruction David deeper depends 100:9 27:5 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 determine dawned 16:22 133:13 134:4 29:22 126:14 10 14:13 16:22 17:19 determine 19:2 143:21 determine 19:2 143:21 day 59:11,12 defend 106:4 days 15:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 <td>89:21 116:15</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>82:8 85:23 149:15</td>	89:21 116:15			82:8 85:23 149:15
dark 114:24 decisions 41:9 desiring data 154:18 deny 155:13 76:12,16,18 declaration 53:17 desperately dated 101:20 104:15 department 164:6 61:23 107:24 119:25 63:24 despite dating declared depend 35:2 143:9 94:15 104:25 133:15 desserts daughter deep depending 160:22 161:3 66:7 30:19 81:10 36:16 52:12 144:17 destruction David deeper depends 100:9 27:5 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 determine dawned 16:22 133:13 134:4 29:22 126:14 10 14:13 16:22 17:19 determined day 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinism 36:16 46:15,25,25 default deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14 detest 17:18 defend	dangerous	4:3	denied	150:4 151:7 152:13
81:10 decisions 41:9 desiring 76:12,16,18 declaration 155:13 desperately dated 101:20 104:15 department 164:6 61:23 107:24 119:25 63:24 despite dating declared depend 35:2 143:9 94:15 104:25 133:15 desserts daughter deep depending 160:22 161:3 66:7 30:19 81:10 36:16 52:12 144:17 destruction David deeper depends 100:9 27:5 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 determine dawned deeply 133:13 134:4 29:22 126:14 10 14:13 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determined day Deer depictions 19:2 143:21 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinism 36:16 46:15,25,25 default 25:14 detest 171:18 defend depression 106:4 days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10	47:4 60:12 90:3	decision	34:19	155:14 158:17,23
data 154:18 deny 155:13 76:12,16,18 declaration 53:17 desperately 61:23 107:24 119:25 63:24 despite dating declared depend 35:2 143:9 94:15 104:25 133:15 desserts daughter deep depending 160:22 161:3 66:7 30:19 81:10 36:16 52:12 144:17 destruction David deeper depends 100:9 27:5 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 determine dawned deeply 133:13 134:4 29:22 126:14 10 14:13 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determine day Deer depictions 19:2 143:21 7:3 32:3,4,20 33:17 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinism 36:16 46:15,25,25 default deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14 detest 125:10 defend deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,	dark	114:24	dentist	159:7
76:12,16,18 declaration 53:17 desperately 61:23 107:24 119:25 63:24 despite dating declared depend 35:2 143:9 94:15 104:25 daspite desserts daughter deep depending 160:22 161:3 66:7 30:19 81:10 deepending 160:22 161:3 deeper depending 160:22 161:3 destruction destruction 27:5 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 determine dawned deeply 133:13 134:4 29:22 126:14 10 14:13 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determine day 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinism 36:16 46:15,25,25 default deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14 detest 171:18 defend 33:10 devest days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 <	81:10	decisions	41:9	
dated 101:20 104:15 department 164:6 61:23 107:24 119:25 63:24 despite dating 94:15 104:25 133:15 desserts daughter deep depending 160:22 161:3 destruction 66:7 30:19 81:10 36:16 52:12 144:17 destruction 100:9 27:5 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 determine dawned 16:22 133:13 134:4 29:22 126:14 10 14:13 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determine day 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinsm 36:16 46:15,25,25 default deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14 detest 171:18 defend 33:10 devastating days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 developed 160:2	data	154:18	deny	155:13
61:23 107:24 119:25 63:24 despite 94:15 104:25 133:15 desserts 66:7 30:19 81:10 36:16 52:12 144:17 destruction David deeper depends 100:9 27:5 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 determine dawned 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determined 14:13 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determined 7:3 32:3,4,20 33:17 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinism 36:16 46:15,25,25 default deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14 detest 171:18 defend 33:10 devastating days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 developed 160:2 88:21 developed	76:12,16,18	declaration		
dating declared depend 35:2 143:9 daughter deep depending 160:22 161:3 66:7 30:19 81:10 36:16 52:12 144:17 destruction David deeper depends 100:9 27:5 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 determine dawned deeply 133:13 134:4 29:22 126:14 10 14:13 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determined day Deer 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinism 36:16 46:15,25,25 default deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14 detest 171:18 defend depression 30:16 50:16 days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 dead 160:2 88:21 developed	dated	101:20 104:15	department	164:6
94:15 104:25 133:15 desserts 66:7 30:19 81:10 36:16 52:12 144:17 destruction David deeper depends 100:9 27:5 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 determine dawned 14:13 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determined day Deer depictions 19:2 143:21 determined 7:3 32:3,4,20 33:17 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinism 19:2 143:21 36:16 46:15,25,25 default 25:14 detest 106:4 171:18 defend depression 106:4 days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 deal 160:2 88:21 developed	61:23	107:24 119:25		despite
daughter deep depending 160:22 161:3 David deeper depends 100:9 27:5 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 determine dawned deeply 133:13 134:4 29:22 126:14 10 14:13 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determined day Deer 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinism 36:16 46:15,25,25 default deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14 detest 171:18 defend depression 106:4 days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 developed 160:2 88:21 developed	dating	declared	depend	35:2 143:9
66:7 30:19 81:10 36:16 52:12 144:17 destruction David deeper depends 100:9 27:5 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 determine dawned deeply 133:13 134:4 29:22 126:14 10 14:13 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determined day Deer depictions 19:2 143:21 7:3 32:3,4,20 33:17 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinism 36:16 46:15,25,25 default deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14 detest 171:18 defend depression 106:4 days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 deal 160:2 88:21 developed	94:15	104:25	133:15	desserts
David deeper depends 100:9 27:5 158:24 44:19 92:24 133:12 determine dawned deeply 133:13 134:4 29:22 126:14 10 14:13 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determined day Deer depictions 19:2 143:21 7:3 32:3,4,20 33:17 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinism 36:16 46:15,25,25 default deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14 detest 171:18 defend depression 106:4 days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 deal 160:2 88:21 developed	daughter		depending	160:22 161:3
158:24	66:7	30:19 81:10	36:16 52:12 144:17	destruction
dawned deeply 133:13 134:4 29:22 126:14 16 14:13 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determined 7:3 32:3,4,20 33:17 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinism 36:16 46:15,25,25 default deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14 detest 171:18 defend depression 106:4 days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 deal 160:2 88:21 developed	David	deeper	depends	100:9
14:13 16:22 140:14,20 142:4,11 determined 7:3 32:3,4,20 33:17 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinism 36:16 46:15,25,25 default deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14 detest 171:18 defend depression 106:4 days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 deal 160:2 88:21 developed	27:5	158:24	44:19 92:24 133:12	determine
day Deer depictions 19:2 143:21 7:3 32:3,4,20 33:17 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinism 36:16 46:15,25,25 default deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14 detest 171:18 defend depression 106:4 days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 deal 160:2 88:21 developed	dawned	deeply	133:13 134:4	29:22 126:14 165:2
7:3 32:3,4,20 33:17 76:22 77:21 71:19 determinism 36:16 46:15,25,25 default deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14 detest 171:18 defend depression 106:4 days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 deal 160:2 88:21 developed	14:13	16:22	140:14,20 142:4,11	determined
36:16 46:15,25,25 default deposition 143:13 107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 detest 171:18 defend depression 106:4 days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 deal 160:2 88:21 developed	day	Deer	depictions	19:2 143:21
107:6 119:14 165:7 59:11,12 25:14 detest 171:18 defend 106:4 days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 deal 160:2 88:21 developed	7:3 32:3,4,20 33:17	76:22 77:21		determinism
171:18 defend depression 106:4 days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 deal 160:2 88:21 developed		default	deposition	143:13
days 9:15 102:2 144:20 33:10 devastating 125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 deal 160:2 88:21 developed	107:6 119:14 165:7	59:11,12	25:14	detest
125:10 defender deprived 30:16 50:16 dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 deal 160:2 88:21 developed	171:18	defend	depression	106:4
dead 118:23,25 125:11 develop 16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 deal 160:2 88:21 developed	days	9:15 102:2 144:20	33:10	devastating
16:4 103:11,11 defending depth 11:19,22 developed	125:10	defender	deprived	30:16 50:16
deal 160:2 88:21 developed	dead	118:23,25	125:11	develop
"	16:4 103:11,11			11:19,22
35:13 63:3 166:21 defense derived 82:12 83:9				
	35:13 63:3 166:21			82:12 83:9
dealer 7:5 8:6 37:17 73:7 139:11 development				
6:8 82:6 85:18 144:23 described 51:16		82:6 85:18 144:23		
dealers defenses 57:17 dictate	dealers	defenses	57:17	dictate



126:14	discourse	30:7,10,11 43:4	dumbest
dictated	51:25	64:10,16,17 69:16	38:3
127:24	discover	73:10 88:5 101:13	dump
dictates	57:22	103:20	117:20
154:20	discovered	dominant	duress
dictators	7:13 55:11	40:18 82:24 83:16	29:6
106:22	discredit	Dominos	duty
different	145:13	100:3,7	91:20,24 94:5
39:25 44:16 78:12	discuss	door	dying
85:15 86:18 97:15	63:16,17,19	123:3	12:3
97:23 99:4 133:10	discussed	doubt	
169:11	164:8	99:25	E
differently	discussing	dozens	E
143:17	64:6	57:9,10	171:2,2
difficult	discussion	Dr	earlier
118:3 145:2	81:5,6 138:19 167:18	129:10	147:13
diffuse	disentangle	draft	early
46:10	55:2,23	96:10	16:7 107:5 124:19
diffusion	disillusionment	drag	145:9
106:8	165:10,18	164:5	earned
Dig	dismantle	dragging	102:6
13:17	119:4	43:3	earth
dinner	disorder	dream	38:9
38:7	31:12	33:17	easily
direct	displeasure	drinking	66:21
12:9 60:17 107:22	163:10	12:21,22 83:20	East
134:6	disprove	drive	98:6
directly	18:23	100:19,22	Eastern
46:2 159:21	dissertation	driven	3:8 4:11
disabled	160:14 161:4	58:25 83:14	eat
101:2	diverge	driver	36:11
disagree	99:21	100:21	eating
19:14 87:10 104:13	divergence	drives	49:15
151:8,9	73:3	163:14	eats
disagreeing	divorced	drop	142:25
104:8 120:23 151:12	162:3,5	10:24 98:22 117:21	economic
disagreement	doctor	dropping	83:10
151:12	19:8	49:16 103:21	edged
disappointed	doctors	drops	58:10
146:14	7:25 10:15 11:6	10:23 36:21	effective
disapproval	14:19 16:15 17:17	drug	149:25
57:3 68:12	20:7 26:17 29:13	6:7 49:16 84:9,10,11	effects
disapproves	30:22	drugs	55:3,23
55:17	dog	84:12	efficient
discount	123:3	due	118:25
31:20 35:20 36:23	doing	38:24 55:16	egoist
	I	I	I



127:13	166:15	63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1	20:2 44:4 45:2 57:13
Egyptians	enjoy	67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1	74:20 75:6 80:17
140:15,21	32:22,23 74:21 75:7	71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1	106:9 107:11 116:4
either	77:20 137:6 167:22	75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1	116:5 161:20
22:23 39:23 59:9	168:14 169:21	79:1 80:1 81:1 82:1	163:18
70:9 71:5 76:6		83:1 84:1 85:1 86:1	essential
81:21 89:18 114:9	enjoyed 53:24 54:6 168:25	87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1	32:13
118:20 136:12	169:2,19	91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1	essentially
	,	95:1 96:1 97:1 98:1	117:6
139:10 151:5,8	enjoying	99:1 100:1 101:1	· -
164:12 166:5 169:8	71:25 73:15,18 75:4	102:1 103:1 104:1	esteem 94:16
elbow	75:19 159:19		
136:13	enjoyment	105:1 106:1 107:1	eternal
election	73:20,21 114:7 137:9	108:1 109:1 110:1	140:17,23,24 145:12
116:6	enormous	111:1 112:1 113:1	ethics
element	64:16 167:16	114:1 115:1 116:1	161:13
27:11,11 28:18	enormously	117:1 118:1 119:1	Europe
139:18	70:16,17,18 164:15	120:1 121:1 122:1	50:24 81:17 82:18
Email	entails	123:1 124:1 125:1	83:25
33:23	94:6	126:1 127:1 128:1	European
embedded	enter	129:1 130:1 131:1	50:20
75:5	70:5	132:1 133:1 134:1	event
emotional	entertainment	135:1 136:1 137:1	75:14,15
52:15	107:11	138:1 139:1 140:1	events
emotionally	entire	141:1 142:1 143:1	134:20 163:19
39:23	27:12	144:1 145:1 146:1	eventually
emphasis	entirely	147:1 148:1 149:1	3:11 11:23
66:22 67:2	48:24 56:11,14 89:23	150:1 151:1 152:1	everybody
empirical	99:4 120:10	153:1 154:1 155:1	2:14
39:5 40:4,22 44:17	environments	156:1 157:1 158:1	everybody's
50:16 57:5 152:7	13:22	159:1 160:1 161:1	65:12
155:4	Episode	162:1 163:1 164:1	everything's
empirically	1:9 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	165:1 166:1 167:1	143:21 158:5
40:5 58:25 118:2	6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1	168:1 169:1	evidence
enable	11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1	equation	67:3,4,7 154:3,8
5:3	15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1	144:24	evil
ended	19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1	equivalent	73:19,21 74:4 75:12
169:12	23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1	10:17 23:7 94:17	75:13,17
ends	27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1	era	evolution
53:13 80:11 142:2	31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1	52:2 65:23	39:19,21
enemies	35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1	erect	ex-professor
86:5	39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1	150:24	62:5
enforced	43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1	escape	ex-wife
51:20	47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1	125:24	62:4
engage	51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1	escaping	exactly
45:22 100:21	55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1	13:15	29:16 41:14,25 43:22
engineering	59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1	especially	45:9,11 55:13 64:8
	I	I	l '



92:20 93:4 95:16	15:19,19,22 110:13	171:2	fans
110:7 141:13	115:9,24 144:5	face	113:14 114:13
165:20 167:11	expand	17:4 121:9	fantasies
example	6:14	facing	70:19,21,23 71:15,17
10:8,20 11:13 12:19	expect	101:6	73:5 81:4
21:10 22:16,19	58:9	fact	fantastic
49:15 66:15 68:3	expected	13:19 16:4 17:4	57:18 58:6 111:15
76:21 94:9,12 95:16	109:5	33:14 38:25 50:23	168:25
100:15 101:17	experience	53:24 55:16 71:17	far
124:12 147:6 158:4	57:15	74:21 75:6 76:14	12:6 168:23
examples	experiences	78:5 81:2 91:22,25	farcical
10:3 129:10	57:17	92:23 94:2 105:9	155:9
excellence	experiments	106:20 107:15	farmer
114:12	71:11	112:9 120:14	89:21 95:17
excellent	explain	126:10 131:17,19	farmers
26:12 27:24 63:12	13:11 16:7 141:20	143:20 155:4,20	88:24 89:6,7,18
77:20 78:22 151:3	explanation	160:25 164:7,24	91:12 163:24
163:7	8:11 65:7 122:18	166:13	fascinating
exceptions	124:5	factors	9:10 68:24
52:6,9	explanations	82:3	fascism
excited	39:25 44:16	facts	106:13 116:18
3:16	exploitation	100:20 140:20	fast
excuse	40:14 43:6,7,18 45:7	failed	3:9 49:16
27:14 28:15,25 29:2	45:8	21:11	father
29:9 101:4 106:23	exploitative	fair	66:5
execute	40:11	44:13 108:6 150:14	fathers
11:2,3,3	exploited	fairly	34:22
executed	45:10	17:11 51:16 63:2	favorite
20:6	express 90:9 113:24	71:18 90:18 160:13	36:2,3,18 58:18
executing 16:14		161:22 false	fear
executioners	expressing 68:11 135:17	112:7 147:3 151:10	32:18,25 feature
10:22 18:2	expression	151:13 164:9	29:2 41:16 48:13
exemption	78:15,18	fame	68:9 72:10 75:24
148:2	expressions	114:9	76:23 114:24 157:6
exercise	78:19	familial	February
130:3 136:14	extent	113:16	4:9,10
exist	30:8 58:14 65:24	families	feedback
25:9 67:20 114:3	112:6 117:19	65:18	130:2,8,18
existed	externally	family	feel
111:19,20	130:25	47:15,16 65:12,16	31:15 32:3 34:7
existence	eye	66:6 125:7	36:24 53:12 58:19
110:11	166:19	famous	95:23 146:14
existing		108:18 111:16	148:14 152:2,3,8
111:3	<u> </u>	famously	feeling
exists	F	125:17	36:22 53:13 113:15
	I	1	I



	l	l]
fellow	13:2 63:19 65:8	follow	found
114:10	76:24 77:14 94:10	15:24 42:9 84:21	155:18
felony	120:5 155:8 166:24	161:15	foundation
28:13 48:3,19 52:11	fine	followed	131:5
felt	74:13 75:19 120:17	83:19	foundational
31:22,23 45:21 53:23	129:16	following	65:5
feminist	finish	11:12 26:2 73:23	four
13:21	85:3	follows	7:21,23 10:3 13:12
feminists	first	23:13	62:3
14:3	2:16,22 3:6 4:5 9:15	food	four-year
fetus	10:7 12:18 21:5	49:16 79:15	47:24 48:10
10:9,17 11:15,16,17	33:15 35:23,25	foot	foxhole
11:19,21 12:24 13:3	44:17 57:14 58:23	113:19	92:19
13:6,7 18:24,25	59:21 66:2,2,3 73:8	football	framework
19:21 20:19 21:6,9	95:18 107:9 114:20	47:5,7 113:20 116:23	20:3
21:12,20,22 22:2	121:13,15 122:21	force	France
23:3,6,12,19 24:13	127:10 131:23	22:5,7,10,12,13,15	148:7
24:22	132:2 141:17	22:17 23:8,10,13,15	Frank
fetuses	fishermen	24:3 85:21 144:22	109:20,24 110:7,11
162:16	90:4,10	149:17	112:9 115:8,9,10,12
fiction	fit	forces	115:24
152:15 155:11	10:11 66:21 86:12	10:5	Frankenstein
fictions	91:7	foreign	129:10
155:15	five	118:13 146:19 147:8	Frankenstein's
field	10:4 62:3	147:12 149:19	127:17,19 128:14
113:20 161:14	flagrantly	forever	130:19
fields	111:12	61:12 140:25	freak
108:18,19	flags	form	6:22 49:12
fiery	98:23	86:11 88:3	Fredonia
12:3	flat	formal	6:20 7:9
fight	147:3	9:6 116:6	free
102:23 150:22	flavors	formed	2:11 31:18 33:21,21
fighting	36:13	126:21	34:3 45:21 46:7
92:11,12,13,14,18,21	fledged	former	58:19 86:17 87:9
161:9	28:22 67:15	26:14 76:17	89:4,12 97:2,5
figures	Floor	forms	122:10 134:24
117:3	1:11	60:7	135:5,6,9,11,14
FILE	flow	forth	138:24 168:14
1:8	130:24 131:25	59:16 63:9 120:25	freedom
filled	flowed	157:16	14:10 32:21 47:16
146:10	127:23 131:19 132:9	Fortune	85:20 146:20,21
filling	132:22	125:7	166:12
3:9	flush	forward	freedoms
films	122:12	87:22	103:6
74:10,23	focus	Foucault	Freud
find	140:2	65:24	65:7,23 66:3 80:4
	I	I	I



friend	12:3	58:8 79:8 104:9	77:12 82:22 84:4
31:15	garbage	105:6 114:8 139:8	85:8 97:11,17
friends	85:7	143:15,16,20 148:5	100:18,21,24 104:3
26:12 27:23 32:10	Gary	gives	104:23 105:23
37:12 61:22 164:15	19:12	32:20 106:19,22	123:18 133:23
164:18	gay	giving	134:21,21 136:20
friendships	57:13,13,16,19 66:19	5:7 35:12 118:7,8	141:3,4 149:4 154:9
167:17	67:4	glad	155:5 159:11
front	gays	83:3,11 103:23 104:2	164:20 169:14,17
14:5 125:19	107:4	111:20 168:13	good
fruit	gecko	169:21	6:2,2,3 32:13 36:9,9
36:13	142:25 143:2,9	glass	36:22 37:12 49:7
frustrated	gel	155:13	62:20 63:6 72:18
155:6 157:4 158:14	36:14	go	73:16,19 74:2 75:7
full	gels	3:4,11 4:20 5:4,10	79:13 82:2,4 83:23
28:22 67:15 74:25	36:14	9:13 11:17,18,23	84:16 86:3 87:2
fully	general	20:21 33:20 35:19	94:24 96:18 97:19
16:22 30:7 101:4,7	10:5 25:25 30:25	36:22 41:6,7,8,9,10	99:10 100:12
101:15 126:20	58:22 91:15 155:8	42:2,4 47:10 59:16	108:20 114:2
fun	Generally	60:12 63:8 78:22	118:16,21 120:9
83:21 121:21 168:22	82:21	82:24 83:12 92:6	145:25 149:7
function	genetic	94:9 98:11 114:19	150:11 152:2,4,8,24
141:5	78:25 79:9,14,16,25	138:15 145:6	154:3,4 161:22
functioning	153:14	148:23 149:2,5	164:23 168:6
25:10	Germans	151:20,22 153:6	goodness
fund	107:12	157:5 158:8,10	23:18
101:19,21	Germany	161:7 163:19	gorgeous
fundamental	106:14 107:4	164:13 169:15	35:5
91:16 139:20 158:2	getting	170:6,9	gosh
funeral	14:18 70:3 85:7	God	14:14 61:7
42:3	87:14 97:15 131:13	126:15,17 127:10	gotten
funny	giant	139:8,11	46:13 63:21
38:11 93:25 135:16	93:6	God's	government
further	gigantic	38:8 160:2	35:2 119:22
130:5,7 171:12	50:10	goes	governments
G	girlfriend	24:2 34:12 38:25	150:20
gain	61:18	103:8 148:25 149:2	grain
44:6 114:9	give	158:11,11,12,13,24	161:8 grained
gaining	10:2 12:19 22:16,22 31:17 33:21 40:7	going 2:12,17 3:15 9:8,12	75:19
44:4,12	44:24 76:21 88:19	12:23 22:18,22	grandmother
Galen	88:20 101:16 133:4	26:21 28:19 35:9,11	6:22
133:8	136:13 160:22	35:13,14,24 37:24	grant
game	168:13	42:2 48:3 49:21	20:18,20 21:12
138:12	given	58:23 59:6,10 60:10	granted
gang	10:16 25:13 49:3	62:14,22 69:9 74:12	21:9 24:7
	10.110 20.110 19.15	32.11.,22.07.7.112	==-> =



		I	I
graphic	124:22 170:9	30:24 62:13 81:2	121:17
71:18	growing	99:12 130:6	hate
grass	125:2	happens	8:9 14:9 149:6
138:23	guarantee	30:23 48:16 50:8	hating
grateful	34:5 162:17,19	62:16 72:21 144:4	73:16,19
6:23 8:12 88:18 90:7	guardians	happier	haunt
91:8,11,17,18 94:23	82:15	34:8 153:7	32:19
94:25 95:4,5,14	guess	happiness	haywire
96:5 109:2,19,24	53:9 82:5 85:17	32:13	145:7
110:11,13,19,21	92:24 97:22 102:9	happy	head
111:3,11,14,21	102:15,23,24	115:23	66:5 116:11,13,21
113:10 114:7 115:7	114:21 120:8	harbor	117:8 123:4
115:12,15,19	132:17 135:22	81:3	headed
146:13	142:15 148:9 152:5	hard	117:5
gratification	161:19 162:3,9	9:3 10:11,18 11:7	Headspace
47:4	164:2 167:10	13:11 18:14 24:12	2:4 31:17 32:3,11,14
gratitude	guessing	28:16,17,21 29:2,8	33:2,7,18
8:10 90:9 95:8 97:25	165:3	42:8 66:2,20 67:6	Headspace.com/Re
109:14 110:10	guest	76:4 80:2 92:25	2:13 33:20 34:2,3,11
111:5 112:13 113:8	6:18	93:4 123:10 124:10	hear
113:11,25 114:6	guilt	137:22 139:17,25	2:16 33:22 63:18
grave	54:5	143:18,25 144:23	100:11 121:3
16:5	gummies	hardcore	152:19 156:13
gravitate	36:8	166:2	169:16
85:22	gun	harden	heard
great	125:19	106:18	34:13,17 40:15 93:22
26:23 27:9,24 42:3	guns	hardens	118:14 141:24
50:7 60:20 79:5	150:13	106:17	Hearst
86:19 88:7,22	guy	harder	124:12,17 125:6
106:23,23 108:23	7:12 37:15	58:9 167:5	126:3 128:8 129:13
111:3 120:2,2	guys	harm	130:23
161:24	29:20 84:16	38:24 39:6 40:21	heart
greater		52:15 53:10,14	7:7 8:15 33:13 35:7
12:6 131:2 137:17,20	<u>H</u>	55:16 56:4,5,8 59:9	hearts
greatest	half	60:18,20 61:9 78:3	26:20,22
4:15	106:11	78:4,6 111:8	heat
green	hand	harmed	8:5
38:8	60:13 161:5 167:15	53:13 56:13,20,24	heaven
grew	171:18	140:16	11:17 15:4 16:2,3
13:20	handed	harmful	162:17,20
gritty	80:24	38:15,22,23 39:4,23	heavy
70:4	hang	39:23 40:3,12 48:11	80:24
grounds	17:3 126:25 129:9	49:15 55:12 60:11	hebephilia
99:24	hangs	60:16,23 76:6,9,11	39:14 42:10,13,14
group	12:15	76:13,20 77:23,25	heiress
107:20 118:18,19	happen	Harris	125:6
	I	I	I



	İ	l .	İ
held	153:21	53:3 54:5 70:24	119:5 120:16
78:16	Hitler	human	identities
hell	107:8,14	51:11,14 55:19 79:4	116:9,11
7:25 11:18,23 12:7	hitting	79:5	identity
15:5 16:2,3	148:24 149:3	humanity	116:20
help	hold	140:10	ids
125:24	17:10 99:8,15 121:25	hundreds	85:19
helped	155:12	105:8 109:22	II
32:7 34:24 167:14	holds	Hunter	17:24 93:16
heretofore	19:24 94:16 104:16	76:22 77:21	illegal
13:19	Holiday	Hurka	51:19 57:7,10 70:9
hereunto	5:9	73:14	80:23 83:3
171:18	holidays	Hurst	illicit
heroes	90:8	124:16	78:20 80:23
120:2	home	hurt	illnesses
Hershenov	92:13	148:20,21	53:16
27:6	hometown	hurting	illogical
heterosexual	124:24	148:16	163:13
58:2	homicide	hurts	imagine
hey	28:10	155:19	23:22 55:11,14 84:19
2:14 29:24 72:12,18	honest	hypothesis	100:16,20 110:23
87:24 126:3 159:22	63:15 122:5	131:23	111:10 127:10,12
hierarchy	hook		150:3,5 151:16
65:11,13	81:16	I	immediate
high	hop	id	32:16
36:19 49:17 61:25	4:6	80:6,12,13 82:6	immediately
64:25 90:23 94:16	hope	83:14	31:25
higher	5:12 84:23 95:19	idea	impaired
50:25 83:7 91:5,5	hopefully	59:11 114:2 120:25	29:3
highly	88:2	123:5 129:22 131:3	important
82:12,13	horrendous	132:24 136:4	5:6 64:19,23 95:21
hilarious	52:20 62:17 138:9	144:12 151:4	116:4 117:13
139:7	145:4	155:24 160:17	imports
hill	horrendously	ideas	107:10
3:21 87:17	72:2	5:17 58:20 64:9	impose
Hilton	horse	92:12 120:12,15,17	87:18 106:25
84:5	14:6	120:23 144:19	imposed
hip	host	167:18 169:23	131:2
4:6	5:24	identical	impossible
hire	hot	48:17	117:25 126:9
97:9	117:21,22	identification	improve
hired	hour	120:11,25	94:15
4:18	24:2	identify	inappropriate
history	house	117:15 118:17,19,22	5:18 71:24
3:23 4:6,16 51:12,14	21:18 23:20 137:18	120:12,21 145:15	incest
99:6 104:9 116:14	huge	identifying	51:18,23 65:10,19
	ı	I	I ´



to the day	117.4	(0.6.9	22.0 11 22.11 10
includes	117:4	60:6,8	22:9,11 23:11,18
94:7 112:23	infant	interest	27:10,11 28:20,21 invention
including	18:14 27:9,20	42:5 64:6 91:10	
9:4 47:2 118:12	infinite	119:18 127:14	152:15
125:15 163:23,24	12:7	136:22,24,24,25	inventions
inconsistencies	influence	137:9,10,17,21,21	139:4
19:25	156:10	137:24 138:11	invited
inconsistency	information	140:3,4,5 147:18,22	38:7
11:8 163:3	5:11	149:11 151:17	involuntary
inconsistent	infrequently	155:17 156:3 157:2	71:5,19 96:12 97:6
12:16 13:14 145:8	49:3	157:3	100:13
157:24	infringement	interested	involve
incorrect	39:12 40:6,9,13	2:21 3:5,12 4:23 6:11	60:6,22 71:5,7 75:12
102:13 123:4	59:10 76:5	6:13,16 38:4,5,6,12	167:18
increase	infringing	38:14,16 46:4 85:9	involves
114:22	48:12 144:15	87:22 107:13	22:4 46:2 60:6 70:8
increasing	initially	114:25 119:16	73:18 148:16
97:20	23:24 24:7	138:4 156:19	Iraq
incredible	injustice	171:15	93:10
169:15	75:21	interesting	Iraqi
incredibly	innocent	46:19 54:3 56:18	103:10
73:4 169:19	105:9	85:13 92:8 93:22	Irish
Independence	inside	99:20 117:10 120:8	100:17
119:25	21:7,10,13,21 22:3	151:14 158:3 168:7	irrational
individual	34:9 80:19 81:9	169:6	112:3
11:20 13:9,10 25:8,9	instance	interests	irritable
25:14 53:3 76:7,8	47:11,11 77:22	136:16 137:15,16,25	163:18
89:10,18 95:6	instances	140:10	isolation
110:15 113:12	77:5	internally	149:19
116:19 117:17,18	instant	9:25 166:6	isolationist
134:22 137:24	158:21	interpretation	146:18 147:7
140:2 154:19	institutions	102:12	issue
individual's	82:16 119:23	interracial	21:23 26:11 64:19
126:24		55:9,10	
	instrument	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	72:9 74:6 75:23
individually	120:20,22	intervention	76:2 97:10 135:4
26:14 86:23,24	instrumental	147:7	157:10
110:10,19,21 111:2	121:2	interventionist	issues
individuals	intellectually	146:19 147:12	70:5 169:8
30:9 39:18 42:25	29:11,17	interventions	It'll
56:9,15,19,24 89:17	intended	106:17 147:17	3:6 4:7
92:9 100:19 122:22	94:3	interview	Italy
122:24 140:12	intense	6:4 7:7 145:9 169:2	106:13
150:20 163:25	62:8	169:20	item
industrial	intentionally	intuitively	36:7
50:21	21:14	124:6	ivory
inevitably	intercourse	invasion	64:22
	ı	1	1



	1	1	İ
J	48:8 51:10 52:7	42:18,24 43:1,16,23	117:24 118:1,15
Jack	justice	44:1,22 45:1,12,19	119:1,11,20 120:1,7
74:25	105:14,16	46:1,18 47:1,18	120:18 121:1,24
jaguars	justification	48:1 49:1 50:1,4	122:1,14 123:1,9
135:14	27:13 28:15,23 101:5	51:1,5,9 52:1,3 53:1	124:1,4,17,21 125:1
jail	101:8 111:6	54:1,2,10,16,22,25	125:3,8,21,23 126:1
53:2 149:5	justificational	55:1,5,22 56:1,2,7	126:5 127:1,2,8
James	28:18 139:18	56:17 57:1,19,25	128:1,2,13 129:1,5
2:22	justifications	58:1,8,22 59:1,15	129:20,22 130:1,22
January	136:10 141:15	59:20,23 60:1,2	131:1,15,22 132:1,5
2:23 3:7 4:9,9	justify	61:1,13,19 62:1,15	132:11,19,24 133:1
Japan	49:22 137:21 142:2	62:19 63:1,5,14	134:1,2,18 135:1,2
82:18		64:1,5,13 65:1 66:1	135:22,25 136:1,18
Japanese	K	66:12,18,25 67:1,18	137:1,13 138:1
103:14	Kamala	67:23 68:1,18,22	139:1,2,15 140:1,18
Jarvis	121:18	69:1,12,24 70:1	141:1,2,7,11,14,22
19:19	Kamasi	71:1,2,10 72:1,6,16	142:1,7,10,18 143:1
jazz	3:21 4:5,7,13	72:25 73:1 74:1,16	143:7 144:1,9,12
107:2	Kardashian	75:1,10,23 76:1	145:1,18,24 146:1,7
Jefferson	84:5	77:1 78:1,21 79:1	147:1,20 148:1,5,9
138:16	keep	79:19 80:1 81:1,18	149:1,9,12,22 150:1
Jewish	32:17 46:5 97:19	82:1,20 83:1,5 84:1	150:3,14 151:1,3
17:25	117:2 164:20	84:7,14,17,25 85:1	152:1,5,19,25 153:1
jive	keeping	85:10,12,17 86:1,6	153:16 154:1,13,22
37:25	119:16	86:16 87:1,7,20	154:24 155:1 156:1
job	kept	88:1,7,11,17,23	156:5,9,13,21,24
1:25 4:18 6:9 91:2	125:9	89:1 90:1,6 91:1,14	157:1,11,19 158:1,4
94:6,17	Kershnar	92:1,7,20 93:1,13	159:1,3,18 160:1,5
jobs	1:9 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	93:17,21 94:1 95:1	160:9,17,21,25
90:3	6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1,16	95:22 96:1,3,9,16	161:1,12,18 162:1,2
Joe	9:22 10:1,13 11:1	96:23 97:1,3 98:1,7	162:7 163:1,6,9,16
121:17	12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1	98:13 99:1,14,17	164:1,21 165:1,25
John	15:3,7 16:1,24 17:1	100:1,2,24 101:1,10	166:1,17,23 167:1,3
98:10 103:18	17:7,18,23 18:1,5,9	101:23 102:1,10	167:10,13 168:1,11
join	19:1,9 20:1,16 21:1	103:1,15,24 104:1,6	168:20,24 169:1,5
35:22 88:3 170:5,7	21:2 22:1 23:1,21	104:20 105:1,5,12	169:18,25
joined	24:1,6,10,21 25:1,7	105:22 106:1 107:1	Kevin
7:9	25:12,21 26:1,10	107:16 108:1,6,14	14:21
Jones	27:1,4,16 28:1,3,11	108:22 109:1,10,13	keynote
78:3,7	28:25 29:1,16,19	109:16 110:1,3,18	5:8
journalist	30:1,2,24 31:1,5	110:23 111:1,4,10	Khmer
6:6	32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1	111:18 112:1,2,11	104:12 107:18
Judith	36:1 37:1,7,11 38:1	112:17,21 113:1,3	kick
19:18	38:11 39:1 40:1,17	113:21 114:1,4,17	58:19 133:23
Juliet	40:21,25 41:1,6,15	115:1,14,20,23	kickball
	41:20,25 42:1,7,14	116:1,7 117:1,9,14	45:4,6
	1		I



kicking	7:8	89:15 154:21	1:10 50:12,13 81:15
43:3 164:5	kneecap	largely	84:12
kicks	100:18	115:4 123:12	legality
78:11 138:7	knew	largest	105:15
kidnapped	103:19,20	116:14	legalization
124:18,25	knock	lastly	59:13
kill	86:14 87:18	143:22	legion
25:17 29:13 54:14	know	lasts	57:9
62:12	8:15 16:18 22:14	12:10	legitimate
killed	23:23 26:25 29:14	late	104:21
11:16 19:7 30:22	29:24 30:11 34:11	4:9 44:3 120:2	LENGTH
107:20,21	37:18 39:4 42:21	latest	1:8
killers	43:25 44:2,7 47:9	121:23	lesser
19:11	51:15 53:15 55:13	law	28:13 91:4
killing	55:16,24 56:4,22	47:20 48:18,22 51:19	let's
7:2 18:13 26:7,17	57:6,8 68:14,24	58:3,20,25 63:11	5:14 9:16 20:20
30:5 31:6 108:18,18	76:25 78:16 84:10	119:19	21:13 22:18,19 37:7
162:16	91:2 93:3 95:3	laws	38:8 47:23 59:5
kills	96:10 99:5 101:13	37:22 50:19,23 51:10	69:21 88:10 127:16
127:17	103:10,16 104:16	51:13 52:4,7 55:7,8	132:2 144:2 147:24
Kim	108:12 110:7 112:9	61:8 64:25 143:16	147:24
84:5	112:25 121:11	lay	lethal
kind	122:7 124:16	9:4 122:11,13	18:17 22:7,9 23:8,9
9:23 10:3,4 19:18	134:12 135:9	layman's	23:13 24:3
38:12 39:8,11,24	143:13 148:2,25	9:9	level
42:15 43:8 46:22	149:3 150:24 152:2	lead	100:8 123:19,20
49:12 52:8 55:18	167:9	132:15	levels
59:16 60:8 61:3	knowing	leader	90:21
62:13 63:8,21 70:4	126:2	117:16 120:12	liar
76:17 79:3 81:24	known	leaders	158:21
83:22 85:21 88:19	36:5 57:9 119:6	107:20	liberal
90:15 91:15 93:6,25	knows	leading	6:2 13:21 165:24
99:19 100:16	100:21	19:11 118:23,24	0.2 13.21 103.24 liberals
114:18 120:24	Korea	leave	14:2
123:23 134:14	106:20	75:25 114:19,23	Liberation
136:5 140:18		126:7	124:23 125:20
148:22 149:16	L	leaving	129:12
151:13 157:5	labor	19:17,22 25:12 64:2	Libertarian
160:12 161:21	97:10	105:14 127:23	5:8
164:4 165:20	laboratory	lectures	liberties
167:22 168:6	76:15	168:13	60:22
kinds	land	led	liberty
65:18 84:3	118:13	111:14 126:13 127:4	49:10,12 58:13 61:2
King	language	left	66:23 77:19 85:19
5:9 148:7	149:13	146:2 165:24 166:3,9	166:12
Kirschner	large	legal	librarian



	I	1	<u> </u>
91:3	literature	16:3 39:6 55:14	155:22 159:5 167:8
Libya	44:10 123:13	67:24 69:10 97:19	169:7,13
93:14	little	134:20	lots
Libyan	10:10,18 11:7 13:11	longer	7:2 49:9 60:21 74:9
101:18	28:16,17,21 30:3	12:10 144:5	79:23 82:14 109:19
license	31:6 42:8 49:6	look	163:21
148:6	58:11 66:20 67:6	4:21 12:23 17:23	Louis
lie	81:19 88:21 92:25	19:10 22:22 23:5	107:13
158:22	93:4 99:18 100:5	27:19 39:3 44:15	love
life	114:14 115:6	48:21 49:13 50:18	9:12 36:12 37:4 74:3
2:5,7 8:2 32:14,23	123:10 124:10	50:19 51:11 55:11	74:4,4,6,8 75:12,16
33:3,12 34:5 36:25	138:20 143:18,25	56:3,20 57:21 58:5	75:18 86:17 87:9
41:19 110:13,14	149:13 153:2,4	59:4 64:9 65:18	121:21 168:8,10
148:13 149:3	live	71:3 72:2 73:15	low
151:20,22 153:6	4:14 84:24 85:3	74:17 77:16 81:8,8	46:5 97:10 106:9
157:4 158:8,10	86:11 102:8 149:7	82:2,7 85:18 90:20	lower
lifetime	lived	95:2 97:7 108:25	81:16 91:4
34:23	83:16	109:3,14 114:6	lowering
lights	lives	115:8 117:17 134:6	63:10
67:11	2:10 29:20 64:15	142:21 145:3	loyalty
limit	146:22	148:10 150:5,8	113:16
3:10	living	157:13 161:5 162:9	lpkingcounty.com
limits	88:2 153:19	162:14,15 164:22	5:11
4:25	load	167:21	luck
Lindsay	74:19	looked	150:11
2:22	loans	45:20 81:21	<u>M</u>
line	34:18	looking	
29:21	locations	56:8 81:24 166:21	Mafia
link	81:25	looks	83:2
11:9	locked	70:15 71:23 94:8	magical
linkage	125:9	96:13 164:23	50:8,10
79:14,16	locking	loop	MAGNA 1:10
lions	26:7	130:2,8,18	
135:13	loggers	lose	mailing
liquor	90:10	127:6 146:8,25	35:22
83:2	logging	149:16 153:18	maiming
list	90:4	164:10,11	13:10 mainstream
35:23	logic	losing	
listen	161:15	134:15	93:23
37:14 88:10	logical	lot	major
listener	17:14 163:2	2:15 5:3 9:11 12:10	76:23 82:16 116:12
35:18	logically	27:22 31:2,3 35:7	122:9
listeners	6:25	35:14 49:13 60:4	majority
2:20 170:9	logo	65:21 88:25 102:19	53:22 78:17
listening	125:20	121:13 152:22	maker
14:12 153:23 170:11	long	153:18 154:14	60:18
	•	•	•



			1
making	18:7 20:9 29:23	meaning	metaphysical
37:16,23 48:13 68:9	54:13 106:4	116:5	15:10
72:10 75:24 76:3,4	massive	meaningful	Middle
114:24 123:16	61:9	151:11	51:21 65:19 98:6
134:16 142:12	math	meaningless	middling
146:22 147:18	61:25	164:9	59:5
160:8 166:15	matter	means	Mignano
male	14:19 97:17 107:14	142:2	1:24 171:7,22
24:16	171:16	meant	militarize
males	matters	35:4	106:24
38:21,22	135:7 153:13	mechanism	military
man	maximize	63:2	89:20,24 90:14,16
7:6 23:22 25:2 37:14	138:10	meditate	91:12 95:12,17 97:6
57:15 88:9 94:9	McCain	33:8	97:8,16,19 101:25
96:18 159:22 169:4	98:11 103:19	meet	102:4 106:17,22
169:14,24	mean	30:12 112:12	milligrams
manner	10:19 11:24 12:6	meeting	36:11,14
107:22 123:2	18:9,11 19:12 20:12	4:8	million
mantis	20:24 26:9 28:11,17	member	18:10 61:11 107:21
142:25	30:15 31:2 39:10	101:25	millions
Mao	46:10,24 47:10,15	members	20:6 109:23
106:2	48:23 49:25 50:20	90:14 95:12 97:6	mind
Maoist	51:12,21 52:25	102:3 125:24	16:20 23:25 24:24
104:11	54:12 55:3 56:21	membership	25:3 112:16,18
map	57:9 58:2,10 60:9	2:12	119:5 166:25
51:2	64:14,22 65:6 66:13	men	167:15
marathon	67:3 71:24 74:16,18	57:13,14,16,20 71:16	mindfulness
161:2	79:14 84:22 85:20	71:18 81:3 97:12,12	33:8
marching	86:12,20,22 94:5	mention	minds
118:13	95:2 96:10 99:4,11	15:8 105:20	16:21 26:20,22 81:9
marijuana-related	99:20 102:15 105:5	mentioned	98:25
34:20	106:2 108:7,11	15:9	mine
Marissa	109:19,24 110:4,9	merely	31:15 133:9
1:24 171:7,22	110:18 111:18,22	49:23	minimalist
marriage	116:16 117:10,23	merge	58:24
52:8,10 55:9 66:14	121:12 122:23	116:11	Minneapolis
66:19 67:4,5 86:18	123:2 135:14	merger	25:25
94:15 171:14	142:24 144:5 145:3	116:19	minus
married	145:6 146:9 147:3	merging	15:4
62:4	148:15 149:6,24	116:9	minute
Marxist	150:2 155:7,11,11	messages	50:12,13 107:6
124:21	155:14,16 156:14	63:23,25	misdemeanor
Mary	159:25 161:5,14	meta	28:9,13
111:14 112:10	162:2 164:23	38:19	misleading
115:15	165:11 166:20	Metal	149:14
mass	169:6	74:25	misogyny
	l		I



	l	l	
14:5 79:21	141:17 142:22	43:20	134:10
mistaken	143:8 145:14,15	N	nephew
111:7 112:4,5 150:7	146:23		74:22
mixed	Mormons	name	net
58:11 60:8 76:11,17	66:17	98:17 121:10,13	137:6
76:18 99:18 100:6	morning	named	network
model	41:17	143:24	77:5
65:15	mortar	names	networks
modern	35:6	46:9 121:16	77:17
52:2 65:23 82:17,18	mother	narrow	neutral
moment	95:11,13 112:14	127:15	74:5,7,9 123:19
32:15 34:9 50:9	mothers	nation	never
money	91:18,19 163:23	116:20 118:6	11:25 21:8 31:14
35:8 114:9 134:15	motion	national	43:18 72:17,21
168:6	51:12	14:22 77:4 107:7	113:19 118:14
monopoly	motivated	naturally	121:8 126:2 132:25
119:10	109:11 110:6,7	85:22	133:2 155:21
monster	motivation	nature	165:22
127:17,20 128:14	91:23 92:4 114:15	138:22 139:11	new
129:11 130:19	115:5	143:16	1:11,11 2:3 6:20 7:9
Montana	move	Nazi	22:10 35:5 51:14
86:14,18	118:3	10:20 22:19,20,21,25	150:15 170:6,7
month	movement	54:12	171:3,5,8
2:11 24:13 33:21	6:25	Nazis	Newspaper
moral	movie	107:8	125:6
23:7 78:23 79:11	77:7,17	near	Niagara
82:15 135:6 143:10	movies	13:2	25:23,23
143:11 146:4,23	74:18,24 78:13	nearly	nice
147:19 148:19	multiple	48:17	37:4 82:25
153:11 155:8	152:3	need	night
156:15	murder	9:8 23:25 26:6 39:4	155:13
moralistic	10:8 12:18 14:2,15	46:18 47:10 56:4	nine
142:16	16:13 18:21 19:3,4	81:4,6 83:12 118:12	6:21 7:21,22 24:13
morality	20:10 25:5,16 28:14	156:25	Nixon
7:4,24 122:3,16,18	29:24 74:13,15	needed	108:13
136:2,4 142:19	murderers	73:11	nonconsensual
144:20 146:4	18:8 20:9 54:14	needs	67:17
148:20 151:8,9	murdering	66:5 80:25	nonissue
154:19 157:25	27:9,20	neighbor	52:2
158:2 164:11	murderous	5:23	nonstarter
moralizing	106:4	neighbors	44:23
150:25	murky	148:24	nonstop
morally	105:13,15	neither	47:14
10:17 54:17 122:22	music	40:20 123:20 124:5	norm
123:11,17 126:11	109:21,22 115:24	126:21 129:5,17	50:24
135:8,12 136:12	mutually	130:11 131:8	normal
	I	l	l



		1	1
2:8 65:20	109:22 121:4	91:6,13 95:22	76:16 166:8
normally	136:15 138:6 139:5	110:22 111:9 112:8	opposition
22:5 63:17 71:25	occur	113:5 115:13,21	88:13 162:4
127:13 147:21	49:25 73:24	116:2 124:3 128:12	oppositional
149:17	occurred	129:21 130:21	161:21 162:8
norms	14:11	131:21 132:4,10,17	option
82:24 83:16 86:11	occurs	132:18,23 134:17	128:24
87:4	43:8 45:15	136:8,17 142:14,15	options
North	odd	145:17 148:4	22:23 69:18 131:8
104:23 105:7 106:20	11:11 13:4 44:18	156:11 157:17	order
Northern	140:19	159:2 160:24	35:25 58:4 91:21
83:25	oddities	161:17	109:18
notably	47:19	old	orderly
27:5	oddly	47:25 48:2,5,6,13,14	119:16,19
Notary	70:2	48:16 61:17,25	ordinary
171:7	offender	69:11,15 100:16	6:8,9
note	61:11	134:15	organism
149:12	offer	older	15:16,18,19,20,22,25
noticed	87:24	62:6	16:3,5,7
14:16 31:25 32:2	offering	Olivia	organizations
noting	31:19	58:4	150:20
78:24	office	once	organized
notion	119:4,4	15:18 62:15 82:2	84:2
16:12 52:19 144:20	officers	108:7,9 118:6 119:3	orgasm
novels	22:24	128:15 146:25	122:4
71:3,4	oftentimes	150:24 164:10	ought
number	70:13 76:8	one's	148:10
1:25 26:11 65:5,6	oh	18:24 19:2 134:4	outcome
66:20 70:22,24 93:2	14:14 17:6 36:9	one-month	44:19 171:15
114:18 142:20	37:10 51:17 58:7	31:18 34:4	outraged
numbers	62:18 63:13 64:3	ones	169:8
18:5	67:16 83:20 85:8	15:9 103:18 152:16	outside
10.5	87:15 90:5 96:2,14	ongoing	48:18 93:23
0	104:19 119:2 121:4	22:10 80:18,19	outweigh
oath	125:3 138:17,18,19	onward	77:10
102:2,5,8,16,24	138:21,22 151:25	59:6	overall
objection	156:17 159:9	open	48:21 49:14,20 63:8
96:21 140:7 143:23	160:20 161:24	11:14 86:17	77:7 90:22 138:10
objectionable	okay	operated	167:21
72:22	9:19 10:14 11:5 13:5	34:16	owe
obtain	13:8,16 15:23 23:17	oppose	88:25 95:25
75:17	24:5,19 25:11,19	14:8 147:16	owned
obvious	35:20 40:16 42:17	opposed	34:15
39:10 49:4 93:7	43:17 48:2,7,11,23	102:21 107:23	Oxford
obviously	53:16 59:14,22	147:22,23	133:7
46:4 76:6 102:14	79:17 88:25 89:9	opposite	133.1
	17.17 00.23 07.7	opposite	



P	 partial	19:7 20:13,14 26:7	21:9,13 24:7,8,17
	27:12,13 28:14,15	26:8,21 27:24 28:7	118:9
p.m	101:5	29:12,12 30:5,21	persevered
3:7 4:10	partially	33:7 45:24 48:25	34:22
Pacific 2 0 4 11	162:3	53:11,12 57:10 59:2	person
3:8 4:11	participant	61:11,15,16 62:12	6:4,9 10:10,22,23
Pack	44:5 125:13	62:16 63:18 64:2,16	11:16,22 15:19,24
36:5,6,8	participants	67:10 68:4 70:21	19:5,21 20:20 48:3
package	1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
44:11 90:22 91:3,9,9	45:3 55:13 56:10,11	72:3 73:5 77:3,9,11	57:12 59:7 89:20
92:5 94:6,8,20,21	56:12,19,25 70:12	77:12,15 78:9,16,17	126:19 127:12,16
94:22 114:22	101:12	79:25 82:7,23,25	150:7 161:21
packages	participated	83:14 84:4,21 85:21	person's
90:19	102:4	86:12,16,25 89:13	15:13 42:19
paid	participation	92:13 96:19 97:10	personality
52:25 90:25 168:4,17	103:12	97:21 98:18 102:19	117:7
168:17	particular	102:22 105:9 107:3	personally
pain	15:15 166:3	107:21 109:20,23	45:25
34:23	particularly	112:22 114:19	persuaded
pale	146:23 159:6 163:17	116:4,8,22 121:13	66:10
5:19 63:20	parties	121:15 123:5	persuasive
Paloma	38:7 116:13 171:13	124:16 129:19	65:8
34:14 35:16 36:3	party	136:8,11 137:14,23	phenomenal
Palomaverderstor	5:8,9 72:17 117:15	138:4,7 139:7,8,9	4:19
35:19	117:19 120:13	139:18 141:24	Phil
Palomaverdestore	patriot	145:13 146:13	27:7
36:23	120:5	147:15 148:25	philosopher
panic	Patty	149:3,6 150:19	8:14 73:14 143:24
31:12	124:12,16,17 126:3	151:7 155:5 160:18	philosophers
paradigm	128:8 129:13	160:22 163:3,13,21	25:23 26:13 27:24
38:18	130:23	166:15 168:3,9	philosophical
paradox	pay	169:7	8:6,11,25
158:21	73:5 89:14 91:4,5	people's	philosophy
paradoxes	168:3	16:20 58:12 64:15	6:19 7:11,15 9:5
158:15,20,25	peasants	70:19 73:4,22 79:15	153:21 168:23
parent	98:11	81:9 117:20 136:16	phone
5:23	pederasty	perfect	31:17 64:2
parents	51:18	7:18 156:22	photograph
121:16 165:13	pedophile	perfectly	70:7
Paris	45:22	48:18 152:23	physical
84:5	pedophiles	perform	53:19
part	54:15,17	92:9 144:2	physically
78:25 86:18 94:19	people	period	39:22
103:11 120:11	3:2 5:2,17 6:13,16	39:20 165:9	physician
127:6 153:14	7:3 8:22 12:20,25	permissible	29:5
161:12,14	13:23,25 14:4,8,13	18:16 19:16 44:19	pick
	14:25 17:16 18:17	permission	70:14
	I	I	1



	I		
picked	84:2	polling	83:11,21 119:8,9
85:7	plot	71:13	powerful
piece	75:5	poorly	20:11 82:15
37:20 46:15,21 122:9	plural	157:5 158:8,10,11,13	praise
155:24,25 156:19	66:14 67:4	pop	103:4 123:2
156:19	podcast	4:16	praised
pimp	1:2,9 5:15,23 170:4,9	popular	122:25 123:6
69:11	point	4:2 70:17,18,19 73:4	praiseworthiness
place	15:17 19:24 30:25	106:8,9 107:11	123:20
48:22 61:2 74:11	39:8 62:20 63:6,23	155:18 156:8,23	praiseworthy
77:8 84:23 85:4	69:3 78:22 82:5	population	123:18 124:2
108:9 142:13,13	88:8 94:10,13 99:8	106:24	praying
143:19 149:7 158:7	100:13 108:23	population's	142:25
places	114:19 116:25	140:4,5	preached
51:19 66:22 67:2	118:16 120:9 163:7	porn	156:3
81:10,14 82:19	165:2	6:7 81:13,15	prediction
85:15 104:10	Polanski	pornography	105:6
169:11	46:17	68:15,20 69:21 70:8	prefer
plantation	pole	70:18 72:21 81:23	86:22,24,24 152:16
47:15	107:19	posing	152:17
Platoon	police	125:19	preferable
74:25	63:25 125:25	position	69:17
plausible	policies	19:24 20:19,21,24	preferences
56:14 134:8	66:20 147:13	21:3 43:11 59:11,13	91:7
play	policing	117:25 156:7	pregnancy
45:4,6 138:12	62:10,14	possibility	21:8 24:20,22 25:6
player	policy	137:7	52:16
114:5,8	63:8 118:25 146:17	possible	pregnant
players	146:20 147:8	6:15 141:12	12:22 21:14
114:10	149:19	possibly	premise
playing	polite	7:10 38:4	133:11
47:5,7	6:10	postmodern	premises
please	political	53:8	133:10 134:3 165:22
3:11 34:10	83:11 87:13 116:12	Postmodernism	165:23
pleased	117:3,15	2:24	prepubescent
111:19 115:8,18	politically	postpubescent	44:3 59:18,24 60:3,4
pleasing	8:17 116:3	59:19	60:5 61:5 63:7
167:16	politician	pot	present
pleasurable	5:22 119:6	107:19	9:9 15:13 29:3,8
76:7	politicians	potato	32:15 158:15
pleasure	121:15	117:21,22	president
32:22 41:23 77:9	politics	potency	121:5
168:15	2:25 8:18 116:5,6,17	36:19	presidents
pleasures	147:17 149:11	poured	120:3
83:18	155:16,17 156:3	35:7	presuppose
plenty	165:16 166:10,11	power	146:12,16 151:21
= · · ·	1	l ⁻	1



157:21	78:17,25 79:20	120:14	55:15
	94:24 122:17 159:7	proof	psychologically
presupposes 153:2	problem	52:24 139:13	16:25 32:6 55:20
	15:4,5,8,10 17:24		psychology
presupposing 153:5 154:2	52:17 74:15 89:22	proper 111:6	123:24,25 124:9
	111:2 123:9 124:4		*
pretty 3:3 14:23 44:25		property 87:5	126:12,21 127:3,7
	133:24 136:15,18		127:11,22,23 128:5
49:14 51:22,23	140:7 142:20 144:8	proportionality 144:21	128:6,9,16,19,22,25
66:10 97:7 149:25	155:15 158:20,23		129:2,11,13,18,25
150:16 159:9	problems	prosecute	130:4,5,7,20,24,25
162:21	16:16 19:17 20:25	52:12 67:24	131:11,18,20,25
prevalence	140:6 142:20	prosecuted	132:8,12,16,20
79:3 81:22	158:16	49:4 68:4	133:16,22 134:9,13
prevent	procure	prospects	134:13
22:10 23:8,9,10,14	10:6	94:15	psychosomatic
28:21	produce	Prostitutes	53:15
prevented	94:18	83:6	psychotherapy
55:7,9	produced	prostitution	31:14 32:9
preventing	65:17 108:20	69:7	pubescent
52:5	producers	protect	59:21
price	33:15	49:10 52:14 102:2	Public
52:25 166:24	produces	136:13,16,21	171:8
primary	49:24	139:22,23	punish
66:22 119:17	producing	protected	67:9 160:18,23
prison	55:24 78:3,4,6	139:21 150:16	punished
14:18 19:6 20:6 48:4	118:21	protecting	18:19 62:22 67:10
69:10	product	103:5 118:21	69:19,19
prisons	33:14	proud	punishing
54:14	products	2:2 36:6 146:14	144:22
private	35:18 36:3	prove	punishment
87:4	professional	18:23 99:22 139:14	14:17,25 167:7
Pro	159:6	proven	purely
8:2	professionally	33:5	47:3
pro-choice	154:15	provides	puritan
14:3 19:23 20:13,24	professor	151:18	86:13 87:16
21:3	5:22 6:6,18 7:11,15	provocateurs	puritanism
pro-life	133:7 168:23	64:21	65:3,4 66:13 86:2,9
6:24 9:24 10:5 11:10	professors	provocation	87:23
13:7,22,24 14:4,12	61:24	29:5	puritans
17:16 19:24 20:14	profile	provocative	87:8
20:19,23 25:13	46:5	8:23	purport
26:12 27:23 29:12	project	psyches	166:9
30:21	35:2	81:10	purposes
probably	promoted	psychoanalytic	70:6
2:9 3:14 35:24 37:4	140:11,11	32:9	pursued
49:14 72:8 74:8	promoting	psychological	34:25
	1	1	1



	Dand	110.7 120.0 121.14	referential
pursuing 64:7 68:7	Rand	119:7 120:9 121:14 124:13 135:4	158:19
	90:18 155:22 156:8		
put	156:18	138:22 139:20	reflex
3:10,14 20:8 29:20	Randy	140:6 144:20	62:11
31:16 36:2,21 53:2	156:12	148:10 150:4	refused
90:12,13 97:14	range	155:18,21 159:19	101:19
100:15 121:9,10	51:2,5	164:14 168:14,24	regard
putting	ranges	realness	38:21 56:18,23 89:17
4:25 14:17 87:22	61:14	53:18	89:18 101:11
89:20 90:24 120:24	rape	reason	regardless
157:15	21:12 23:7,9,10,12	14:8 23:2 39:17 61:8	86:23 138:5
	47:20 51:13 52:5	87:8 94:23 106:23	regime
Q	62:21 63:11 68:3	111:13 133:19	105:2 106:18,19
quantify	70:11,21,22 71:15	147:25 151:18,23	107:19 108:2
94:25	71:16 79:3 81:3,17	152:6	regiment
quasi	raped	reasonable	106:24
71:6 153:11	61:16 125:12	23:4 100:4 105:6	regimented
question	rare	reasonably	117:5
16:18 37:21 39:5,9	68:2 96:19	109:5	regimes
58:18 70:16 103:25	rates	reasons	100:10 106:5,12,13
110:20 159:16	81:16	3:19 20:17 38:17	registry
questioned	rationales	94:12 146:9 151:15	61:12 62:16
165:22	152:11	152:3 153:3,5,8	regulation
quibble	reactive	157:21	146:22
117:12	146:11	received	reinterpret
quick	read	25:20 167:6	147:4
88:20,23 91:11	42:19 46:19 73:6	recital	reject
quite	155:21,22	41:10	16:8
8:4 26:4 42:22 65:8	reading	reckoned	rejecting
90:23 99:23 167:7	39:2	13:18	156:16
quote	real	recommend	related
26:25 34:20	22:3 46:21 48:3	33:13	133:4,6 171:13
	53:16,16 59:9 73:3	recommended	relations
R	75:14 116:15	31:16	72:19
R	158:15	recorded	relationship
171:2	really	171:11	31:23 57:7 62:2
radical	2:20 9:6 13:25 14:9	recording	148:22
50:11 165:9	16:13 18:12 20:18	36:20	relationships
raise	21:24 26:18 27:2	reduces	7:6 57:11 58:5 61:22
63:6	39:7 43:7 45:6	76:14	relative
raised	46:13,18 47:8,14	Reed	65:2
16:20 62:20 165:11	50:15 51:20 52:5,13	27:7	relatively
rampant	60:16 62:20 68:6		51:14 107:22
51:24 85:8		reenacting 70:11	
ran	69:4 72:18,22 75:14		relevant
161:2	76:4 77:6 80:25	refer	9:11 68:17 69:4
	111:22 114:21	113:18	135:15 157:6



1		70.21.127.16.146.2	51 10 50 2 52 14
religious	rescue	79:21 127:16 146:3	51:12 52:3 53:14
11:10 16:9 20:3	125:25	158:25	54:7,10,13,15,16,17
126:16 138:14,15	resident	results	54:21,22,22,23 55:3
162:14	150:15	11:11 49:11,20 71:13	55:21,25 56:6,16
relying	Resistance	108:20 118:21	57:4,8,18,24 59:9
81:20	17:25	138:2 142:5 144:18	59:21 61:13,19 63:4
remarkable	respect	145:5	64:12,21 65:5 66:8
32:5	102:7	retirement	66:16,25 67:8,18,19
reminding	respectability	90:22	68:17,21 71:3,10
113:14	38:6	retires	72:5,15,24 73:25
Renegade	respecting	114:5	75:9,14 76:5,5
2:18 3:19 7:11,17	144:14	retributivism	78:14 79:13,18,24
35:20 36:24 168:2	response	160:15	80:5,19 81:17,18
170:6	27:22 115:18 126:24	retrospective	82:19 83:4,5,15,18
renegades	responsibility	67:21	84:3,7,7,13,14,17
82:23 84:20 135:18	7:4,24 122:3,19,20	returning	84:25 85:2,9,10,20
renegadeuniversit	128:21 131:5	108:24	88:2,6 89:6,9 91:22
3:4 4:20 170:7	134:19 135:6,20,23	review	92:3,21 93:20,21
replaceable	136:14 143:12	14:22 108:21	94:14 95:2,20 98:6
89:13,14	146:5,12,16 160:4	revolution	98:7,12 100:23
replacements	164:4,7,10	165:17	101:9,22 103:5,15
89:16	responsible	revolutionary	104:6 105:4,21
report	30:7,8 99:9 122:22	124:22 125:14	107:19 109:9,12
77:15 94:11	123:11,17 124:7,8	rewards	111:17 112:17,20
reported	124:11 126:11,12	167:8	113:3,3,4,15,17,20
1:24 76:24 77:3	127:3,7,20 128:3,7	rich	113:21 114:5,12,16
represented	128:10,17 129:6,8	111:16	116:5,7 118:7,9
116:21	129:18,23 130:11	Ridgeway	119:7,11,15,19
represents	130:12,13,14,16	19:12	120:3,18 121:20,21
81:13	131:7,9,14,17 132:6	right	123:8 125:4,8,21
repress	132:7,8,13,15,16,20	2:10,18 5:14 6:22	126:4 127:12,18,25
80:16	132:21 133:3,11,18	8:22 10:12 13:4	129:15,19 130:2,17
repression	134:5,7,22 135:8,12	14:7,15,18 15:2,12	131:24 132:11
80:4,5,9,25 82:14	136:12,15 141:18	15:23 16:10 17:18	133:14,25 134:25
reproduction	142:22 143:8	18:9,23 19:10,15	135:24 136:3,6,11
39:19,21	145:14,16	20:22 21:7,21 22:2	137:12,19 138:3,5,9
Republican	rest	22:14 24:8,9,23	138:24 139:2,23
100:17	17:10 33:2 58:14	26:9 27:15,18 28:24	140:12,13,15,20,22
require	83:19,23 166:16	29:3,14,18,25 30:2	140:23 141:2,3,19
48:9	resting	30:10,22 33:11	142:3,9,14,17,18,23
required	58:13	36:10 37:3 39:12	143:3,4,6 144:3,10
80:4	restrict	40:6,9,12,17 41:13	144:14,16 145:5,19
requirement	14:10	41:20 42:2,6,19	145:20,22 146:6
104:15	rests	43:2,15,20 44:8,21	147:9,23 148:23
requires	134:3	44:23 47:9,17,18	149:8,9 150:23
65:9,11	result	48:11 50:3,17 51:8	153:20 154:5,22,23
	1	·	



156:5,21 158:9	root	61:14,20 62:18 63:4	153:15 154:12,16
160:5 161:15	116:16,18	63:13 64:3,12,18	154:23 155:7 156:6
162:13,24 164:5	rotting	66:16,24 67:8,22	156:11,17,22 157:7
165:20,23 166:17	16:5	68:14,21 69:2,13	157:17 158:3 159:2
167:12 169:14	Rouge	70:24 71:7 72:5,15	159:9,20 160:6,16
rightness	104:12 107:18	72:24 74:12 75:9,22	160:20,24 161:10
142:11 146:24,25	roughly	78:14 79:18 80:3	161:17,24 162:5
rights	107:21 122:23	82:11,21 83:6 84:9	163:2,8,12 164:20
24:12 40:25 42:7	roulette	84:15,18 85:2,11,16	165:6 166:2,18
103:6 117:17,20	76:23 77:6,13	85:25 86:15 87:6,12	167:2,6,12,25
118:22,24 136:8,9	roundabout	87:21 88:9,15,22	168:16,21 169:4,10
136:10,11,13,19	38:13 70:3	90:5 91:13 92:6,17	169:23 170:3,5
137:3,11,22 138:13	rub	93:11,15,19 95:18	Russian
138:17 139:19,20	162:11	96:2,7,14,18,25	76:23 77:6,13
140:24,24 144:14	rubs	97:22 98:8,14 99:15	
144:15	164:2	99:25 100:23 101:9	S
rigid	rules	101:22 102:9,15	sacrificed
12:5	6:10,12,13 84:22	103:16 104:2,19	91:19 163:22
rigorous	run	105:4,11,17,25	sadness
9:2,6	120:19 164:16	108:3,11,16 109:9	32:19
risk	167:25	109:12,15 110:2,9	safe
11:24,25 12:2,11	running	110:22,25 111:9,17	98:5 147:15
90:12,14,24 91:5,6	22:24	111:25 112:8,15,19	sailor
148:17	Runs	112:24 113:13,23	89:11
risking	164:21	114:16 115:13,17	sake
12:7	rural	115:21 116:2,8	160:2
road	86:14	117:11,23 118:5	salary
60:12 164:13	Russell	119:2,12,18,21	90:20
robbed	2:2 5:16 9:19 10:12	120:16 121:3,25	sale
125:16	13:16 15:6 16:17	123:8 124:3,15,20	3:15 134:16
robbing	17:6,13,22 18:3,7	124:24 125:5,16,22	San 34:15 35:3
125:15	18:20 20:5,22 23:17	126:4,25 127:5,25	sanctimonious
Roe	24:5,9,19 25:4,11	128:12 129:4,9,21	163:4
18:11,11	25:19 26:6 27:3,15	130:21 131:10,21	sanctimony
role	28:2,5,24 29:10,17	132:4,10,17,23	163:13
118:20	29:23 30:14 31:4,7	133:25 134:17,23	satisfied
roles	37:10,14 40:15,20	135:16,24 136:17 137:12 138:13	158:12
159:6 P oman	40:24 41:5,14,18,21	139:3 140:17,22	satisfies
Roman 46:17	42:6,12,17,23 43:15 43:22 44:21 45:11	141:6,9,13,20 142:6	158:17
	45:18 46:12,20 50:3	142:8,14 143:6	satisfying
romance 71:3,4	50:6 51:8,17 53:7	142.8,14 145.0	85:22
Romeo	54:4,11,19,24 55:4	144.7,11 145.17,22	Saturday
48:8 51:10 52:7	55:21,25 56:6,16	148:8,15 149:10,21	5:10
	57:4,24 58:7,17	149:24 150:10,17	savage
room 136:13	59:14,17,22,25 61:7	151:25 152:10,24	65:21
130.13	37.17,17,22,23 01.7	131.23 132.10,27	
I .			



savages	52:17	sells	set
65:17	Seattle	69:6	87:3,3 108:17 113:19
saw	5:6	send	140:11 171:18
121:7 122:3	second	108:10	severe
saying	5:5 44:22 126:19	sending	24:11,14 28:20 31:12
12:23 16:23 20:20,23	127:9 128:15	107:23	63:2
28:8,10,12 64:8	133:17	sense	severely
84:8 86:21 87:24	secondary	8:2 11:15 21:20	18:19 62:22
96:11 100:12	113:7	32:20 45:24 46:7,8	sex
107:17 108:15	secretary	72:8 82:7 85:13	8:6 21:16 22:23 23:6
112:25 118:10,18	63:24	86:20 102:6 142:16	23:7,22,24 24:16,25
139:17 145:10	secular	143:8 151:16	37:8,17 38:2,24
146:17 147:8	139:9,9	153:11,17 156:23	39:15,15 42:11
151:10 152:13,15	see	166:5 167:3	45:16,23 47:25 48:5
152:20 153:17,18	5:13 10:4,11,18 11:7	sentence	48:14,15,17 49:25
156:14 160:3	12:17,21 13:11 16:4	158:22	50:12,13 52:10,17
says	17:6 18:14 24:12	separate	53:23 55:10 60:4
7:18 23:23 25:2 53:9	27:2 28:16,17 29:2	56:8 57:2 95:19	61:4,11,17 62:24,25
65:23,24 67:16	29:8 37:24 61:8,8	135:4	65:12 66:6 68:16,19
72:17 73:14 100:17	66:21 67:6,20 70:20	separately	69:4,6,8,15 70:10
134:6 141:23,25	76:4 82:2 84:8	109:6	71:5,6,19 72:18
142:10,24 162:18	92:25 93:4 114:17	separates	79:3 80:7 83:22
162:22	122:12 123:10	95:16	88:12 93:24 160:2
scholarly	124:10 133:21	sequences	sexual
8:25	136:22 137:22	134:14,20	7:5 57:15 60:7 76:14
school	139:17,25 143:25	Serbian	80:16
5:21 41:8 49:17	161:20 162:8	93:9 101:18	sexuality
61:25	164:16 166:4	serene	57:22
Schwarzenegger	seeking	34:8	sexually
74:18	83:17	serial	74:2
scientifically	seen	19:11	sexy
33:5	4:13	series	73:25 75:20
scientists	self	4:3	share
33:7	114:24 127:14	serious	43:12,13 44:13
scope	133:20 144:22	28:13 31:20 47:9	shift
6:15	147:18 149:11	48:19	97:11,13
screaming	155:17 156:3,19	Seriously	shit
43:4 116:24 154:25	157:2 158:19	35:12	3:23 4:4,13 88:10
164:5	self-interested	SERVICES	shoot
screen	115:5	1:10	29:13
32:25	sell	serving	shooting
screenplay	3:14	90:11	7:25 17:17 26:16
111:15	seller	servitude	100:22
scumbag	37:9	96:12	short
64:10	selling	session	46:21 107:22
se	36:7	31:21	shot
	•	-	-



		1	<u> </u>
30:21 36:10 103:22	simply	slightly	72:11
104:3	14:5 21:6 78:18	97:23	soon
show	83:16 102:21	slogan	3:3 4:24 90:21
5:14,17 7:16 14:22	157:24	134:15	sophisticated
22:12 30:17 33:16	Sinatra	small	53:8 138:20 143:10
49:2 53:5 59:8	109:25 110:8 112:10	107:20 108:2 127:18	sorry
60:15 71:17 77:4,18	115:8,9,10,12,24	smart	7:22 20:24 59:17
92:8 114:5 139:12	Sinatra's	35:10 164:14	85:2 96:5 103:2
showed	109:21,21 110:11	Smith	124:15 125:2 127:5
55:14	single	78:5	133:12 149:21
showing	19:5	smoke	sort
77:7	singling	137:4	10:22 14:11 15:14
shown	163:25	smoked	19:18 27:21 28:9
53:20	sister	137:5	39:13,15 42:11 43:3
shows	164:22	smoking	49:7 52:15 57:22
58:3 69:25 75:3	sister's	12:21,23	60:11 63:25 67:2
114:23	41:10	social	70:9 71:5 79:8,23
shutting	site	94:14 135:20 139:4	80:24 81:22 82:23
35:3,5	76:25 77:14	146:21 152:14	85:6 86:21 91:5
side	Sitting	166:14	93:8 95:12 97:5
43:10,10 80:11,13	64:21	socialism	106:9 108:17
82:22 84:4,11,19	six	107:7 165:14	110:12,16 111:20
86:4	2:6 31:11 32:2 33:3	socially	113:14 120:19
sides	36:16 48:16 62:3	152:11,12 153:9	121:7,8,9 122:24
43:9	skeptical	societal	123:13 132:25
sidestep	58:12	79:21	136:25 140:10
86:8,21	skepticism	society	142:23 143:4
Sigmund	157:15	6:10,16 25:22 38:25	144:18 157:14
65:7	sky	53:4 54:8 55:17	161:7,8 165:24
sign	138:23	62:11 66:22 83:24	sorts
3:2,4 4:23	slaughter	84:21 117:6 135:18	41:3 47:2 63:21
significant	13:7 18:18,18 30:6	soft	98:15 106:25 138:2
11:21 114:18,23	104:10 105:8	36:13,14	147:2 158:14
significantly	slaughtered	sold	160:11 163:22
115:4	104:24	83:2	soul
silly	slaughtering	soldier	35:7
113:24 155:9	13:9 99:23	89:11	sounds
similar	slavery	solely	46:19 152:20
24:24 33:12 95:11,13	69:8 96:15,17 97:2	114:9	South
107:17 112:13	99:7	solicited	105:2
133:9 143:9 155:24	slaves	18:17	Soviet
156:2 169:6	96:4,5 103:18	somebody	104:11 106:15
Similarly	sleep	167:11	space
128:7	125:11	something's	32:16,21 136:13
simple	slick	76:19 151:16	141:9,10
26:9	53:8	son	speaking
20.7	33.0	SOIL	Spenning.



			-
82:22	star	12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1	98:13 99:1,14,17
speaks	6:7	15:3,7 16:1,24 17:1	100:1,2,24 101:1,10
64:24 65:2,3,6	start	17:7,18,23 18:1,5,9	101:23 102:1,10
special	5:14 9:14,16 26:7	19:1,9 20:1,16 21:1	103:1,15,24 104:1,6
158:20	38:8 117:19 160:11	21:2 22:1 23:1,21	104:20 105:1,5,12
specific	started	24:1,6,10,21 25:1,7	104.20 105.1,5,12
144:19	3:19 9:8 33:15 36:20	25:12,21 26:1,10	107:16 108:1,6,14
		27:1,4,16 28:1,3,11	108:22 109:1,10,13
specifically 123:25	108:4,5,7 160:12	28:25 29:1,16,19	
	166:4	30:1,2,24 31:1,5	109:16 110:1,3,18 110:23 111:1,4,10
speculative 66:9	starts 25:14	30:1,2,24 31:1,3	, ,
			111:18 112:1,2,11
speech	starvation	36:1 37:1,7,11 38:1	112:17,21 113:1,3
5:8 138:25	104:10	38:11 39:1 40:1,17	113:21 114:1,4,17
spin	starve	40:21,25 41:1,6,15	115:1,14,20,23
4:3	89:8	41:20,25 42:1,7,14	116:1,7 117:1,9,14
spirits	state	42:18,24 43:1,16,23	117:24 118:1,15
80:2	6:19 20:7 47:22	44:1,22 45:1,12,19	119:1,11,20 120:1,7
split	52:12 85:5 116:12	46:1,18 47:1,18	120:18 121:1,24
126:19 128:15	116:20,21 117:8	48:1 49:1 50:1,4	122:1,14 123:1,9
sponsor	118:6 119:8,9 171:3	51:1,5,9 52:1,3 53:1	124:1,4,17,21 125:1
2:3 33:17	171:8	54:1,2,10,16,22,25	125:3,8,21,23 126:1
sport	statement	55:1,5,22 56:1,2,7	126:5 127:1,2,8
114:12	68:6 152:7 154:7	56:17 57:1,19,25	128:1,2,13 129:1,5
sports	statements	58:1,8,22 59:1,15	129:20,22 130:1,22
113:14	149:15	59:20,23 60:1,2	131:1,15,22 132:1,5
spring	states	61:1,13,19 62:1,15	132:11,19,24 133:1
35:4	42:22 47:22 51:9	62:19 63:1,5,14	134:1,2,18 135:1,2
SS	82:17 83:9 98:20,22	64:1,5,13 65:1 66:1	135:22,25 136:1,18
171:4	134:21	66:12,18,25 67:1,18	137:1,13 138:1
stack	status	67:23 68:1,18,22	139:1,2,15 140:1,18
128:24 134:11	10:10 79:11	69:1,12,24 70:1	141:1,2,7,11,14,22
stacking	statutory	71:1,2,10 72:1,6,16	142:1,7,10,18 143:1
129:7	42:18 47:20 51:13	72:25 73:1 74:1,16	143:7 144:1,9,12
stage	63:11 68:3	75:1,10,23 76:1	145:1,18,24 146:1,7
108:17	stay	77:1 78:1,21 79:1	147:1,20 148:1,5,9
staggering	41:16	79:19 80:1 81:1,18	149:1,9,12,22 150:1
18:6	steal	82:1,20 83:1,5 84:1	150:3,14 151:1,3
Stalin	148:3 149:22 150:12	84:7,14,17,25 85:1	152:1,5,19,25 153:1
106:2	stealing	85:10,12,17 86:1,6	153:16 154:1,13,22
Stallone	79:15	86:16 87:1,7,20	154:24 155:1 156:1
74:17	step	88:1,7,11,17,23	156:5,9,13,21,24
standard	133:24	89:1 90:1,6 91:1,14	157:1,11,19 158:1,4
9:24 30:12 39:11	Stephen	92:1,7,20 93:1,13	159:1,3,18 160:1,5
126:5 136:7	1:9 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	93:17,21 94:1 95:1	160:9,17,21,25
standardly	6:1 7:1,8 8:1 9:1,16	95:22 96:1,3,9,16	161:1,12,18 162:1,2
13:8	9:22 10:1,13 11:1	96:23 97:1,3 98:1,7	162:7 163:1,6,9,16
	1	l l	



164:1,21 165:1,25	21:25 60:9 63:15	suffering	100:11 104:19
164:1,21 163:1,23	90:19 114:14	12:8,9 31:11	105:11 110:3,5
167:10,13 168:1,11	117:16 145:6	sufficient	111:21 117:24
168:20,24 169:1,5		101:7 131:9 134:10	118:4 120:10
169:18,25	stronger 43:11 137:15		150:15 152:21
· ·		suggest	153:9,12 159:10,18
stereo	strongest	16:21 38:20 71:14 76:15	161:19 166:21
137:17,19	82:8		
Steve 7.14.9.7.29.2.121.19	strongly	suggested	167:23
7:14 8:7 38:2 121:18	87:10	71:11	surplus
167:25 170:2	struck	suggests	43:12 44:14
Steven	39:8	68:8 76:13	surprising
143:24	structure	suit	31:5
sticker	156:15	83:20	surprisingly
121:11	structured	summon	70:22 71:4
stigma	55:19	154:7	surrounding
62:8	struggle	Sun	106:21
stipulate	122:10	148:6	survives
129:16	student	super	164:17,18
stipulating	3:15	8:22,23 57:14 121:20	suspect
129:14	studies	superego	31:9 135:10
stomach	33:6 38:19 44:20	80:20 82:6	suspicion
121:14	53:20,21 76:15	superpower	60:10
stop	81:20 90:17 92:8	116:14	SVU
24:2,4 29:25 62:23	study	support	58:4,9
72:12	55:15 94:11	66:13 146:17,18,20	swim
store	stuff	154:3	167:24
35:6	46:4 47:2,3 49:4	supported	swimming
Stories	60:10 70:16 72:13	154:7	167:4
62:19	80:16 150:12,19,21	supporting	Sylvester
story	150:21 169:17	170:8	74:17
34:13 99:4	subgroup	supports	Symbionese
strangers	138:8	53:6	124:23 125:20
149:2	subseries	supposed	129:12
strategy	4:4	5:20,25	symbolic
26:19,24 28:4	subvert	supposedly	68:5
Strawson	106:12	125:10	sympathetic
133:8,19	subverting	Supreme	63:9
Strawson's	107:5	96:11	sympathy
134:2	success	sure	86:7 102:25
Street	3:24	4:22 14:23 26:4	system
1:11	successful	40:24 45:9,13 48:24	95:7 133:2 134:20
stress	4:2	50:17 60:20 69:12	152:21
33:9	sudden	77:23,24 79:24 81:6	systematically
stressful	127:18	81:11 84:17 85:18	112:4
2:7,9	suffered	85:25 86:15 87:15	systems
strong	34:23	96:7,12 98:13 100:4	17:10



	1		
T	taxpayer	term	93:11,15,19 95:18
T	97:13	23:3 39:6 55:15 71:9	96:2,7,14,18,25
171:2,2	teach	terminated	97:22 98:8,14 99:15
T-shirt	168:8	25:6	99:25 100:23 101:9
121:9	teacher	terms	101:22 102:9,15
taboo	4:15 5:21 168:7	8:25 9:9 63:10 92:9	103:16 104:2,19
62:7 65:10 66:3	teachers	95:14 105:14	105:4,11,17,25
tackle	61:25	146:21 151:6	108:3,11,16 109:9
47:5,7	teaching	158:17	109:12,15 110:2,9
take	4:7	test	110:22,25 111:9,17
11:24,25,25 12:11	team	60:20	111:25 112:8,15,19
17:14 43:13 47:20	113:17,25 114:2	Texas	112:24 113:13,23
47:22 58:12 61:6	teammate	34:15	114:16 115:13,17
69:20 86:4 90:21	161:23,25	Thad	115:21 116:2,8
97:23 101:17	technically	36:5,8 119:18	117:11,23 118:5
107:25 108:10	102:16,24	Thaddeus	119:2,12,21 120:16
115:7 119:3,8	teenage	2:2 5:16 9:19 10:12	121:3,25 123:8
122:20 124:6	59:5 72:11	13:16 15:6 16:17	124:3,15,20,24
137:18 149:4 150:6	teenager	17:6,13,22 18:3,7	125:5,16,22 126:4
150:21 151:14	44:2	18:20 20:5,22 23:17	126:25 127:5,25
162:13 163:20	teenagers	24:5,9,19 25:4,11	128:12 129:4,9,21
164:13	61:23 62:25	25:19 26:6 27:3,15	130:21 131:10,21
taken	teens	28:2,5,24 29:10,17	132:4,10,17,23
4:12 71:8,8	39:16 42:16	29:23 30:14 31:4,7	133:25 134:17,23
takes	tell	37:10,14 40:15,20	135:16,24 136:17
43:11 101:25	7:13 8:22 16:9 34:13	40:24 41:5,14,18,21	137:12 138:13
talk	34:17 41:8 57:20	42:6,12,17,23 43:15	139:3 140:17,22
5:20 14:3,4 25:22	77:17	43:22 44:21 45:11	141:6,9,13,20 142:6
68:25 139:7,10	telling	45:18 46:12,20 50:3	142:8,14 143:6
159:5,15	32:10 80:21,22	50:6 51:8,17 53:7	144:7,11 145:17,22
talked	tells	54:4,11,19,24 55:4	146:6 147:11 148:4
9:7 51:24 57:16	52:13 54:8,8 68:4	55:21,25 56:6,16	148:8,15 149:10,21
7.7 31.24 37.10 Talkin'	79:20 138:3	57:4,24 58:7,17	149:24 150:10,17
3:22 4:4,13	temple	59:14,17,22,25 61:7	151:25 152:10,24
*	41:8	61:14,20 62:18 63:4	153:15 154:12,16
talking 18:8,10 37:15 59:18	ten	63:13 64:3,12,18	154:23 155:7 156:6
59:20 83:22 97:24	32:8 36:10 62:3	66:16,24 67:8,22	156:11,17,22 157:7
110:16 165:23	tenants	68:14,21 69:2,13	157:17 158:3 159:2
	12:6	70:24 71:7 72:5,15	159:9,20 160:6,16
168:22	tend	72:24 74:12 75:9,22	160:20,24 161:10
taste	49:13 72:11 77:15,18	78:14 79:18 80:3	161:17,24 162:5
79:25	86:4,7 158:23	82:11,21 83:6 84:9	163:2,8,12 164:20
tastes	tends	84:15,18 85:2,11,16	165:6 166:2,18
79:24	106:18 108:19	85:25 86:15 87:6,12	167:2,6,12,25
taught	tension	87:21 88:9,15,22	168:16,21 169:4,10
3:21 4:5 9:5	33:9	90:5 91:13 92:6,17	169:23 170:3,5
	33.7	70.5 71.15 72.0,17	-05.20 110.090



thank	154:2 157:24	145:6 148:10	thrilled
34:12 36:25 41:5	159:24 162:10	149:24 151:6	33:18
90:10,10 163:20	164:3 165:12,21	153:13,22,23,25	throwing
169:15,25 170:2	167:5 168:7 169:13	155:9,19,23,25	64:14
Thanks	think	156:2 157:23	tickets
170:10	2:15,19 3:13 5:25	158:15,23 159:4,5	3:12 5:12
theorem	6:17 7:10,14 9:12	159:11,12 161:13	tied
139:12	9:18 10:9 11:5,12	161:19 162:9,22,24	61:15 113:12 140:7
theoretical	13:20 14:14 15:16	163:17 164:19	157:2
38:17 70:6	15:22 16:10,25 17:2	167:13,21	time
theories	17:7,8 18:16,16,22	thinkers	3:25 15:17 34:2
136:19 160:7	18:25 19:5,9,15,18	139:9	35:23 46:12 47:10
theory	20:13,17 21:2,4,20	thinking	48:4 53:2 58:6
2:24 66:9 73:12	21:24,25 22:3,6,12	29:4 30:15 73:23	63:23 67:24 69:10
95:10 123:22,23	22:17 23:15,16 24:3	87:25 117:3 138:14	74:10 77:4 81:21
142:19 157:25	25:8,15 27:17 31:10	143:10 163:14,21	83:14 100:3 107:22
158:2	41:2 43:16 44:24	165:7 167:22	123:25 137:5 140:3
they'd	45:8 46:21 48:2,4,6	thinks	140:4,5 141:8,9
46:5	49:9,13 51:3,22	90:8 167:15	144:2,10 159:15
thing	52:22 53:21,22 55:6	third	163:20
13:25 23:11 38:3	55:8,11 56:2,20	43:6 78:8	times
43:6 45:21 50:8	62:9 63:5,11,14	Thomas	4:10 36:15,19 62:21
51:14 54:9,12 58:2	64:7,13,19,23,24	73:14 138:15	89:25
62:9,21 66:3 69:13	66:10 68:2,7,8	Thompson	tincture
72:14 86:10 89:6	72:11 73:22 74:20	19:19	36:19
90:16 108:24	75:3,6,11 77:16,18	thought	title
116:10 126:15	77:21 78:9,21,24	6:14 11:15 39:9 40:3	7:20
128:4,13 131:6	79:2 80:10,14 82:4	44:15 45:20,23 58:6	titled
138:11 139:22	84:12 85:18 86:3,8	68:23 73:12 75:15	7:23
143:3,3 145:20	89:9 90:7,17,25	160:10 161:22	titles
156:25 165:20	91:6,17 93:18 94:20	thoughts	8:21
things	95:2,13,24 96:9,16	32:18	today
5:20,24 7:19 27:8	98:3,8,9 99:6,7,20	thousands	164:8
41:3,12 47:12 49:9	99:21 100:2 101:3	105:8	told
49:14,15,17,19	101:11,17,23 102:3	threat	7:15 33:15 34:21,24
52:20 55:12 63:16	102:10 104:14,17	12:4 46:13	35:8 126:16
67:11,19 71:13	104:21 105:12	threatening	tolerate
73:16,17,18 74:9,24	108:12,23 114:25	63:22	18:15
75:8 79:7 88:14	115:10 116:3	threats	tongue
92:3 98:15 107:9	118:16 120:8	63:22	36:21
109:4 118:9 120:20	122:16,21 124:13	three	tools
125:15 136:6	124:19 127:19	3:7 4:8 33:16 36:3	121:8
142:23 143:4 145:6	133:7 134:8 135:3,4	39:24 40:18 44:16	top
146:8 149:23 150:6	135:5,7 136:8 137:2	48:9 62:3	35:25
151:11,13,14,22	137:10,14,19	three-week	topic
153:6,20,20,21	139:19 140:8 145:3	3:6	159:17
, , ,	I	I	I



	I		
Toronto	18:2	26:15	62:25
73:13	tremendous	turn	unconstitutional
Total	3:25 62:14 83:10	50:9 137:2,2,11	104:18
121:23 122:2	167:7	149:4	uncontrolled
totalitarian	trespass	turned	65:22
117:6	24:11,15	71:18 165:14	undecided
totalitarianism	trespasser	turns	61:3
84:22	19:22	48:17 67:14 81:14	underage
totally	trial	121:14	38:21 42:11 44:2
81:15 85:16 88:5	2:12 31:19 33:21	tutor	56:15 70:12,14,15
159:17	34:4	44:9	undercuts
tour	tried	tutoring	151:15
114:20	27:18,20 125:23,25	44:10	Underground
tower	trip	twelve	170:8
64:22	72:20 162:17,19	46:16	underlie
town	Trojan	Twitter	21:5
72:19	14:6	36:17	understand
towns	troublemaker	two	9:3 96:21,24 97:4,4
86:19	6:12	4:21 21:4 22:22	157:12
track	troubling	36:16 41:17 52:24	unfair
39:7	17:12	65:6 86:19 90:17	43:12
trade	trouncing	93:10 97:15 109:3	unfortunately
43:21 87:11	160:3	122:15 123:12,14	98:19,24
trafficking	true	131:7 133:10 134:3	unified
69:8	21:3 31:7 40:6 43:17	134:8 136:10 145:4	58:15
training	56:13,15 77:2 79:4	161:18 162:24	unifying
9:7	79:5 83:15 89:6,12	two-decade	85:6
trains	89:16,17,23 90:3	165:9	Union
32:14,17	109:4 128:4 137:23	Typhoid	104:11 106:15
trampling	140:14 141:8,16	111:14 112:10	United
117:20	142:17 143:13,14	115:15	82:17 83:8 98:19,22
transaction	143:15 147:5		universities
43:9,12,14 44:14	164:25 165:2	<u> </u>	168:5
TRANSCRIPT	166:11 167:11	ultra	university
1:2	171:10	13:21,21	2:18 3:20 6:19 25:24
transcription	truth	unable	27:6 73:13 168:2,3
1:7 171:10	112:7 140:23	23:3	170:6
transform	try	uncle's	unjust
31:24	31:18 32:11 33:22	42:3	64:11 70:9 111:12
transformations	77:12 111:23	unclear	138:6
32:5	112:21 114:13	12:14 78:5 92:2,3	unnecessarily
translate	trying	96:4 109:18 115:6	77:11
149:15 151:4,5	49:2 52:14,14 150:12	115:11 128:6 129:7	unnecessary
translated	Tuesdays	130:9,15 141:18	77:6
159:7	3:7	151:18,23 158:9	unpopular
Treblinka	Tugfield	unconsented	70:4 165:5



unregistered	values	view	volunteered
1:9 2:4 4:14 5:15	77:20	9:24 10:17 11:10	99:13 103:9,19
7:17 35:18 36:4	Vanessa	12:14 13:4 15:13	volunteering
170:4,8	34:16,25 35:16	16:7 22:8 40:10	98:2
unregisteredpodca	variance	44:18,22 58:23	volunteers
33:24	123:14	60:17,19 91:15,16	95:20 98:2 103:2
unregisteredunder	varies	93:22 94:19 99:18	
170:10	42:21 47:21	104:17 134:3	W
unsurprising	various	154:25 155:2	Wade
56:12	60:6 63:22 89:25	157:25 158:5	18:12
unsympathetic	134:11 158:6	views	wages
52:19	vast	11:11 16:10 17:3	83:8 97:20
unusual	134:14	60:9,13 100:6	wait
156:7	Verde	158:16 160:12,13	129:4
unwanted	34:14 35:16 36:3	161:7 162:14,16	waive
21:8,22 23:11,17	version	165:5	139:19,23
24:13 52:16	88:21 91:11	violation	wall
unwilling	veteran	70:7 72:20 101:24	50:10
56:10,12 62:24	112:10	violence	want
upset	veterans	18:15 31:3 74:11,19	2:16 4:25 5:2 9:14,15
12:20,25 13:2	6:24 8:10,13 88:10	75:3,4 76:14 80:7	14:9 17:3,20 20:18
upsetting	88:19,24 89:2,3	81:22 82:9 101:6	26:20 37:6 41:4,12
13:5	92:2 99:8 108:24	119:9,10 138:9	41:15 42:4 43:5
upside	109:2 160:3 163:20	violent	45:6 46:5,6,9 47:8
165:14	vice	78:13 88:13	47:13 52:21 55:2,15
use	73:17	violin	56:8,22,25 59:3
20:15 22:7,9,18 23:8	vicious	44:11	60:21 63:18,19
23:9,13 24:3 32:3	72:7 73:10 74:8,21	Virginia	68:10,25 69:16,18
33:7 35:19 36:15,23	75:6,11 76:2 78:10	55:7	78:19 81:11 82:10
49:16 87:4 95:8	78:11	virtually	84:24 86:12,16
140:8,9 144:21	victimization	34:4	87:16,17 94:12 95:3
usually	12:9	virtue	95:3,8,23,24 97:5
15:13 43:10 67:14	victimized	40:9 73:9,12,15	97:21 98:16,17
84:16 97:12 136:9	138:8	101:6 118:20	99:21 116:25 119:8
utilitarianism	victimizing	120:13 123:16	119:17,18 120:4
141:25	155:3	144:14	127:9 136:20 141:4
utterly	victims	virtuous	148:3,22 150:19,21
50:14 83:13 164:9	53:22 98:25 99:5	72:3,7 76:2	150:22,23,24 152:22 154:14,16
V	video	visit	154:17 164:6,11,25
$\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}}$	125:18	98:16	167:23 169:9,20
18:11	Vietnam	volume	wanted
valid	93:6 98:12 99:19	134:16	8:8 24:20,22 25:5
40:7 44:25	100:5 106:3	voluntary	54:6 68:5 107:10
value	Vietnamese	30:12 71:6 101:12	wants
60:25 77:19	103:13 104:4,23	volunteer	25:17 59:7
00.23 / / . 1 /	105:2,7	99:9	23.17 37.7



	l		
war	97:15 163:23 166:7	Whoa	won
17:24 74:24 80:18,19	we'll	13:16	104:5 121:17,18
92:25 93:3,5,8,9,10	8:3 88:3 89:11	whoever's	wonder
93:13,15 99:11,11	122:12 168:17,17	137:15	118:16 151:14 152:6
100:5 101:18,18,19	we're	widespread	wonderful
101:21 103:12	18:8,10 22:22 26:3	79:8 82:9	57:18
104:3,5,15,25	26:21 31:19 50:17	wife	wondering
118:13	52:13,14 56:24	72:18	120:19 121:19
warrant	109:2 116:15	wild	word
123:6	130:18,22 142:21	7:18 65:17,21 81:15	42:13,13
wars	142:22 143:7,9,10	169:12	words
93:3,3,9,10 98:2,5	146:2,13,13,14,22	Williamson	118:5 156:7
99:9,10 102:22	147:8 153:22,25	14:21	work
104:18 107:25	169:17	willing	10:25 68:16,19 69:4
wasn't	we've	42:25 44:5 45:3 56:9	122:17 136:20
39:12 43:24 51:20	96:6 121:22	56:18,25 125:13	137:3 141:4,15
101:20 107:25	weak	win	164:13
121:16 140:7	28:5 85:4,6	26:20,22	workers
watch	wealthy	window	69:15
41:16 71:22 72:20	97:7	47:24 48:10	works
73:6 74:10,24 76:22	wearing	wing	27:17 122:16 139:18
78:8 81:13	125:18	166:9	166:25
watches	webinar	wins	world
78:4	3:6	137:16	7:12 17:24 19:6
watching	webinars	wish	31:24 32:23 33:2
72:12,13 74:14,22	2:19 4:21	3:16	50:18,20 51:3 77:8
77:10 78:6,12 138:8	week	withdraw	93:3,5,8,15 98:3,9
153:22	6:4,18	21:15,16,17,18 24:8	98:10 106:10
way	weeks	25:3	116:15 142:12
9:5 10:15,25 11:4,12	4:8	withdrawn	143:19 147:14
13:14 14:2 18:21	weight	24:18	150:18,18 157:14
19:3 30:6,20 33:12	155:12	WITNESS	159:25 165:24
38:13 53:18 54:7	went	171:17	worlds
55:18 57:7,13 62:23	34:18 62:5 91:20,24	woman	141:12
70:3,19 81:11 82:2	94:4	12:22 21:7,21 22:3	worldview
85:23 87:24,25 88:2	weren't	22:20 23:23 24:23	8:2 146:10 155:25
102:19 107:12	14:16	24:25 25:16 29:4	165:12
110:12 117:2,2,4	West	48:13 67:14,14	worldviews
120:22 122:15	1:11 94:10,13 114:19	70:13 124:18	157:18
133:21 136:22	Westerns	woman's	worried
137:3 147:4 154:10	74:23	19:20 24:14	81:19 153:2,4 157:8
154:17 157:13,23	whatsoever	women	157:8
162:12 164:3	64:7 68:13 73:8	10:6 14:9,10,17	worse
167:22 171:15	96:22 155:4	16:12 20:6 23:5	13:9 23:12 49:20
ways	WHEREOF	70:22 71:8,14,20	67:5 77:8 93:24
8:15 9:11 10:4 67:5	171:17	81:3 83:8,21 97:12	98:4,10 137:8



142:13 147:14	19.12 146.24 25	61:24 69:11,15	11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1
	18:13 146:24,25	·	15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1
149:5	wrote	years	
worst	8:9 46:15	2:6 31:11,13 32:2,8	19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1
54:9,12 62:9 99:5	X	33:4,16 37:15 46:16	23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1
105:20		48:16 53:2 59:5	27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1
worth	X	62:3,6 165:15	31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1
68:7 78:24 166:23	120:13	York	35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1
168:18	Y	1:11,11 6:20 7:10	39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1
worthiness		150:15 171:3,5,8	43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1
30:13	Y	young	47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1
wouldn't	120:13	22:20 39:16 42:15	51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1
18:15 44:11 46:6	yeah	44:2 70:14 94:9	55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1
89:4 99:12 114:22	9:20 16:10,17 17:13	97:12,12	59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1
119:5 121:10,11,12	18:3,12 26:23 28:7	younger	63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1
148:19 162:19	28:11 29:19 31:4,7	42:15	67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1
WPIX	31:8,8 37:10 40:24		71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1
41:17	42:2 46:20,24 48:5	Z	75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1
write	50:6 51:17 54:24	Z	79:1 80:1 81:1 82:1
37:13 45:21 111:15	55:4 57:25 58:7	120:13	83:1 84:1 85:1 86:1
writer	59:22 62:18 63:13	zero	87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1
14:21	64:5,18 66:16,24	123:20	91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1
	67:8,19,22 68:14	Zyklon	95:1 96:1 97:1 98:1
writing	69:3,20 71:9 74:13	10:23	
93:19 106:7 115:16	74:16 78:14 80:3	10.23	99:1 100:1 101:1
written	82:4,20 83:20 85:12	0	102:1 103:1 104:1
7:21 46:14 68:15,16	85:25 87:12,15,20		105:1 106:1 107:1
68:19,19 69:22	88:5 90:5 92:21	1	108:1 109:1 110:1
161:4	93:13,17 96:2,8,14	10%	111:1 112:1 113:1
wrong	96:16 97:3,22 99:7	35:22	114:1 115:1 116:1
11:2 16:8,14 17:20	99:17 100:11	100%	117:1 118:1 119:1
26:3,5,8,16,19 27:2	102:23 105:17,22	87:6,15	120:1 121:1 122:1
27:9,19 38:15 39:3	7	10018	123:1 124:1 125:1
40:8 43:19 48:12	105:25 106:3	1:11	126:1 127:1 128:1
60:17 68:9 71:22	108:22 109:15	101st	129:1 130:1 131:1
72:2,10,10,13 75:16	110:2 111:25	106:15	132:1 133:1 134:1
75:24 76:3,4,20	112:15,19 113:13		135:1 136:1 137:1
78:10 101:14	113:22 115:22	12	138:1 139:1 140:1
102:14 103:8 115:2	117:10 119:2 120:6	51:4,4	141:1 142:1 143:1
136:7 141:19	120:8 121:4 122:14	12th	144:1 145:1 146:1
142:23 143:3,5	125:2,5,5,22 127:5	1:11 171:18	147:1 148:1 149:1
144:4,10,16 145:19	135:22,24 138:13	13	150:1 151:1 152:1
145:21 147:9,10	139:11,15 144:11	50:23	153:1 154:1 155:1
150:7 155:2,3 159:8	145:24 148:8	14	156:1 157:1 158:1
162:11 164:2	153:15 162:7,23	50:23 59:5	159:1 160:1 161:1
wrongful	year	142	162:1 163:1 164:1
45:8,13,14 78:2	2:9 47:25,25 48:5,6	2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1	165:1 166:1 167:1
wrongness	48:13,14,15 61:17	7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1	168:1 169:1
wionguess			100.1 107.1
L			



143 1:9 36:14 37:15 9 15 35:12,17,20 35:12,17,20 974466 16 47:25 48:5,6,13 51:7 35:12,17,20 974466 16 47:25 48:5,6,13 51:7 30 1:25 76:13 69:11,15 30 107:5 18 107:5 30s 47:23 50:9,10,11 35:0 1:11 55:8 61:16 67:15 35:24 37th 1900s 37th 1:11 98:12 40 1967 40 18:10 55:8 18:10 5 2 5:30 3:7 4:11 213:39 1:8 125:9 20 62:6 67:15 165:15 66 2020 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 2023 17:119 70% 2023 68:2 124:19 23 8 8:30 3:8 4:10 866)624-6221 24/7 54:8 9				Page 39
1:9 25% 4:10 15 35:12,17,20 974466 16 47:25 48:5,6,13 51:7 3 57:11 67:13 69:14 3 1:25 47:23 48:15 61:17 61:24 3 67:13 69:11,15 30 107:5 18 47:23 50:9,10,11 35% 55:8 61:16 67:15 35:24 1920s 37th 83:2 107:4 1900s 4 1967 35:34 55:8 18:10 1967 55:8 18:10 1983:7 138:20 5 2 5:7 5:30 3:7 4:11 11:8 5 2010 3:7 4:11 11:8 66:00 2010 5:10 144:3 2020 20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 88:4:10 47:25 48:14 86:30 24/7 86)624-6221 51:4 24/7 54:9 66:2021 24/7 54:8	1.42	26 14 27 15		
15 50:23 57:11 16 47:25 48:5,6,13 51:7 57:11 67:13 69:14 17 47:23 48:15 61:17 67:13 69:11,15 18 47:23 50:9,10,11 51:7 67:14 69:6 19 55:8 61:16 67:15 1920s 88:12 19607 98:12 19608 98:12 19607 55:8 19th 83:7 138:20 2 4:9 107:21 2:13:39 1:8 20 62:6 67:15 165:15 2010 144:3 2020 2:8 144:4,6 2023 171:19 208 57:12 23 47:25 48:14 47 51:4 866)624-6221 1:12				
Soliciza 57:11 16				
16				
47:25 48:5,6,13 51:7 57:11 67:13 69:14 17 47:23 48:15 61:17 67:13 69:11,15 18 47:23 50:9,10,11 51:7 67:14 69:6 19 355:8 61:16 67:15 1920s 37th 1:11 1960s 98:12 1967 55:8 19th 83:7 138:20 2 2 4:9 107:21 2:13:39 1:8 20 6 6:26 67:15 165:15 2010 144:3 2020 2:28 144:4,6 2023 171:19 2020 2:8 144:4,6 2023 171:19 205 57:12 23 47:25 48:14 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 51:4 24 75 54:8 107:5 30 30 61:24 30 30 61:24 30 30 61:24 30 30 61:24 30s 50 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111			1:25	
57:11 67:13 69:14 3 17 67:13 69:14,15 67:13 69:11,15 61:24 18 107:5 47:23 50:9,10,11 320 51:7 67:14 69:6 1:11 19 35% 55:8 61:16 67:15 35:24 1920s 37th 83:2 107:4 1960s 98:12 4 1967 18:10 2 5:30 3:7 4:11 5:30 3:7 4:11 55:30 3:7 4:11 125:9 6 6:00 5:10 125:9 6 6:00 5:10 7 70% 164:8 70% 164:8 70s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8 47:25 48:14 8 24/7 3:8 4:10 54:8 8	16	4:9		
57:11 67:13 69:14 3 17 67:13 69:14,15 67:13 69:11,15 61:24 18 107:5 47:23 50:9,10,11 320 51:7 67:14 69:6 1:11 19 35% 55:8 61:16 67:15 35:24 1920s 37th 83:2 107:4 1960s 98:12 4 1967 18:10 2 5:30 3:7 4:11 5:30 3:7 4:11 55:30 3:7 4:11 125:9 6 6:00 5:10 125:9 6 6:00 5:10 7 70% 164:8 70% 164:8 70s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8 47:25 48:14 8 24/7 3:8 4:10 54:8 8	47:25 48:5,6,13 51:7			
47:23 48:15 61:17 67:13 69:11,15 18 47:23 50:9,10,11 51:7 67:14 69:6 19 35°% 35:24 1920s 37th 35:7 18:10 40 18:11 1960s 98:12 1967 55:8 19th 83:7 138:20 2 4:9 107:21 2:13:39 1:8 20 62:6 67:15 165:15 2010 144:3 2020 2:8 144:4,6 2023 171:19 20s 57:12 2 47:25 48:14 24 51:4 247 54:8	57:11 67:13 69:14			
67:13 69:11,15 18 47:23 50:9,10,11 51:7 67:14 69:6 19 55:8 61:16 67:15 1920s 88:12 1967 55:8 19th 83:7 138:20 2 4:9 107:21 2:13:39 1:8 118 20 62:6 67:15 165:15 2010 444:3 2020 2:8 144:4,6 2023 171:19 20s 57:12 23 47:25 48:14 24 51:4 24/7 54:8	17	30		
67:13 69:11,15 30 18 107:5 47:23 50:9,10,11 320 51:7 67:14 69:6 1:11 19 35% 35:24 37th 1960s 38:12 1967 40 55:8 18:10 19th 5 83:7 138:20 5 2 5:7 5:30 3:7 4:11 5:13 125:9 6 6:00 5:10 5:10 202 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 202 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 2023 164:8 171:19 70s 20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 33:0 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 24/7 1:12	47:23 48:15 61:17	61:24		
18 47:23 50:9,10,11 51:7 67:14 69:6 320 19 35% 55:8 61:16 67:15 35:24 1960s 37th 98:12 4 1967 4 55:8 4 19th 5 83:7 138:20 5 2 5:7 2:13:39 1:8 1:8 125:9 20 6 62:6 67:15 165:15 6 2010 5:10 144:3 7 2023 7 171:19 6 20s 6 57:12 7 23 68:2 124:19 4 8:30 3:8 4:10 866)624-6221 1:12 1:12		30s		
47:23 50:9,10,11 51:7 67:14 69:6 1:11 35% 35:24 35% 35:24 37th 1:11 1960s 98:12 40 18:10 55:8 18:10 55:7 5:30 3:7 4:11 51:12 12:13:39 1:8 125:9 66:00 5:10 144:3 2020 7 70% 68:2 124:19 57:12 23 47:25 48:14 247 54:8 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12 1:12		107:5		
51:7 67:14 69:6 19 19 35% 55:8 61:16 67:15 35:24 1920s 37th 83:2 107:4 1:11 1960s 4 98:12 4 1967 55:8 19th 5 83:7 138:20 5 5:7 5:30 3:7 4:11 51 1:8 125:9 20 6 62:6 67:15 165:15 6 2010 6 144:3 5:10 2020 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8 47:25 48:14 8:30 24/7 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 1:12		320		
19 35% 55:8 61:16 67:15 35:24 1920s 37th 83:2 107:4 1:11 1960s 4 98:12 4 1967 55:8 19th 5 83:7 138:20 5 2 5:30 3:7 4:11 51 1:8 125:9 20 6 62:6 67:15 165:15 6 2010 144:3 144:3 5:10 2020 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8 44:9 3:8 4:10 866)624-6221 1:12				
55:8 61:16 67:15 35:24 1920s 37th 83:2 107:4 1:11 1960s 4 98:12 40 1967 18:10 55:8 18:10 19th 5 83:7 138:20 5 2 5:30 3:7 4:11 51 1:8 125:9 20 6 6:00 5:10 2020 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 2023 164:8 171:19 70s 20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8 440 40 151:4 866)624-6221 151:4 866)624-6221 151:4 866)624-6221 151:4 866)624-6221 151:4 11:12				
1920s 37th 83:2 107:4 1:11 1960s 4 98:12 40 1967 18:10 55:8 18:10 19th 5 83:7 138:20 5 2 5:30 3:7 4:11 2:3:39 1:8 125:9 20 6 62:6 67:15 165:15 6 2010 5:10 144:3 70% 2020 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8 44-40 40 18:10 5 5:7 5 5:30 3:7 4:11 5:10 6 6:00 5:10 70% 164:8 70s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8 47:25 48:14 8:30 24 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 24/7 1:12				
83:2 107:4 1960s 98:12 4 1967 40 55:8 18:10 19th 5 83:7 138:20 5 2 5:7 2:13:39 3:7 4:11 1:8 125:9 20 6 62:6 67:15 165:15 6 2010 5:10 144:3 70% 2020 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 20s 164:8 70s 68:2 124:19 23 8 4-40 4 40 18:10 5:7 5:30 3:7 4:11 5:10 66:00 5:10 70% 164:8 70s 68:2 124:19 8:30 3:8 4:10 51:4 8:30 24/7 3:8 4:10 54:8 1:12				
1960s 98:12 40 18:10 18:10 55:8 18:10 5 57 5:30 3:7 4:11 5:13:39 1:8 20 62:6 67:15 165:15 2010 144:3 2020 2:8 144:4,6 2023 171:19 20s 57:12 23 47:25 48:14 24 24 24 24 24 24 24				
98:12 1967 55:8 19th 83:7 138:20 2 2 4:9 107:21 2:13:39 1:8 20 62:6 67:15 165:15 2010 144:3 2020 2:8 144:4,6 2023 171:19 208 57:12 23 47:25 48:14 24 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 24/7 54:8		1.11		
98:12 1967 55:8 19th 83:7 138:20 2 2 4:9 107:21 2:13:39 1:8 20 62:6 67:15 165:15 2010 144:3 2020 2:8 144:4,6 2023 171:19 208 57:12 23 47:25 48:14 24 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 1:12		4		
18:10				
19th 83:7 138:20 5 5 5 7 5:30 3:7 4:11 51 125:9 6 6 600 5:10 7 70% 164:8 70s 68:2 124:19 23 8 47:25 48:14 24/7 54:8 5 5 7 70 70 70 70 70				
83:7 138:20 5 2 5:7 2:13:39 3:7 4:11 1:8 125:9 2010 6:00 144:3 5:10 2020 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 2023 164:8 171:19 70% 20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8 47:25 48:14 8 24 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 24/7 1:12		10.10		
83:7 138:20 2 2 4:9 107:21 2:13:39 1:8 20 62:6 67:15 165:15 2010 144:3 2020 2:8 144:4,6 2023 171:19 20s 57:12 23 47:25 48:14 24 51:4 24/7 54:8 5 5:30 3:7 4:11 51 6 6:00 5:10 70% 164:8 70s 68:2 124:19 8 8:30 3:8 4:10 866)624-6221 1:12		5		
2 5:7 2 5:30 3:7 4:11 51 1:8 125:9 20 6 62:6 67:15 165:15 6 2010 6 144:3 5:10 2020 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 2023 171:19 20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8 47:25 48:14 8:30 24 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 24/7 1:12	83:7 138:20			
2 4:9 107:21 2:13:39 3:7 4:11 1:8 125:9 20 6 62:6 67:15 165:15 6 2010 6 144:3 7 2020 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 2023 164:8 171:19 70% 20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8 47:25 48:14 8 24 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 24/7 1:12				
4:9 107:21 3:7 4:11 2:13:39 125:9 20 6 62:6 67:15 165:15 6 2010 5:10 144:3 7 2020 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 2023 164:8 171:19 70s 20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8 47:25 48:14 8:30 24 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 24/7 1:12				
2:13:39 1:8 20 62:6 67:15 165:15 2010 6:00 144:3 7 2020 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 2023 164:8 171:19 70s 20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8 47:25 48:14 8:30 24 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 24/7 1:12				
1:8 20 62:6 67:15 165:15 2010 144:3 2020 2:8 144:4,6 2023 171:19 20s 57:12 23 47:25 48:14 24 51:4 24/7 54:8 125:9 6 6 6 7 70% 68:20 88 8:30 3:8 4:10 866)624-6221 1:12				
20 62:6 67:15 165:15 2010 144:3 2020 2:8 144:4,6 2023 171:19 20s 57:12 23 47:25 48:14 24 51:4 24/7 54:8 6 6 6 6:00 5:10 7 70% 164:8 88:2 124:19 88:30 3:8 4:10 866)624-6221 1:12				
62:6 67:15 165:15 2010 144:3 2020 2:8 144:4,6 2023 171:19 20s 57:12 23 47:25 48:14 24 51:4 51:4 24/7 54:8		123:9		
62:6 67:15 165:15 2010 144:3 2020 2:8 144:4,6 2023 171:19 20s 57:12 23 47:25 48:14 51:4 51:4 24/7 54:8		6		
2010 5:10 144:3 7 2020 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 2023 164:8 171:19 70s 20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8:30 47:25 48:14 8:30 24 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 24/7 1:12 54:8 1:12	62:6 67:15 165:15	-		
2020 7 2:8 144:4,6 70% 2023 164:8 171:19 70s 20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8 47:25 48:14 8:30 3:8 4:10 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 24/7 1:12	2010			
2:8 144:4,6 2023 171:19 20s 57:12 23 47:25 48:14 24 51:4 51:4 54:8 70% 164:8 70s 68:2 124:19 88 8:30 3:8 4:10 866)624-6221 1:12 54:8	144:3	3.10		
2:8 144:4,6 2023 171:19 20s 57:12 23 47:25 48:14 24 51:4 24/7 54:8 70% 68:2 124:19 88 8:30 3:8 4:10 866)624-6221 1:12	2020	7		
2023 171:19 164:8 70s 70s 68:2 124:19	2:8 144:4,6			
171:19 70s 20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8:30 24 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 24/7 1:12 54:8				
20s 68:2 124:19 57:12 8 23 8:30 24 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 24/7 1:12 54:8	171:19			
57:12 8 47:25 48:14 8:30 24 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 24/7 1:12	20s			
8 47:25 48:14 8:30 3:8 4:10 866)624-6221 24/7 1:12		00.2 127.17		
47:25 48:14 8:30 24 3:8 4:10 51:4 866)624-6221 24/7 1:12		8		
24 51:4 24/7 54:8 3:8 4:10 866)624-6221 1:12				
51:4 866)624-6221 1:12 54:8				
24 /7 1:12				
54:8				
		9		

